

Proposed No. 2007-0270.3

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Constantine, Patterson, Dunn,

Signature Report

June 5, 2007

Motion 12525

Sponsors

Gossett, Ferguson, Lambert and **Phillips** 1 A MOTION adopting a five-point plan for improving King 2 County's emergency preparedness. 3 4 WHEREAS, King County experienced the rainiest month on record for the Puget 5 Sound area in November 2006, with a total rainfall of over fifteen inches, which created 6 widespread flooding, drainage issues and slope instability, and 7 WHEREAS, King County experienced severe windstorms on December 14 and 8 15, 2006, which knocked out power to more than one million customers and caused 9 fourteen deaths, including eight persons who died of carbon monoxide poisoning, and 10 WHEREAS, King County experienced severe snow and ice conditions in 11 December 2006 and January 2007 that challenged road maintenance crews and transit 12 providers, and 13 WHEREAS, although local governments, public and private utilities and private 14 nonprofit agencies responded quickly and efficiently to the unique and unprecedented 15 challenges presented by the recent winter storms, it is prudent to examine those responses 16 so that the lessons learned can be used to improve future emergency management 17 response, and

18	WHEREAS, particular areas of possible improvement that have been identified
19	include the availability of emergency shelter, the management and signage of traffic in
20	accordance with applicable RCW sections at intersections where traffic control devices
21	have lost power, the coordination of human services and the welfare of King County
22	residents with special needs or few resources, who are unusually vulnerable to weather,
23	natural disasters and other emergency conditions, and
24	WHEREAS, in the aftermath of hurricane Katrina, businesses, nonprofit
25	organizations and other legal entities proved to be effective agents of relief and care for
26	the population, and
27	WHEREAS, the council is interested in working with other local government and
28	private agencies, elected officials and emergency management professionals to address
29	these and other issues;
30	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:
31	A.1. The council requests the King County emergency management advisory
32	committee ("EMAC") to review existing emergency management plans and activities
33	and, where appropriate, to make recommendations to the council and executive
34	regarding:
35	a. existing and needed day and night shelter facilities for persons who have
36	been displaced by weather, natural disaster or other emergency conditions;
37	b. infrastructure improvements needed for those facilities to better serve their
38	intended purposes;
39	c. the extent to which local government and private agencies in the Puget
ın	Sound area are cooperating with King County to develop a regional sheltering plan to

41	ensure every community has the resources to meet the needs of those who are displaced
42	as a result of a storm, natural disaster or other emergency conditions; and
43	d. expanding the role of businesses, nonprofit organizations and other legal
44	entities in planning for and responding to disasters and emergencies.
45	2. The council recognizes that the Regional Disaster Plan assigns city
46	governments the responsibility for providing emergency shelters for residents of
47	incorporated areas, while counties are responsible to provide shelters for residents of
48	unincorporated areas.
49	B. The council requests the EMAC to review the King County Emergency
50	Management Plan and the Regional Disaster Plan to evaluate what updates and
51	implementation actions are needed in response to lessons learned from the winter storms
52	of 2006 and 2007, with particular, but not exclusive, emphasis on:
53	1. Improving communication between government agencies and the public
54	regarding the current and future status of utility and other essential services;
55	2. Improving communication with diverse communities including potential
56	language barriers;
57	3. Meeting the needs of vulnerable and special needs populations including
58	those with pets;
59	4. Meeting the needs of individuals served by state-licensed facilities, including
50	identifying the role of state agencies, local governments, private parties and other key
51	actors;
52	5. Meeting the needs of families and individuals with few resources for dealing
53	with emergencies;

64	6. Providing communication about emergency responses in alternative
65	languages and accessible formats;
66	7. Making recommendations as to how best to communicate to the public when
67	electric power is out;
68	8. Requiring helipads for evacuations from buildings six stories and taller; and
69	9. Ensuring critical King County facilities are supplied with enough emergency
70	materials and staffing to continue operations independently for at least three days.
71	C. The council requests the executive and the sheriff to provide the council with
72	an analysis of traffic management measures that can be taken to minimize impacts from
73	weather, natural disaster and other emergency conditions. The analysis should:
74	1. Identify the busiest intersections in unincorporated King County;
75	2. Include a plan with cost estimates for assisting the safe movement of traffic at
76	those intersections to minimize impacts from widespread power outages; and
77	3. Identify potential liability and staffing issues.
78	D. Based on a review of relevant plans, the council requests the executive to:
79	1. Prepare an analysis of ways to better integrate the efforts of human services
30	providers, including not only government agencies, but also private entities, such as adult
31	family homes, to meet the needs of King County residents during storms, natural
32	disasters and other emergency conditions;
33	2. Explore the feasibility of expanding the role of businesses, nonprofit
34	organizations and other legal entities in planning for and responding to disasters and
35	emergencies; and

86	3. Identify the feasibility and potential cost and of providing for free disposal of
87	debris caused by a disaster involving an executive-declared emergency.
88	E. Based on the executive's review of the plans and EMAC recommendations, the
89	council requests the executive to work with utilities to develop a system for identifying
90	King County residents with special needs, so that first responders can be directed to the
91	residences of special needs individuals with an understanding of their requirements.

F. The executive, the sheriff and the EMAC are requested to transmit their findings and recommendations to the council by October 1, 2007, filed in the form of twelve copies with the clerk of the council, who will retain the original and will forward

copies to each councilmember, the policy staff director and to the lead staff for the general government and labor relations committee, or its successor.

97

Motion 12525 was introduced on 4/23/2007 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/4/2007, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Mr. Gossett, Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Phillips, Ms. Hague and Mr. Constantine No: 0

Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Zarry Gossett, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments None