2021-2022 FISCAL NOTE

Ordinance/Motion: State v. Blake Costs

Title: State v. Blake Costs

Affected Agency and/or Agencies: Superior Court

Note Prepared By: Elly Slakie Date Prepared: 6/4/2021 Note Reviewed By: Kapena Pflum Date Reviewed: 6/10/2021

Description of request:

Provide funding for: resentencing for State v. Blake and other recent legally mandated resentencing (includes resentencing or agreed order process and victim services); State v. Blake conviction vacations and Legal Financial Obligation refunds (includes public outreach and a DPD service desk for those seeking relief); quashing warrants affected by State v. Blake. Most expenditures are expected to be refunded by the State.

Revenue to:

| Agency | Fund Code | Revenue Source | 2021-2022 | 2023-2024 | 2025-2026 |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Superior Court | 10 | State Revenue | 465,000 | | |
| DJA | 10 | State Revenue | 1,019,000 | | |
| PAO | 10 | State Revenue | 4,999,000 | | |
| DPD | 10 | State Revenue | 5,296,000 | | |
| District Court | 10 | State Revenue | 963,000 | | |
| Internal Support | 10 | State Revenue | 5,600,000 | | |
| TOTAL | | | 18,342,000 | 0 | 0 |

Expenditures from:

| Agency | Fund Code | Department | 2021-2022 | 2023-2024 | 2025-2026 |
|------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Superior Court | 10 | 51000 | 620,000 | | |
| DJA | 10 | 54000 | 1,019,000 | | |
| PAO | 10 | 50000 | 5,640,000 | | |
| DPD | 10 | 95000 | 5,703,000 | | |
| District Court | 10 | 53000 | 963,000 | | |
| Internal Support | 10 | 65600 | 5,600,000 | | |
| TOTAL | | | 19,545,000 | 0 | 0 |

Expenditures by Categories

| | 2021-2022 | 2023-2024 | 2025-2026 |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| WAGES AND BENEFITS (51000) | 12,671,000 | | |
| SERVICES-OTHER CHARGES (53000) | 6,237,000 | | |
| SUPPLIES (52000) | 637,000 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | 19,545,000 | 0 | 0 |

Does this legislation require a budget supplemental? Yes

Notes and Assumptions:

Assumes state funding for costs related to State v. Blake. State refund and reimbursement processes are in development.

 $Costs\ associated\ with\ non-\ State\ v.\ Blake\ resentencing\ to\ be\ supported\ by\ the\ General\ Fund.$

Estimates are based on information as of 6/9/2021.

Costs beyond 2022 are unknown and are not included.