

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

June 1, 2009

Ordinance 16541

Proposed No. 2009-0308.1

Sponsors Constantine

1	AN ORDINANCE authorizing the King County executive
2	to execute an amendment to the interlocal agreement with
3	the King County Ferry District related to the county
4	providing ferry planning and implementation services to
5	the district and to allow advance payment for services.
6	
7	BE IT MOVED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
8	SECTION 1. Findings:
9	A. On April 30, 2007, the King County council approved Ordinance 15739,
10	establishing the King County Ferry District. As authorized by RCW 36.54.110, the ferry
11	district is a municipal corporation, an independent taxing authority within the meaning of
12	Article VII, section 1 of the state Constitution, and a taxing district within the meaning of
13	Article VII, section 2 of the state Constitution.
14	B. On April 14, 2008, the King County Council approved Ordinance 16055,
15	authorizing the executive to execute an intergovernmental agreement with the King
16	County Ferry District related to the county providing ferry planning and implementation
17	services to the district.

Ordinance 16541

C. On April 27, 2009, the Ferry District Board voted unanimously to approve
Resolution FD2009-05, authorizing the chair of the Ferry District Board to execute an
amendment to the interlocal agreement with King County related to the county providing
ferry planning and implementations services to the district and to allow advance payment
for services.

24 SECTION 2. The county executive is hereby authorized to execute an 25 amendment to an existing interlocal agreement, substantially in the form of Attachment A 26 to this ordinance, with the King County Ferry District for the provision of services. 27 Ordinance 16541 was introduced on 5/4/2009 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/1/2009, by the following vote: Yes: 7 - Mr. Constantine, Mr. Ferguson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Mr. Phillips and Ms. Patterson No: 0 Excused: 2 - Ms. Hague and Mr. Dunn KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON Dow Constantine, Chair ATTEST: Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council APPROVED this 10 day of Kurt Triplett, County Executive

Attachments

A. Addendum to Interlocal Agreement Between King County and the King County
Ferry District Regarding Administrative and Supportive Services

ADDENDUM TO INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN KING COUNTY AND THE KING COUNTY FERRY DISTRICT REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT SERVICES

Grantor(s):

KING COUNTY, a home rule charter County in the State of

Washington

Grantee(s):

KING COUNTY FERRY DISTRICT, a Washington municipal

corporation

Short Legal Description:

N/A

Assessor's Property Tax

N/A

Parcel/Account Number(s):

Reference Number(s) of

20080528000043

Documents Assigned

or Released:

THIS ADDENDUM TO INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN KING COUNTY AND THE KING COUNTY FERRY DISTRICT REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT SERVICES (this "Addendum") is hereby entered into by and between KING COUNTY, a home rule charter County in the State of Washington (the "County") and the KING COUNTY FERRY DISTRICT, a municipal corporation of the State of Washington (the "District") and shall be effective upon approval by the County and the District.

WHEREAS, the County formed the District, in accordance with RCW 36.54.110 et seq., by adopting Ordinance 15739; and

WHEREAS, the parties previously entered into an Interlocal Agreement Between King County and the King County Ferry District Regarding Administrative and Support Services dated effective May 23, 2008 and regarding the operation of ferry service (the "Ferry Service Interlocal"); and

WHEREAS, the District expressed in the Ferry Service Interlocal its intent that, in contracting with the County to manage operations for routes serving Vashon Island and West Seattle, expenses will be managed so that there is sufficient funding in reserve for a sequenced rollout of five (5) demonstration passenger-ferry routes as described in District Resolution FD2007-06.2, Section L, including but not limited to: Des Moines, Downtown Seattle, North Bay, Magnolia, Shilshole, Shoreline, Lake Union, North Renton, the University of Washington, Kenmore and Kirkland; and

WHEREAS, the District has determined that the following twenty (20) route options and fourteen (14) landing sites should be studied first and from which the first two (2) demonstration routes will be selected:

- 1. Des Moines Seattle (Pier 50)
- 2. Ballard (Puget Sound) Seattle (Pier 50)
- 3. Ballard (Lake Union Ship Canal) Seattle (South Lake Union)
- 4. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) Seattle (University of Washington {UW}-Waterfront Activities Center)
- 5. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) Seattle (UW-Agua Verde)
- 6. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) Seattle (Madison Park)
- 7. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) Seattle (Leschi)
- 8. Kenmore (Lakepointe) Seattle (UW -Waterfront Activities Center)
- 9. Kenmore (Lakepointe) Seattle (UW Agua Verde)
- 10. Kenmore (Lakepointe) Seattle (Madison Park)
- 11. Kenmore (Lakepointe) Seattle (Leschi)
- 12. Kirkland Seattle (UW-Waterfront Activities Center)
- 13. Kirkland Seattle (UW-Agua Verde)
- 14. Kirkland Seattle (Madison Park)
- 15. Kirkland Seattle (Leschi)
- 16. Renton Seattle (UW-Waterfront Activities Center)
- 17. Renton Seattle (UW-Agua Verde)
- 18. Renton Seattle (Madison Park)
- 19. Renton Seattle (Leschi)
- 20. Renton Bellevue

WHEREAS, the County and District desire to amend the Ferry Service Interlocal to provide for the additional scope of this work and to address a matter related to timing of payments;

NOW, THEREFORE, the following terms, conditions and covenants are hereby incorporated into, and made a part of, the Ferry Service Interlocal:

1. Addition of Scope of Work.

- A. The County will provide its technical expertise and services to the District in order for the District to effectively and efficiently select and plan for the rollout of five (5) demonstration passenger-only ferry routes as set forth in the Scope of Work attached hereto as **Exhibit** "A" and incorporated into the Ferry Service Interlocal by this reference (the "Addendum Scope of Work").
- B. The District shall incorporate in its Annual Plans and Annual Budgets the projects identified in this Addendum, as detailed in the Addendum Scope of Work.
- C. The District shall make decisions regarding the demonstration routes in a timely manner and in consideration of the work outlined in the Addendum Scope of Work and the timeline for implementation of possible routes.

- D. The County shall perform the work outlined in the Addendum Scope of Work within the time frames provided therein.
- 2. <u>The Parties agree to add a new paragraph 2.9</u> to the Ferry Service Interlocal as follows:

Pursuant to RCW 42.24.080, the District, acting through either its Executive Committee or the Board of Supervisors, may, upon request of the County, approve an advance payment to the County for services under this Ferry Service Interlocal.

3. The Parties agree to add a new paragraph 3.9 to the Ferry Service Interlocal as follows:

Pursuant to RCW 42.24.080, the County may request the District to approve an advance payment for services under this Ferry Service Interlocal. The request shall be submitted in writing to the District Executive Director. Upon request of the District Executive Director, the County shall provide information to support such request.

4. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Addendum shall take effect upon its signing by both parties, provided the County will be reimbursed by the District for costs incurred and invoiced by the County after receiving a notice to proceed from the District for the work described in this Addendum, through the effective date of the Ferry Service Interlocal.

5. <u>No Further Amendments or Additions</u>. Except as specifically added to by this Addendum, the Ferry Service Interlocal remains unamended and in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Addendum to be executed.

KING COUNTY

By:		
Ron Sims King County Executive	Dated	<u> </u>
Approved as to Form:		
Dan Satterberg King County Prosecuting Attorney		
By:		
Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	Dated	
KING COUNTY FERRY DISTRICT		
Ву:		
Dow Constantine, Board Chair King County Ferry District	Dated	

EXHIBIT A

ADDENDUM SCOPE OF WORK FOR KING COUNTY FERRY DISTRICT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT TECHNICAL STUDIES AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

The purpose of this technical scope of work is as follows:

- 1. To give the King County Ferry District Board of Supervisors (District) the data and information needed to make decisions about the implementation of the candidate demonstration projects as defined in FD2007-06.2;
- 2. To manage contracts and personnel necessary to plan and prepare for initiation of demonstration service in 2010, and
- 3. To develop evaluation criteria for the District to use in selecting a service provider for demonstration route service in 2010.

Phase 1: Route and Landing Sites Analysis

Estimated Duration	The duration for each part of the work in the phase 1 route analysis varies. Due dates are listed below in the deliverable section based on a May 4, 2009 notice to proceed from the District to the County. If notice to proceed is delayed, the deliverable due dates will be revised accordingly.	
Scope	The County will develop and apply an evaluation matrix to assess the following routes and landing sites. The County will submit to the District a supporting report that will include thorough technical analysis of all twenty (20)route options and fourteen (14) landing sites listed below:	
	Routes:	
	1. Des Moines – Seattle (Pier 50)	
	2. Ballard (Puget Sound) – Seattle (Pier 50)	
	3. Ballard (Lake Union Ship Canal) – Seattle (South Lake Union)	
	4. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) – Seattle (UW-Waterfront Activities Center)	
	5. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) – Seattle (UW-Agua Verde)	
	6. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) – Seattle (Madison Park)	
	7. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park) – Seattle (Leschi)	
	8. Kenmore (Lakepointe) – Seattle (UWWaterfront Activities	
	Center)	
	9. Kenmore (Lakepointe) – Seattle (UW—Agua Verde)	
	10. Kenmore (Lakepointe) – Seattle (Madison Park)	
	11. Kenmore (Lakepointe) – Seattle (Leschi)	

- 12. Kirkland Seattle (UW-Waterfront Activities Center)
- 13. Kirkland Seattle (UW-Agua Verde)
- 14. Kirkland Seattle (Madison Park)
- 15. Kirkland Seattle (Leschi)
- 16. Renton Seattle (UW-Waterfront Activities Center)
- 17. Renton Seattle (UW-Agua Verde)
- 18. Renton Seattle (Madison Park)
- 19. Renton Seattle (Leschi)
- 20. Renton Bellevue

Landing Sites:

- 1. Des Moines
- 2. Seattle (Pier 50)
- 3. Ballard (Puget Sound)
- 4. Ballard (Lake Union Ship Canal)
- 5. South Lake Union
- 6. Kenmore (Tracy Owen Park)
- 7. Kenmore (Lakepointe)
- 8. UW (Waterfront Activities Center)
- 9. UW (Agua Verde)
- 10. Madison Park
- 11. Leschi
- 12. Kirkland
- 13. Bellevue
- 14. Renton

The evaluation matrix and supporting report will include data and analysis on the following subject areas:

Marine Information

- existing marine and uplands infrastructure
- ownership of infrastructure
- route characteristics, navigational constraints
- terminal maintenance considerations
- identification and analysis of vessel options and trade-offs including such things as vessel size, crewing requirements, speed, safety, fuel consumption, customer comfort and operating costs

Uplands Information

- parking capacity, options, and management
- ownership of identified uplands options
- modal connections including bus, bicycle, and pedestrian
- identification of bus/shuttle service routes and service-level in coordination with planning staff from candidate demonstration

communities

Ridership Potential and Characteristics

- origin and destination data and analysis,
- travel time relative to alternate modes
- ridership estimates

Cost Information

Capital and operating cost

Permitting Information

environmental permitting requirements

Timetable

• Identification of probable timeframes for making route operational for demonstration service

Emergency Planning Information

- Identification of routes with the potential to mitigate construction impacts from the Alaskan Way Viaduct, SR-520, and other major projects affecting commute time in the region.
- Identification of routes with emergency capability and capacity.

Deliverable

Part A

- By May 5, 2009, the County will provide the District with preliminary route analysis findings to assess which 5 to 7 routes could reasonably be implemented by June 2010.
- By May 12, 2009, the District will provide guidance to the County as to which 5 to 7 routes to carry forward in analysis for routes that can be reasonably implemented by June 2010.
- By June 30, 2009 the County will provide the District with the analysis findings to assess which 3 to 4 routes to continue to be carried forward for expedited analysis to be operational by June 2010.
- By July 7, 2009 the District Executive Committee will provide the County with the names of the 3 to 4 routes to be carried forward for expedited analysis to be operational by June 2010.

Part B

- By August 26, 2009 the County will provide a thorough technical analysis of the 3 or 4 routes selected by the District Executive Committee on July 7, 2009.
- By September 1, 2009 the District will select two routes for the County to make ready for June 2010 implementation as described in phase 2 of this scope of work.

Part C By February 28, 2010, the County will complete a thorough technical analysis as defined in the phase I scope above for the remaining routes not analyzed in Part B. The County may evaluate fewer routes if directed by the District to eliminate certain routes from the analysis. The following reports will be developed during the execution of Parts A, B. and C: Route Matrix and Supporting Report The matrix will contain data at a level sufficient to enable the District to make decisions regarding the timing of route implementation, inform decisions on sequencing the introduction of candidate routes and provide the District with information necessary to develop optimal service level requirements by route. Operating and Capital Cost Estimates Vessel Options Analysis Report

Phase 2: Route Preparations for start-up of two-routes in 2010

Estimated Duration		
Scope	For the first two demonstration routes the County will:	
	Design minor terminal modifications	
	 Apply for and manage the process to secure all necessary permits, licenses, and landing rights 	
	Coordinate bus/shuttle service with Metro Transit	
	 Develop bus/shuttle service program consistent with Metro Transit procedures 	
	 Establish and negotiate landing rights agreements with other entities, as needed 	
	Manage site improvement construction	
Deliverable(s)	Permit Applications and Progress Reports	
	Plans, Specifications and Estimates for Terminal Facilities, as needed	
	Connecting Bus/Shuttle Service Plan and Schedules	
	Draft and Final Agreements with other entities	
	Licenses or leases as required for landing or use of facilities	
	Any other tasks necessary to achieve operational readiness of the	

routes by the target date of June 14, 2010
The County's ability to meet a June 2010 start-up date is dependent on the District making route selection decisions in accordance with the schedule described in this scope of work. The demonstration route service start date may also vary depending on the characteristics of the routes selected by the District.

Phase 3: Develop Service Provider Technical Specifications

Estimated Duration	Commencing concurrent with Phase 2 and completed within three months following route selection by the District.
Scope	The County will develop service proposal evaluation criteria for use by the District to procure an operator of the demonstration routes.
	The County will prepare technical specifications for use by the District in preparing a request for proposal for demonstration route service with options for single or multiple route operations.
Deliverable	Ferry Service Proposal Evaluation Criteria
	Technical Specifications for a demonstration service request for proposal (RFP).

Phase 4: The County will perform Phases 2 and 3 in a time and manner agreed upon by the County and the District for the next three (3) demonstration routes once the District selects the specific routes. The anticipated implementation date for the next demonstration route is June 2011.