

## **KING COUNTY**

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

## Signature Report

## Motion 15649

	Proposed No. 2020-0225.1 Sponsors Balducci and Lambert
1	A MOTION requesting that the executive complete a new
2	environmental checklist addressing the zoning changes
3	contemplated by Ordinance 19030 and any likely
4	alternatives and in compliance with chapter 43.21C WAC,
5	chapter 197-11 WAC and K.C.C. chapter 20.44, and issue a
6	new, amended or addended threshold determination based
7	on the new environmental checklist, in response to the
8	Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings
9	Board's Order on Dispositive Motions for Case No. 20-3-
10	0004c.
11	WHEREAS, Ordinance 19030 established updated regulations for winery,
12	prewery, distillery facilities and remote tasting rooms, in unincorporated King County,
13	und
14	WHEREAS, Ordinance 19030 was challenged on State Environmental Policy Act
15	"SEPA") and Growth Management Act ("GMA") grounds by Futurewise and a
16	neighborhood group to the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board
17	"the board"). The petitioners filed a summary judgment motion with the board, claiming
18	he SEPA process undertaken by the county before adoption of the ordinance had been
19	nsufficient. On May 26, 2020, the board issued an Order on Dispositive Motions for

1

Motion 15649

20	Case No. 20-3-0004c ("the order"), which granted the petitioners' summary judgment
21	motion and invalidated most of the substantive sections of the ordinance. Ordinance
22	19030, Sections 12 through 31, and map amendments 1 and 2, which were Attachments
23	A and B to Ordinance 19030, were invalidated by the board. Ordinance 19030, Sections
24	12 through 31, include definitions, zoning conditions, parking restrictions, temporary use
25	permit clarifications, home occupation and home industry limitations and a
26	demonstration project, and
27	WHEREAS, the board's order also remanded the ordinance to the county to take
28	actions to bring the ordinance into compliance, and
29	WHEREAS, the board's order was primarily focused on SEPA. The board
30	concluded that the analysis contained in the SEPA checklist was insufficient to support
31	the SEPA determination of nonsignificance. The board set a compliance schedule
32	requiring additional action by the county with a November 2020 deadline, and
33	WHEREAS, the board's order did not specify the what compliance required, and
34	there is interest by the council to provide specificity to the executive;
35	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:
36	A. The council requests that the executive comply with the remand requirements
37	of the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board's Order on Dispositive
38	Motions for Case No. 20-3-0004c ("the board's order"), "to come into compliance with
39	RCW 43.21C.030 and chapter 197-11 WAC" by:
40	1. Completing a new environmental checklist addressing the zoning changes
41	contemplated by Ordinance 19030 and any likely alternatives and in compliance with
42	chapter 43.21C RCW, chapter 197-11 WAC and K.C.C. chapter 20.44; and

2

Motion 15649

- 43 2. Issuing a new, amended or addended threshold determination based on the
- 44 new environmental checklist.
- B. The council requests the executive complete the new environmental checklist

Motion 15649

- 46 and new, amended or addended threshold determination prior to the November 6, 2020,
- 47 deadline set by the board's order.

48

Motion 15649 was introduced on 6/23/2020 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/23/2020, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Ms. Balducci, Mr. Dembowski, Mr. Dunn, Ms. Kohl-Welles, Ms. Lambert, Mr. McDermott, Mr. Upthegrove, Mr. von Reichbauer and Mr. Zahilay

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

DocuSigned by: landia Balducci F8830816F1C4427

Claudia Balducci, Chair

ATTEST:

DocuSigned by: Melani Ledro 8DE1BB375AD3422..

Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Council

Attachments: None