



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2022-0293 **Version:** 2

Type: Motion **Status:** Passed

File created: 7/19/2022 **In control:** Committee of the Whole

On agenda: **Final action:** 7/26/2022

Enactment date: **Enactment #:** 16182

Title: A MOTION appointing committees to write a voters' pamphlet statement for the November 8, 2022, general election regarding Ordinance 19458 providing for the submission to the qualified electors of King County at the general election to be held in King County on November 8, 2022, of a proposition to restore King County's conservation futures property tax levy authorized under RCW 84.34.230 to a rate of \$0.0625 per one thousand dollars of assessed valuation for collection in 2023 and use the dollar amount of the 2023 levy for the purpose of computing limitations for subsequent levies under chapter 84.55 RCW to provide funding for conservation futures as permitted under chapter 84.34 RCW, including, but not limited to, to pay, finance and refinance costs of the acquisition and preservation, of: urban green spaces, natural areas, wildlife and salmon habitat, trails, river corridors, farmlands and forests; and providing for conservation futures advisory committee recommendations.

Sponsors: Rod Dembowski

Indexes: Elections, Levees, Property Tax, Voters' Pamphlet

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Motion 16182

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
7/26/2022	1	Metropolitan King County Council	Passed as Amended	Pass
7/19/2022	1	Metropolitan King County Council	Introduced and Referred	

A MOTION appointing committees to write a voters' pamphlet statement for the November 8, 2022, general election regarding Ordinance 19458 providing for the submission to the qualified electors of King County at the general election to be held in King County on November 8, 2022, of a proposition to restore King County's conservation futures property tax levy authorized under RCW 84.34.230 to a rate of \$0.0625 per one thousand dollars of assessed valuation for collection in 2023 and use the dollar amount of the 2023 levy for the purpose of computing limitations for subsequent levies under chapter 84.55 RCW to provide funding for conservation futures as permitted under chapter 84.34 RCW, including, but not limited to, to pay, finance and refinance costs of the acquisition and preservation,

of: urban green spaces, natural areas, wildlife and salmon habitat, trails, river corridors, farmlands and forests; and providing for conservation futures advisory committee recommendations.

WHEREAS, K.C.C. chapter 1.10 provides for the publication and distribution of local voters' pamphlets in conformity with chapter 29A.32 RCW for elections held in King County, and

WHEREAS, RCW 29A.32.280 requires that for each measure from a jurisdiction that is included in a local voters' pamphlet, the legislative authority of that jurisdiction formally appoint a committee to prepare arguments advocating voter approval of the measure and a committee to prepare arguments advocating voter rejection of the measure, and

WHEREAS, Ordinance 19458 provides for the submission to the qualified electors of King County at the general election to be held in King County on November 8, 2022, of a proposition to restore King County's conservation futures property tax levy authorized under RCW 84.34.230 to a rate of \$0.0625 per one thousand dollars of assessed valuation for collection in 2023 and to use the dollar amount of the 2023 levy for the purpose of computing limitations for subsequent levies under chapter 84.55 RCW to provide funding for conservation futures as permitted under chapter 84.34 RCW, including, but not limited to, to pay, finance and refinance costs of the acquisition and preservation, of: urban green spaces, natural areas, wildlife and salmon habitat, trails, river corridors, farmlands and forests; and provides for conservation futures advisory committee recommendations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The following individuals are appointed to serve on the voters' pamphlet committees to write a statement for and against the proposed ordinance:

FOR

AGAINST

1. Sally Jewel

1. Michael Fissette

2. De'Sean Quinn

2. Chuck Best

3. Dow Constantine 3. _____