03-01-10 Council Mty

at

1

Sponsor:

Ferguson

Proposed No.: 2009-0523

IH MOVER

8-0 PUR EX

## **AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2009-0523, VERSION 2**

- 2 On page 1, beginning on line 13, strike everything through page 18, line 385, and insert:
- 3 "STATEMENTS OF FACTS:
- 4 1. On June 22, 2009, the state Auditor released an Accountability Audit
- 5 Report of King County, wherein the state Auditor expressed concerns
- 6 about oversight of capital projects.
- 7 2. The council has previously taken significant steps to provide capital
- 8 project oversight. For example, in 2006, the council created a capital
- 9 projects oversight office in the county auditor's office that oversees the
- 10 county's large capital construction projects. In 2008, the council required
- 11 the executive to transmit a critical analysis report for all current capital
- 12 improvement projects over seven hundred fifty thousand dollars. These
- 13 are just two of many capital projects oversight actions that the council has
- 14 taken.
- 15 3. The state Auditor found that "lack of adequate performance measures
- 16 and expectations prevent the King County Executive and Council from
- 17 providing adequate oversight of construction activity." The state Auditor

| 18 | noted, "We believe some of the variances [between initial budget and final                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 19 | project cost] may be attributed to poor budget estimation, inaccurate or                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | incomplete project specifications and/or inadequate cost controls."                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 4. On July 13, 2009, the council passed Motion 13026, directing that the                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | council consider legislation by September 15, 2009, that would require                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | phased appropriation of funding for high-risk capital projects and create                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | standard reporting and cost-estimating requirements for all capital                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | projects. This ordinance is in response to that directive.                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 5. The legislation incorporates recommendations from the King County                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Capital Project Oversight - Phase 1 Report A produced by PMA                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Consultants in August 2007, "Design of a Model for the Auditor's Office                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Capital Project Oversight Reporting" and was developed with extensive                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | input from executive agencies.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 6. In 2010, the executive will review all sections of the King County code                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | that pertain to capital projects, with the goal of developing clear,                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | consistent and meaningful standards for effective capital project reporting                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | and appropriation requests, clarifying standards for submittal of all capital                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | budget appropriation requests and removing duplicative sections.                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | NEW SECTION. SECTION 1. A. This ordinance is intended to enhance the                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | county's ability to identify high-risk capital projects, provide greater scrutiny of high-risk |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | capital projects, and promote accountability of government spending.                           |  |  |  |  |  |

B. It is further the county's intent that a select group of high-risk capital projects receive closer council scrutiny and rigorous cost controls each year. The number of projects selected for high-risk review should be small enough to allow for focused, meaningful oversight by the council and executive.

C. It is further the county's intent that all capital project appropriation requests be accompanied by a consistent, comprehensive set of scope, schedule and budget information that will allow more comprehensive tracking of projects.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 2. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 4.04 a new section to read as follows:

A. For the purposes of this section:

- 1. "Capital projects oversight program" means the function within the King County auditor's office to oversee King County's large capital construction projects that was initially funded within the 2007 annual budget;
- 2. "Earned value management" means an analysis of how much has been accomplished on a capital project to date compared to the project's planned scope, schedule and cost. It includes a plan that identifies work to be accomplished, a valuation of planned work, and predefined earning rules that quantify how to measure the accomplishment of work;
- 3. "Eligible capital project" means a capital project as defined in K.C.C.
  4.04.020, except it does not include information technology projects, transit acquisitions,
  affordable housing and community development projects that are developed and managed
  by noncounty entities, energy savings performance contracts or lease-based projects;

4. "Estimate at completion" means a forecast of cost and time to complete a project. The estimate at completion includes the amount of cost and time incurred to date plus remaining forecasted cost and time;

· 78

- 5. "High-risk project" means an eligible capital project with characteristics that increase its likelihood of being completed late or over budget at a potentially significant financial cost or other significant impact to the county. Whether an eligible capital project is a high-risk project shall be determined by the process in subsection C. of this section;
- 6. "Joint advisory group" means the real estate and major capital project review joint advisory group created in K.C.C. chapter 4.06;
  - 7. "Lease-based project" means a project where a lease-purchase or lease-leaseback agreement is proposed, pursuant to Chapter 35.42 RCW, that transfers construction risk to a developer or not-for-profit intermediary and provides the contractual basis for obtaining funding from a third party to finance construction of the project;
  - 8. "Project baseline" means the scope, schedule and budget set at the conclusion of the preliminary design phase when the preferred alternative has been selected and design has progressed adequately to make reasonable and informed commitments, at thirty to forty percent design. Project baseline is used as a basis for variance reporting and performance measurement;
  - 9. "Project management plan" means a formal document that defines how the project is executed, monitored and controlled. The objective of the project management

84 plan is to define the approach and schedule to be used by the project team to deliver the 85 intended project scope. 86 10. "Risk register" means a document that identifies potential events that could 87 impact cost, schedule, or scope. The document formulates plans for addressing those 88 risks. 89 11. "Rough order of magnitude cost estimate" means an early cost estimate 90 based on approximate cost models; and 91 12. "Total project cost estimate" means the estimated project cost from the start 92 of planning through project closeout. If the project has a range of potential project costs, 93 the total project cost estimate is the highest cost in the range. 94 B.1. For any eligible capital project with a total project cost estimate of over ten 95 million dollars, the executive shall transmit risk score results to the joint advisory group 96 each year in accordance with the process identified in subsection C.1. of this section 97 under the following circumstances: 98 a. the appropriation request for the project will be over two hundred thousand 99 dollars or the appropriation request plus the project's prior appropriations will collectively 100 exceed two hundred thousand dollars; 101 b. the project has not yet had a high-risk determination from the joint advisory 102 group that was made after setting the project baseline; and 103 c. the project has not entered the construction phase.

2. The high-risk determination by the joint advisory group shall be made in

accordance with the process outlined in subsection C. of this section.

104

3. High-risk projects shall provide supporting data in accordance with the requirements of subsections F. through H. of this section beginning with the next phase for which appropriation authority is requested.

- C.1. The capital projects oversight program shall develop a risk scoring instrument for assessing whether an eligible capital project is a high-risk project. The instrument shall be submitted to the joint advisory group for its approval.
- 2. The risk scoring instrument shall be used by the implementing agency to generate a risk score for all projects that are required to be scored under subsection B.1. of this section.
- 3. The risk scoring instrument shall use information such as complexity of regulatory requirements, interdependencies with other projects and programs, schedule constraints, implementing agency resources, project delivery method, complexity of property acquisition issues, public impact, risks inherent to the likely construction technology, or any other issues that could have a significant impact on the ability of the project to meet baseline scope, schedule or budget.
- 4. The implementing agency director shall ensure that the risk scoring has been completed by qualified staff who does not report to the project manager, to anyone who reports to the project manager, or to anyone to whom the project manager directly reports.
- 5. By March 1, the executive shall electronically transmit all risk score results for eligible capital projects that require a risk score that year to the clerk of the council, who will retain an electronic copy and distribute electronic copies to the manager of the capital projects oversight program and the co-chairs of the joint advisory group or their

designees, except that scoring results for eligible capital projects that received appropriations in 2010 shall be transmitted to the clerk of the council by July 31, 2010.

- 6. By the end of the first quarter of each year, or by the end of the third quarter in the year in which this ordinance is enacted, the joint advisory group, in consultation with the capital projects oversight program and using the risk scores for guidance, shall determine which projects for which it has received risk scores are high-risk projects. The joint advisory group may change the risk status of any of those projects when the joint advisory group receives an updated risk score.
- 7. The capital projects oversight program may recommend to the joint advisory group changes to the risk scoring instrument, but a new risk scoring instrument may be used only if the joint advisory group approves the instrument and provides an effective date for agency use of the instrument.
- 8. The joint advisory group shall file an electronic list of all eligible capital projects for which it has changed the high-risk status designation, including risk score and change in high-risk status, with the clerk of the council who will retain an electronic copy and distribute electronic copies to the chair of the budget and fiscal management committee or its successor and the manager of the capital projects oversight program.
- 9. Each agency or department that has at least one eligible capital project with a total project cost estimate of over ten million dollars should have at least one project designated as a high-risk project by the joint advisory group.
- 10. The executive may designate any eligible capital project as a high-risk project by letter or the council may designate any eligible capital project as a high-risk project by motion. Thirteen copies of the letter or motion shall be filed with the clerk of

the council for distribution to all councilmembers, the co-chairs of the joint advisory group or their designees and the manager of the capital projects oversight program.

- D. For purposes of identifying when an eligible capital project has entered a particular phase and when subsections F. through H. of this section apply, phases include preliminary design, design, construction and close-out, and the following characteristics shall be used as a guide:
- 1. The preliminary design phase is when evaluation and analysis of potential project alternatives occurs. Based on analysis, the preferred alternative is selected and designed sufficiently to establish a project baseline, at thirty to forty percent design. Activities requiring long lead times, such as land acquisition or permitting may be initiated during this phase. Some planning activities may occur prior to the preliminary design phase;
- 2. The design phase is the time during which design is completed, permits and other permissions are secured, and necessary land, rights-of-way, and easements are acquired so that the project (or staged elements of the project consistent with the project management plan) can proceed to construction. The design phase also includes development of a cost estimate, plans, specifications and a bid package. It is expected that the range of uncertainty associated with project cost estimates decreases as the design progresses. Activities to procure materials and equipment that require long lead times may be initiated during this phase. For projects with alternative delivery methods, such as general contractor-construction manager projects, the design phase may include some construction activities that occur before completion of project plans and specifications;

3. The construction phase is the time during which the project is constructed or implemented. This also includes the testing, inspection, adjustment, correction and certification of facilities and systems to ensure that the project performs as specified. The construction phase ends with final acceptance of the project; and

- 4. Close-out follows final acceptance and consists of administrative processes and associated accounting activities to close out all contracts. It may include multi-year monitoring. It should comprise no more than three percent of the total project cost.
- E.1. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council that includes an appropriation for a high-risk project, the executive shall submit supporting data as identified in subsections F. through H. of this section. For any item required by subsections F. through H. of this section that the executive does not provide with the appropriation request, the executive shall provide a detailed explanation of why it cannot be provided and, if the item is to be provided later, identify the date by which the item will be provided.
- 2. For some eligible capital projects, one or more phases may run concurrently, such as projects requiring staged construction due to site constraints or operational needs, or performed under a declaration of emergency. Some nonconstruction eligible capital projects, such as land acquisition, may not utilize all of the capital project phases.
- a. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council where the appropriation request encompasses work performed in more than one phase of a high-risk project, not including the close-out phase, the executive shall submit as supporting data an

explanation of the cost and schedule factors necessitating appropriation for more than one phase. The executive shall also submit as supporting data a schedule for reporting those supporting items required by subsections F. through H. to the council. The schedule and items to be reported shall match milestones identified in the project management plan. The reports shall be filed with the clerk of the council for distribution to the chair of the budget and fiscal management committee or its successor.

- b. The council should consider placing expenditure restrictions upon the appropriation that release funds contingent upon appropriate further action.
- F. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council that encompasses work performed in the preliminary design phase of a high-risk project, the appropriation request may include up to ten percent of the funding for the design phase. The executive shall, in addition to the requirements of section 4 of this ordinance, provide the following supporting data:
  - 1. Identify design criteria;

- 2. Provide an estimate of preliminary design costs, start and end dates for the preliminary design phase, and a rough order of magnitude cost estimate, which may be expressed as a range, for design and construction phases;
- 3. Provide a planned schedule that shows the anticipated start and finish dates for each major task for the preliminary design phase, and for the design and construction phases, provide the anticipated start and finish dates for major work through completion;
- 4. Identify stakeholders;

5. Identify regulatory requirements and highlight any regulatory requirements that increase project risk; and

- G. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council that encompasses work performed in the design phase of a high-risk project, the executive shall, in addition to the requirements of section 4 of this ordinance, provide the following supporting data:
- 1. Describe the alternatives considered, including a summary of life-cycle cost analysis performed for feasible alternatives progressing to further design, and identify the recommended alternative or alternatives with detailed scope description;
- 2. Provide a summary of the results of a formal schedule and cost risk assessment conducted by an external consultant or staff who does not report to the project manager, to any person who reports to the project manager, or to anyone to whom the project manager directly reports;
  - 3. Provide the project baseline, if set by the time of the appropriation request;
- 4. Certify that the implementing agency completed a cost estimate validation process to provide an independent evaluation of the project's cost estimates using an external consultant or staff who does not report to the project manager, to any person who reports to the project manager, or to anyone to whom the project manager directly reports;
- 5. Certify that significant progress has been made in environmental review and public outreach, identify steps remaining in the environmental review process, and summarize major issues;

242 6. Identify permits, acquisitions, and relocations, address mitigations, and 243 highlight any of these that increase project risk to scope, schedule or budget; 244 7. Certify that application for external funding has begun, if applicable; and 245 8. For projects with alternative delivery methods that contemplate a need for 246 early construction costs, provide an explanation of the need. 247 H.1. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional 248 or amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council that encompasses work 249 performed in the construction phase of a high-risk project, the executive shall, in addition 250 to the requirements of section 4 of this ordinance, provide the following supporting data: 251 a. certify that plans, specifications, estimates and contract documents reflect a 252 level of design completion that is sufficient to support a final cost estimate and schedule 253 for proceeding to procurement and specify the ready date for proceeding with the selected 254 procurement method for the project; 255 b. certify that all required nonconstruction permits are in place in accordance 256 with the project management plan or provide status of pending approvals and the 257 expected date of receipt; 258 c. certify that all land acquisition, lease documents, and partnership agreements 259 are in place in accordance with the project management plan or provide status of pending 260 actions and expected date of receipt: and 261 d. certify that the implementing agency completed a cost estimate validation 262 process to provide an independent evaluation of the project's cost estimates using an

external consultant or staff who does not report to the project manager, to any person who

reports to the project manager, or to anyone to whom the project manager directly reports.

- 2. The executive shall provide quarterly reports during the construction phase of a high-risk project. The quarterly report shall address performance relative to project baseline, highlight any significant variance from project baseline, summarize the top risks in the risk register, summarize change orders, explain change orders that have the cumulative potential to carry the project over project baseline and summarize the results of the latest earned value analysis. Five copies of each report shall be filed with the clerk of the council, who shall retain a copy and distribute copies to the chair of the budget and fiscal management committee or its successor, the co-chairs of the joint advisory group or their designees and the manager of the capital projects oversight program.
- I.1. The implementing agency shall establish and maintain a risk register for each high-risk project. The risk register shall be developed consistent with industry standards.
- 2. The implementing agency shall update the risk register at least quarterly, or more frequently should significant changes or additions be identified by the implementing agency.
- J.1. The implementing agency shall employ earned value management on high-risk projects to forecast unfavorable variations in final project cost or completion date, based on progress to date.
- 2. Agencies shall apply earned value management tools and methods to the design and construction phases. The earned value management tools and methods, and the format and level of detail reported, shall be appropriate for the phase of the project and the associated level of certainty regarding cost and schedule estimates. Tools for

earned value management may include forecasting estimate at completion for design phase and use of earned value analysis for the construction phase.

3. The analysis shall be updated at least monthly.

- 290 <u>NEW SECTION. SECTION 3.</u> There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 4.04 a new section to read as follows:
  - A. For purposes of this section, "lease-based project" is as defined in section 2 of this ordinance. The phases of a lease-based project are the planning, predevelopment and lease phases.
  - 1. The planning phase is the time during which the county develops the scope of work including an estimate of project size, construction cost, and tenant improvements.

    The procurement process for the developer is completed in this phase.
  - 2. The predevelopment phase is the time during which the county in conjunction with the developer identifies and analyzes potential alternatives, selects the preferred alternative and evaluates the technical and economic feasibility of the project. The preferred alternative is engineered to approximately thirty percent design. The draft lease agreement is agreed to in principle by the developer and the executive at the completion of the predevelopment phase.
  - 3. The lease phase is when the executive requests council approval to enter into a lease agreement for the project.
  - B. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council that encompasses work to be performed in the planning phase of a lease-based project, the executive shall provide

309 as supporting data a cost estimate that details the anticipated cost for each major task 310 required to complete the predevelopment phase. 311 C. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or 312 amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council that encompasses work to 313 be performed in the predevelopment phase of a lease-based project, the executive shall 314 provide as supporting data an estimate of project size, construction cost and tenant 315 improvements, and a description of performance requirements and space needs. 316 D. When submitting an ordinance requesting council approval to enter into a 317 lease agreement for a lease-based project, the executive shall provide supporting data as 318 follows: 319 1. Identify design criteria; 320 2. Provide a financial analysis of the project; 321 3. Provide the draft lease agreement agreed to by the executive and developer: 322 4. Provide the development team's pro forma cost plan for the design and 323 construction of the project; 324 5. Provide a description and an analysis of the feasible alternatives considered. 325 and identify the recommended alternative; 326 6. Provide planned schedule that shows the anticipated start and finish dates for 327 each major task, consistent with the development team's pro forma cost plan; 328 7. Identify stakeholders: 329 8. Identify regulatory requirements; 330 9. Report variance of predevelopment phase major task performance from the

schedule and cost estimate provided for the predevelopment phase.

| 332 | E. For any item required by subsections B. through D. of this section that the              |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 333 | executive does not provide with the appropriation request, the executive shall provide a    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 334 | detailed explanation of why it cannot be provided and, if the item is to be provided later, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 335 | identify the date by which the item will be provided.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 336 | NEW SECTION. SECTION 4. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 4.04 a                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337 | new section to read as follows:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338 | A. When submitting a capital budget appropriation ordinance or an additional or             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 339 | amended capital budget appropriation ordinance to the council, the executive shall          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 | include the following supporting data using a standard format, in the form of an            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 341 | electronic database when possible:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342 | 1. Project number, project title, program, division, department, council district,          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 343 | fund number and fund name;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344 | 2. A brief description of the project and the project's purpose, including                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345 | importance with respect to the implementing agency's priorities and goals, and              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 346 | consequences of deferral or disapproval;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 347 | 3. Current phase of project, phase status and whether the project has been                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 348 | designated as a high-risk project by the joint advisory group;                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 349 | 4. Project baseline, if established, and explanation of any significant variance            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 | from it;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 351 | 5. An explanation of alternatives considered;   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 352 | 6. Appropriation and expenditure amounts to date;   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 353 | 7. Current-year appropriation requested amount;   |  |  |  |  |  |

354 8. Estimated cost through project closeout, which may be expressed as a range if 355 baseline is not established; 356 9. Explanation of how contingency amounts were determined or reference to 357 applicable county policy; 358 10. Explanation of how inflation is incorporated or reference to applicable 359 county policy; 360 11. Identification of funding sources, funding status, and funding risks; and 361 12. Description of key project risks, including summary of top risks in the risk 362 register if applicable. 363 B.1. Capital project cost estimates shall be prepared in accordance with 364 applicable industry standards, requirements of external funding sources and county 365 policies, including but not limited to standards regarding estimate accuracies, 366 methodology for determining contingency included for uncertainty, and the cost index 367 used to define the value of money. 368 2. The level of detail incorporated within each estimate shall be commensurate 369 with the information available at each phase, and shall be consistent with the Association 370 for the Advancement of Cost Engineering International cost estimate classification 371 system. The estimates for all succeeding phases shall be updated to represent the latest 372 project information. 373 NEW SECTION. SECTION 5. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 4.04 a 374 new section to read as follows: 375 The King County auditor's office, in consultation with the joint advisory group, 376 shall conduct a review of sections 2 and 3 of this ordinance and make a report to the

council by April 15, 2012. The review shall evaluate the scoring tool used in identifying high risk projects and the extent to which the reporting requirements for high-risk projects are providing beneficial information to the council, executive and capital projects oversight program. The report required to be submitted by this subsection must be filed in the form of a paper original and an electronic copy with the clerk of the council, who shall retain the original and provide an electronic copy to all councilmembers." EFFECT: Makes clarifications after additional feedback from Council's legal counsel that do not change the substantive intent of the proposed ordinance, including the following: Adding a definition of risk register and clarifying other terms used Clarifying language regarding risk score eligibility and the March 1 deadline for submitting risk score results Clarifying that the joint advisory group can change the risk status of a project at the time it is rescored 390 Clarifying that Council legislative action to change risk status is done by motion 392 Eliminating a provision made unnecessary by previous changes 393 Making it clear that earned value management occurs in design and 394 construction phases. 395

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

03-01-10 Council Mta

**T1** 

| • | a | 1 | ۲ |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
|   | 4 | Į | ι |  |

1

Sponsor: Ferg

Ferguson

Proposed No.:

2009-0523

2H MOVED 9-0

## TITLE AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2009-0523, VERSION 2

- 2 On page 1, beginning on line 1, strike lines 1 through 12, and insert: 3 "AN ORDINANCE providing for appropriation by phases 4 for high-risk capital projects and establishing standardized 5 requirements for capital project reporting and cost-6 estimating; amending Ordinance 12076, Section 2, as 7 amended, and K.C.C. 4.04.020, Ordinance 12076, Section 8 3, as amended, and K.C.C. 4.04.030, Ordinance 14743, 9 Section 6, and K.C.C. 4.04.265, Ordinance 13035, Section 10 5, as amended, and K.C.C. 4.04.270, Ordinance 14811, 11 Section 6, and K.C.C. 4.04.273, Ordinance 14452, Section 12 5, and K.C.C. 4.04.275, Ordinance 14122, Section 6, as 13 amended, and K.C.C. 4.04.280, Ordinance 14921, Section 14 6, and K.C.C. 4.06.040 and adding new sections to K.C.C. 15 chapter 4.04."
- 16 EFFECT: Inserts a corrected title for the ordinance as amended.