	Minutes
King County Elections	Regional Voting Center Consulting Group (RVC CG)
	June 26, 2007 Lydia and Catherine Rooms King County Administration Building
	<u>Members</u> : Jeff McMorris, Larry Evans, Emily Willoughby, Monica Tracey, Nadine Shiroma, Anita Koyier-Mwamba, Sherril Huff, Bill Huennekens
a.	<ul> <li>Drop-Off Locations (BDOLs) Facilities Update</li> <li>Follow-up information from last meeting <ol> <li>Seattle Neighborhood Service Centers (NSC)</li> <li>Out of 13 total sites, 7 are "payment centers"</li> <li>Proposal to establish BDOLs at 7 payment centers <ol> <li>Confirm with Seattle Department of Neighborhoods Staff that all NSC sites are ADA compliant and accessible</li> <li>KC Elections staff (from elections distribution center) will evaluate accessibility of 7 NSC payment centers; to be completed by next meeting</li> </ol> </li> <li>Rural libraries <ol> <li>King County Library System has facilities located in rural cities</li> <li>Indoor/Outdoor Drop Boxes</li> <li>Cost analysis of indoor/outdoor ballot drop off locations</li> <li>Many variables to consider in cost evaluation</li> <li>RVC CG recommends that BDOLs be placed outdoors for increased accessibility</li> </ol> </li> <li>Elections staff to investigate ballot drop box regulations to determine requirements for hours of operation, number of days prior to election</li> <li>Mapping of BDOLs</li> <li>Create consolidated map of proposed BDOLs (Neighborhood Service Centers and King County Library System facilities) and RVCs <ol> <li>Eliminate BDOLs that are within close proximity to one another AND within close proximity to a proposed RVC site</li> </ol> </li> </ol></li></ul>
	acilities Update Follow-up information from last meeting
	<ul> <li>i. Population: Voters with disabilities (DSB Clients)</li> <li>1. Number of clients detailed by city</li> <li>2. Reinforces data and most locations previously discussed</li> <li>3. Shoreline area is high traffic area for persons with disabilities</li> <li>4. Persons with disabilities located and plotted against potential RVC sites</li> </ul>

- ii. Updated Twenty-day RVC locations
  - 1. Shoreline to replace Northgate; Northgate is not very accessible

- a. High traffic area
- b. Very impersonal
- c. Could be dangerous
- 2. Downtown Seattle, Renton and Bellevue are also 20 day sites
- 3. Three- to five-day RVC Update
  - a. Added Vashon as RVC site
  - b. Meeting with KC Demographer; South King County is more populous by small margin, but Seattle is growing at faster rate. Continue looking for Federal Way location.
  - c. Many RVCs are close to KC Libraries; reduce number of BDOLs in cases where they are located near RVCs
  - d. Schedule of 5-8 day RVCs
    - i. For messaging, may be easier to tell voters that supplemental locations to be open for same hours/days
- 4. Mobile RVCs
  - a. Two types; RVC on wheels or moving on-site facilities
  - b. Kitsap County
    - i. Plan has been established, but not implemented at this point; funding not approved
    - ii. State is currently not allocating funds for mobile voting
  - c. KC Technical Services has concerns about security and connectivity
  - d. Riverside, CA example
    - i. "ROVER"-mobile voting unit
    - ii. First debuted vehicle in November 2005
    - iii. Evidence of increase in interest in such services
    - iv. This county is not all-mail voting
  - e. Alameda County, CA
    - i. Move vehicle to high traffic areas
    - ii. Messaging was critical
    - iii. Turnout increases as time goes on; more people become aware
  - f. Would enable KC to take machines to where people may be
    - i. This may be only way that some people are able to cast a ballot
  - g. ACCESS vans may be utilized for transportation service
  - h. Number of hours of access at RVCs is malleable
  - i. KCE wants to pursue this idea, but it is not possible for 2008 vote by mail elections. It will take much work to determine logistics
    - i. Security and connectivity remain critical issues for such a mobile RVC
  - j. Perhaps every community/organization could pick a day for mobile RVC

- i. Bring mobile RVC to known locations that serve persons with disabilities
- ii. Perhaps communities to determine their own RVC schedule
- iii. Should be designed to serve the disabled community; exclusively serve those people that use AVUs
- iv. Would have to determine how many machines would be available and how long mobile unit would be at each location
- k. Contact information of other jurisdictions with this technology to be provided
- I. When ACCESS vans are decommissioned, they are disseminated to various organizations throughout the County
- m. Disability Advisory Committee to further discuss the issue of mobile RVCs
  - i. ACCESS van representative to come to next DAC meeting
- n. Two different issues being discussed; mobile RVCs and increased ACCESS van service near Election Day
- o. KCE staff to discuss this issue with various Elections officials at conference in Portland
- b. RVC Costs
  - i. Testing and discussions done with KC OIRM (Office of Information and Resource Management)
  - ii. Much information can be found in VBM Transition Report
  - iii. On-going and one-time costs have been estimated
  - iv. Financial figures based on four 20-day RVCs and thirteen 5-8-day RVCs
  - v. This is high estimate for county-wide election
  - vi. Q: What is estimate figure of current Election Day costs? 1. Approximately \$500,000 for only operations costs
  - vii. At close of every day at RVC, ballots will need to be delivered to KCE facilities
  - viii. Perhaps BDOLs hours/days of operation to vary as RVCs; some open for fewer days in comparison with others
  - ix. AVUs have already been purchased; however, more "equipment" is needed:
    - 1. Computers
    - 2. Connectivity
    - 3. Tables, etc.
  - x. Jurisdictions will have to pay costs of RVCs and BDOLs
  - xi. Approximately \$20,000 for one 5-day RVC
  - xii. Jurisdiction would not have option on RVC hours/days; King County must provide accessible voting
- 3. DAC meeting is on same day as next RVC CG meeting; may need to be rescheduled
- 4. RVC CG Report Outline

- a. Review of outline (transmittal sheet, +/- two page recommendation report, appendices)
- b. Using the outline, staff will draft recommendation report by next meeting
- 5. Next meeting is July 10 at 2 pm, Lydia/Catherine Room, KC Admin. Bldg.

Minutes recorded by Alex Herzog