



Legislation Details (With Text)

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|
| File #: | 2021-0226 | Version: | 1 |
| Type: | Ordinance | Status: | Lapsed |
| File created: | 6/15/2021 | In control: | Hearing Examiner |
| On agenda: | | Final action: | 2/1/2023 |
| Enactment date: | | Enactment #: | |
| Title: | AN ORDINANCE denying the vacation of a portion of Avondale Rd NE and NE Woodinville-Duvall Rd, File V-2698; Petitioner: AnMarCo and Cottage Lake Retail, LLC. | | |
| Sponsors: | Claudia Balducci | | |
| Indexes: | Roads, Vacation | | |
| Code sections: | | | |
| Attachments: | 1. 2021-0226 transittal letter, 2. 2021-0226 County Road Engineer Report on V-2698, 3. 2021-0226 fiscal note, 4. 2021-0226 Legislation Review Form | | |

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
|-----------|------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| 6/15/2021 | 1 | Metropolitan King County Council | Introduced and Referred | |

Clerk 06/04/2021

AN ORDINANCE denying the vacation of a portion of Avondale Rd NE and NE Woodinville-Duvall Rd, File V-2698; Petitioner: AnMarCo and Cottage Lake Retail, LLC.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

1. A petition has been filed requesting vacation of a portion of Avondale Road NE and NE Woodinville-Duvall Road right-of-way.
2. The department of local services's records indicate that Avondale Road NE and NE Woodinville-Duvall Road are open and maintained King County road right-of-way.
3. The department of local services's notified utility companies serving the area and King County departments of the proposed vacation and has been advised that Puget Sound Energy, Comcast, Frontier and the King County Metro transit department have infrastructure in the vacation area.

4. Petitioner proposed an exchange of real property as compensation for the vacation area pursuant to K.C.C. 14.40.020.

5. The department of local services considers the subject portion of right-of-way useful as part of the county road system and recommends denial of the petition under RCW 36.87.010.

6. Due notice was given in the manner provided by law. The office of the hearing examiner held the public hearing on _____, _____, 2021.

7. As detailed in the hearing examiner's _____, ____ 20__, recommendation, the hearing examiner concluded that the road segment subject to this petition is useful as part of the King County road system. Therefore, the hearing examiner recommends that the council deny the vacation petition and reject the petitioner's request to accept the conveyance of real property as compensation for the vacation area.

8. In consideration of the recommendations of the county road engineer and hearing examiner, the council has determined that it is in the best interest of the citizens of King County to deny said petition.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. The council, on the effective date of this ordinance, hereby denies the road vacation submitted by AnMarCo and Cottage Lake Retail, LLC, for the vacation of portions of Avondale Road NE and NE Woodinville-Duvall Road under vacation file V-2698.