Return Address: Clerk of the Council Metropolitan King County Council 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, WA 98104

Additional legal is on page 2 of document.

5229300240

Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Number



ORDINANCE Rec: \$113.50 7/29/2019 8:46 AM KING COUNTY, WA

<b>Document Title(s)</b> (or transactions contained therein): (all areas applicable to your document <u>must</u> be filled in)		
1. Ordinance 18934 AN ORDINANCE authorizing the vacation of a portion of 166 <sup>th</sup> Avenue SE, Kendall Avenue, Renton, File No. V-2684; Petitioners: Michael and Valerie Creighton.		
Reference Number(s) of Documents assigned or released:		
Additional reference #'s on page of document		
Grantor(s) (Last name, first name, initials)  1. King County, Washington  Additional names on page of document.		
Grantee(s) (Last name first, then first name and initials)  1. King County, Washington  2		
Additional names on page of document.  Legal description (abbreviated: i.e. lot. block plat or section township, range)		

Please print or type information WASHINGTON STATE RECORDER'S Cover Sheet (RCW

The Auditor/Recorder will rely on the information provided on the form. The staff will not read the document to verify the accuracy or completeness of the indexing information provided herein.

I am requesting an emergency nonstandard recording for an additional fee as provided in

☐ Assessor Tax # not yet assigned

RCW 36.18.010. I understand that the recording processing requirements may cover up or otherwise obscure some part of the text of the original document.

That 30-foot wide portion of a portion of a public right-of-way situate in the Northwest...

\_\_\_\_\_Signature of Requesting Party



## Signature Report

#### Ordinance 18934

	<b>Proposed No.</b> 2018-0012.2	Sponsors McDermott
1	AN ORDINANCE aut	horizing the vacation of a portion of
2	166th Avenue SE, Ker	dall Avenue, Renton, file no. V-
3	2684; Petitioners Mich	ael and Valerie Creighton.
4	STATEMENT OF FACTS:	
5	1. A petition was filed reques	ing vacation of a portion of 166th Avenue
6	SE, Kendall Avenue, Renton,	hereinafter described.
7	2. The department of transpor	tation notified utility companies serving the
8	area and King County departm	ents of the proposed vacation and has been
9	advised that no easements are	required within the vacation area. The
10	vacation will not extinguish th	e rights of any utility company to any
11	exiting easements for facilities	or equipment within the vacation area.
12	3. The department of transpor	ation records indicate that King County has
13	not expended public funds for	the acquisition or maintenance of the
14	subject portions of 166th Aven	ue SE also known as Kendall Avenue right-
15	of-way. The subject vacation a	rea is an unopened right-of-way.
16	4. The department of transport	ation considers the subject portion of right
17	of way useless as part of the co	unty road system, believes the public
18	would benefit from vacation, a	nd recommends waiving all monetary
19	compensation from the petition	ers.

20	5. Due notice was given in the manner provided by law. The hearing
21	examiner held the public hearing on April 30, 2019. As detailed in his
22	May 15, 2019, recommendation, the examiner concluded that the road
23	segment subject to this petition is not useful as part of the King County
24	road system, that the public will benefit from its vacation, and that \$2,902
25	is the appropriate amount of compensation due from the petitioners.
26	6. For the reasons stated in the examiner's recommendation, the council
27	determines that it is in the best interest of the citizens of King County to
28	grant said petition, provided that petitioners pay to King County \$2,902 in
29	compensation.
30	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
31	SECTION 1. The council, on the effective date of this ordinance, hereby
32	vacates and abandons a portion 166th Avenue SE also known as Kendall Avenue
33	right-of-way as described below:
34	That 30-foot wide portion of a public right-of-way situate in the Northwest
35	Quarter of Section 12, Township 23 North, Range 5 East, W.M., described
36	as that portion of Kendall Avenue (166th Ave SE) lying easterly of the
37	centerline thereof and adjoining Lot 4, May Valley Division No. 1
38	according to the Plat filed in Volume 21 of Plats, at Page 6, Records of
39	King County Recorder.
40	Situate in the County of King and State of Washington.
41	Containing an area of 13,089 square feet, more or less.
42	SECTION 2. Vacation is contingent on petitioners paying \$2,902 to King

- County, within ninety days of the date the council takes final action. If King County does
- not receive the \$2,902 by that date, there is no vacation and the right-of-way remains
- 45 King County's.

46

Ordinance 18934 was introduced on 1/8/2018 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/26/2019, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn, Mr. McDermott, Mr. Dembowski, Mr. Upthegrove, Ms. Kohl-Welles and Ms. Balducci

Kins County

ATTEST:

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Rod Dembowski, Chair

Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 10 day of JULY, 2019.

Dow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: A. Hearing Examiner Report dated May 15, 2019

### OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Room 1200 Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone (206) 477-0860 hearingexaminer@kingcounty.gov www.kingcounty.gov/independent/hearing-examiner

#### REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

SUBJECT:

Department of Local Services, Roads file no. V-2684

Proposed ordinance no. 2018-0012 Adjacent parcel no. 5229300240

#### MICHAEL AND VALERIE CREIGHTON

Road Vacation Petition

Location:

a portion of 166th Avenue SE (Kendall Avenue), Renton

Petitioners:

Michael and Valerie Creighton

6947 Coal Creek Parkway SE #720

Newcastle, WA 98059 Telephone: (253) 380-2357

Email: valeriejcreighton@gmail.com

King County: Department of Local Services, Roads

represented by Leslie Drake 201 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 684-1481

Email: leslie.drake@kingcounty.gov

#### SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department's Preliminary Recommendation: Examiner's Recommendation:

Approve vacation, waive all compensation Approve vacation, conditioned on receiving \$2,902 in compensation

#### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. This matter involves Michael and Valerie Creighton's petition to vacate 13,089 square feet of public right-of-way on a portion of 166th Avenue SE (Kendall Avenue), Renton. We conducted the public hearing on behalf of the Council. After hearing witness testimony and observing demeanor, studying the exhibits entered into evidence, and considering the parties' arguments and the relevant law, we recommend that Council vacate the right-of-way upon payment of \$2,902 of compensation.
- 2. This recommendation is one of three road vacation reports we are sending up today. The substantive analysis for how we approach the initial valuation of rights-of-way and adjustments to that valuation is contained in our companion recommendation in *V-2692.* We incorporate that analysis by reference. This document addresses only Creighton-specific information.
- 3. In September 2013, Michael and Valerie Creighton petitioned the County to vacate the public right-of-way at the western edge of their property, stating that they needed the vacation to acquire "setback distance from the rear property line for development of our proposed house." Ex. 3. Despite the time-sensitive nature of the Creightons' petition, their petition was not transmitted to Council until December 2017, four-plus years after they filed it.
- 4. One delay occurred after the division now known as the Department of Local Services, Roads Services Division (Roads) advised the Creightons that, although they were the sole property owner abutting the right-of-way stretch being vacated, and thus the only acquiring property owner, they nonetheless had to get their neighbors to sign their petition.
- 5. It was wise to *inform* the neighbors of the Creightons' petition, in case neighbors needed the right-of-way to access their properties or otherwise might have wanted to oppose vacation (none did). However, the idea that these neighbors needed to sign the Creightons' petition was incorrect, as only the Creightons would be acquiring any property interests. Thus, putting the Creightons proper petition "on hold," delayed things while the Creightons were forced to gather the neighbors' superfluous signatures. Ex. 13 at 001.
- 6. The Creightons obliged Roads' request, obtaining the neighbors signatures and sending an amended petition. Roads then compounded the problem by calculating the average assessed values of these neighboring properties—properties mostly of higher value on a square foot basis than the Creightons'. In April 2015, Roads advised the Creightons that they owed the average assessed value of all 10 properties. Thus instead of a compensation number derived from the Creightons' \$0.95 per square foot property, they were assigned a compensation number more than double that, based on a \$2.05 per square foot figure derived from the neighborhood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.kingcounty.gov/~/media/independent/hearing-examiner/documents/case-digest/applications/road%20vacation/2019/V-2692 GoodGround GirlScoutsWW Report CDversion.ashx?la=en.

- 7. In May 2015, Roads sent another letter to the Creightons, again using the higher neighborhood average of \$2.05 per square foot. Ex. 14. In July 2016, Roads sent the Creightons another letter adjusting the owed compensation upward again (based on more recent Assessor data), and again erroneously using neighborhood averages, this time at \$2.28 per square foot. Ex. 15.
- 8. In September 2016, Roads notified the Creightons that code changes were afoot and again put their petition on hold. Ex. 16. In July 2017, Roads notified the Creightons' that it was willing to recommend a full waiver of compensation, per the downward adjustments the newly enacted RCW 36.87.120 allowed. Ex. 17.
- 9. We held a truncated hearing in March 2018. That hearing showed that vacation is appropriate. The right-of-way "is useless as part of the county road system and...the public will be benefitted by its vacation and abandonment." RCW 36.87.020. Never improved as a road, it does not provide access to any property. Vacation would have no adverse effect on the provision of access and fire and emergency services to the abutting properties and surrounding area. The right-of-way is not necessary for the present or future public road system for travel or utilities purposes.
- 10. The sticking point was compensation. We explained how under the previous code, compensation (correctly calculated) would be \$6,217. We pressed Roads to come up with a comprehensive methodology for calculating the RCW 36.87.120 adjustments, but Roads would not. We thus stayed this case, along with all other pending vacation petitions, to allow the Office of Performance, Strategy and Budget (PSB) to create a quantitative model.
- 11. In our March 2018 order staying the Creightons' petition, we afforded the Creightons the choice of either paying the \$6,217 or waiting to see how the (future) PSB model applies to their property. Observing that the Creightons found themselves in the position they were in through no fault of their own, we opined that they should not be not be penalized if a PSB analysis comes up with a higher amount. We committed to recommending that compensation be the <u>lower</u> of \$6,217 or the number produced by the (future) PSB model. We closed by reiterating that "we appreciate the Creightons' long-suffering patience. We will get there eventually."
- 12. After PSB developed the model and presented it in January 2019, we held a prehearing conference in the Creightons' case, and then set it for hearing. PSB calculated that the value of adding the right-of-way to the Creightons' parcel was \$5,000. From this, PSB subtracted \$98 as the present value of anticipated, property tax revenue the County would receive, and subtracted another \$2,000 for unopened right-of-way maintenance and management costs. This results in compensation of \$2,902, significantly less than the \$6,217 that would have applied if we had proceeded last spring. Ex. 32.
- 13. We held a brief and uneventful hearing on April 30. The Creightons are eager to proceed with vacation. We see no reason why they should need to wait any longer.

#### 1

#### RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE proposed ordinance no. 2018-0012 to vacate the subject road right-of-way, provided that within 90 days of the date Council takes final action, the Creightons pay to King County \$2,902, or other such amount of compensation Council shall determine. If King County does not receive the required compensation by the specified date, there is no vacation and the right-of-way remains King County's.

DATED May 15, 2019.

David Spohr Hearing Examiner

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

A person appeals an Examiner recommendation by following the steps described in KCC 20.22.230, including filing with the Clerk of the Council a sufficient appeal statement and a \$250 appeal fee (check payable to the King County FBOD), and providing copies of the appeal statement to the Examiner and to any named parties listed on the front page of the Examiner's recommendation. Please consult KCC 20.22.230 for exact requirements.

Prior to the close of business (4:30 p.m.) on *June 10, 2019*, an electronic copy of the appeal statement must be sent to <u>Clerk.Council@kingcounty.gov</u> and a paper copy of the appeal statement must be delivered to the Clerk of the Council's Office, Room 1200, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104. Prior mailing is not sufficient if the Clerk does not actually receive the fee and the appeal statement within the applicable time period.

Unless the appeal requirements of KCC 20.22.230 are met, the Clerk of the Council will place on the agenda of the next available Council meeting a proposed ordinance implementing the Examiner's recommended action.

If the appeal requirements of KCC 20.22.230 are met, the Examiner will notify parties and interested persons and will provide information about "next steps."

# MINUTES OF THE MARCH 15, 2018, HEARING ON THE ROAD VACATION PETITION OF MICHAEL AND VALERIE CREIGHTON, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FILE NO. V-2684

David Spohr was the Hearing Examiner in this matter. Participating in the hearing were Leslie Drake and Michael and Valerie Creighton.

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the hearing record:

Exhibit no. 1	Th. 1
Exhibit no. 2	Roads report to the Hearing Examiner, sent February 28, 2018
Exhibit no. 2	Letter from Clerk of the Council to Roads transmitting petition, dated
T-1.7 1 2	5cptcmber 11, 2015
Exhibit no. 3	Petition for vacation of a county road, transmitted September 11, 2013
Exhibit no. 4	Revised petition for vacation of a county road, transmitted March 24, 2014
Exhibit no. 5	Vacation area map
Exhibit no. 6	May Valley Division no. I plat map
Exhibit no. 7	Aerial photograph
Exhibit no. 8	Vicinity map
Exhibit no. 9	Vacation area topographical iMap
Exhibit no. 10	Vacation area iMap with Environmental Sensitive Areas overlay
Exhibit no. 11	King County ordinance no. 8237
Exhibit no. 12	Final stakeholder notification, sont Mary 2, 2016
	Final stakeholder notification, sent May 2, 2016, with comment deadline of June 1, 2016
Exhibit no. 13	Letter from Roads to Petitioner updating them on status and progress,
	dated April 20, 2015
Exhibit no. 14	Letter from Roads to Petitioner updating them on status and progress,
	dated May 22, 2015
Exhibit no. 15	Letter from Roads to Petitioner recommending approval, conveying
	County Road Engineer report, proposing compensation, dated July 6,
	2016 2016
Exhibit no. 16	Letter from Roads to Petitioner confirming on hold status, as requested
	by Petitioners, dated September 23, 2016
Exhibit no. 17	Letter from Roads to Petitioner recommending approval, conveying
	County Road Engineer report, proposing compensation waiver, dated July
	13, 2017
Exhibit no. 18	Road Engineer report, dated June 12, 2017
Exhibit no. 19	Letter from Roads to KC Council recommending approval and
	transmitting proposed ordinance, dated October 11, 2017
Exhibit no. 20	Proposed ordinance
Exhibit no. 21	Fiscal note
Exhibit no. 22	Affidavit of posting, noting posting date of February 16, 2018
Exhibit no. 23	Notification of petition letter to William Briere, dated January 26, 2018
Exhibit no. 24	Notification of petition letter to Patricia and Colby Crane, and Bart and
	Paula Crane, dated January 26, 2018

Exhibit no. 25	Notification of petition letter to Gurdip Kaur and Brar Gursewak, dated
Exhibit no. 26	January 26, 2018  Notification of petition letter to May Valley Alliance Church, dated January 26, 2018
Exhibit no. 27	Notification of petition letter to David and Rosemary Moore, dated January 26, 2018
Exhibit no. 28	Notification of petition letter to William Schaefer, dated Japan 26, 2019
Exhibit no. 29	Notification of petition letter to Barry and Cynthia Thompson, dated January 26, 2018
Exhibit no. 30	Affidavit of publication noting publication dates of February 28 and March 7, 2018

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the hearing record on April 30, 2019:

Exhibit no. 31	Supplemental Roads report to the Hearing Examiner, transmitted April 15, 2019
Exhibit no. 32 Exhibit no. 33 Exhibit no. 34 Exhibit no. 35	Compensation calculation spreadsheet Affidavit of posting, noting posting date of March 29, 2019 Affidavit of publication noting publication dates of April 18 and 25, 2019 Email from Jeffrey Darrow with valuation amounts, sent March 21, 2019

DS/vsm



Metropolitan King County Council

Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Council King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue, Room 1200 Seattle, WA 98104-3272

Tel: 206.477.1025

Email: melani.pedroza@kingcounty.gov

TTY 296-1024

Web: www.kingcounty.gov/council/clerk

I, Angel Allende, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington as follows:

- 1. I am over eighteen years of age. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration and am otherwise competent to testify to the matters in this declaration.
- 2. I am Deputy Clerk of the King County Council. In the Clerk's absence this week, I am serving as the Acting Clerk.
- 3. King County has received the petitioners' \$2,902, satisfying the contingency Ordinance 18934, section 2, set for road vacation V-2684.

Signed this 24th day of July, 2019, at Seattle, Washington.

Angele Allende, Deputy Clerk