



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2004-0268 **Version:** 1

Type: Motion **Status:** Lapsed

File created: 6/14/2004 **In control:** Capital Budget Committee

On agenda: 1/23/2006 **Final action:** 2/5/2007

Enactment date: **Enactment #:**

Title: A MOTION approving the facilities management division report, titled Feasibility Study - Relocate and Backfill Work Release, and supporting the conclusion that King County is best served by not pursuing an alternative at this time of relocating and backfilling the courthouse space currently occupied by the King County work release program in response to a proviso outlined in Ordinance 14812, Section 2.

Sponsors: Larry Gossett

Indexes: Adult and Juvenile Detention, Construction and Facilities Management (DCFM), NCOB (New County Office Building)

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. 2004-0268 Attachments 10-13 and 15-21 for 06-08-05 Staff Report.pdf, 2. 2004-0268 Attachments 10-27 for 6-22-05 Staff Report.pdf, 3. 2004-0268 Attachments 7-10 and 12-16 for 06-01-05 Staff Report.pdf, 4. 2004-0268 Attachments to 7-06-05 Staff Report.pdf, 5. 2004-0268 Staff Report 06-22-05, 6. 2004-0268 Staff Report 06-29-05, 7. 2004-0268 Staff Report 07-06-05, 8. 2004-0268 staff report attachments for 6-29-05 staff report.pdf, 9. 2004-0268 Transmittal Letter.doc, 10. A. New County Office Building Feasibility Study - Relocate and Backfill Work Release, 11. Staff Report 6-8-05.doc

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
|-----------|------|--|-------------------------|--------|
| 1/23/2006 | 1 | Metropolitan King County Council | Reintroduced | |
| 7/13/2005 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | Deferred | |
| 7/6/2005 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | | |
| 6/29/2005 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | Deferred | |
| 6/22/2005 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | | |
| 6/8/2005 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | Deferred | |
| 6/1/2005 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | | |
| 1/18/2005 | 1 | Metropolitan King County Council | Reintroduced | |
| 7/28/2004 | 1 | Budget and Fiscal Management Committee | | |
| 6/14/2004 | 1 | Metropolitan King County Council | Introduced and Referred | |

Clerk 06/03/2004

A MOTION approving the facilities management division report, titled Feasibility Study - Relocate and Backfill Work Release, and supporting the conclusion that

King County is best served by not pursuing an alternative at this time of relocating and backfilling the courthouse space currently occupied by the King County work release program in response to a proviso outlined in Ordinance 14812, Section 2.

WHEREAS, the recent study completed by the executive, titled Feasibility Study - Relocate and Backfill Work Release, has complied with the requirements as set forth in Ordinance 14812, appropriating \$1.2 million to allow the executive to proceed with the second phase of the initiative to construct a new King County office building, and

WHEREAS, that report concluded that it is currently not cost effective to move the work release program from the King County Courthouse, and

WHEREAS, the facilities management division has, in preparation of that report and in conjunction with the department of adult and juvenile detention and independent consultants, completed a feasibility study of moving the work release program, and

WHEREAS, the results of this study indicate that the cost of relocating and backfilling the courthouse space currently occupied by the work release program would far exceed the cost of placing those backfill functions in alternative office space, and

WHEREAS, the study concludes that relocating the work release program should only be considered if there are no other courthouse opportunities for functions requiring weapons screening and other heightened security measures;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The King County report, titled New County Office Building Feasibility Study - Relocate and Backfill Work Release, that recommends not move the work release

program at this time, in response to the provisos related to Ordinance 14812, Section 2, is hereby approved.