

Proposed No. FCD2018-09.2

# **KING COUNTY**

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

# Signature Report

# November 7, 2018

## **FCD Resolution**

**Sponsors** 

1	A RESOLUTION relating to the operations and finances of
2	the District, adopting the 2019 budget and authorizing
3	improvements.
4	WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 86.15.140, the King County Flood Control Zone
5	District ("District") held a public hearing on the proposed 2019 budget of the District on
6	November 5, 2018, and
7	WHEREAS, the board of supervisors ("Board") desires to adopt the District's
8	2019 budget, and
9	WHEREAS, by Ordinance 15728, the King County council adopted the District's
LO	initial comprehensive plan of development for flood and stormwater control, which is
11	titled "2006 King County Flood Hazard Management Plan," and by Resolution
L2	FCD2011-05.1, the District Board amended the initial plan to include a project in the city
13	of Seattle (collectively, "the District Comprehensive Plan"), and
14	WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 86.15.110, the Board must approve by resolution
15	all flood control and storm water control improvements, prior to the extension,
16	enlargement, acquisition or construction of such improvements, and
17	WHEREAS, RCW 85.15.110, further provides that such approval resolution must
18	state whether the improvements are to be extended, enlarged, acquired or constructed;
19	state that the comprehensive plan has been adopted; state that the improvements generally

20	contribute to the objectives of the comprehensive plan; state that the improvements will
21	benefit the county as a whole; state the estimated costs of the improvements; and identify
22	the data supporting the estimated costs, and
23	WHEREAS, the Board desires to approve improvements in the District's 2019
24	budget that are not in the District Comprehensive Plan, or that have been modified by the
25	District's 2019 budget, in accordance with RCW 85.15.110, and
26	WHEREAS, the District reaffirms its commitment to the effective and efficient
27	implementation of capital projects by contracting with King County, as its primary
28	service provider, and other jurisdictions when appropriate;
29	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF
30	SUPERVISORS OF THE KING COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL ZONE DISTRICT:
31	SECTION 1. The Board hereby adopts the 2019 Budget for the District, as set
32	forth in Attachments A ("Work Program"), B ("2019 Annual Budget"), C ("2019 Annual
33	Operating Budget"), D ("2019 Annual Capital Budget"), E ("2016 - 2024 Six-Year CIP")
34	F ("2019 Annual District Oversight Budget"), G ("2019 Subregional Opportunity Fund
35	Allocations") and H ("2019-2024 Six-Year CIP Project Allocations"); provided that King
36	County, or other jurisdictions contracted to implement projects, shall submit predesign
37	reports for capital projects to the District executive director, and shall seek approval from
38	the executive director of project charters. Furthermore, King County shall provide to the
39	District executive committee thirty percent design project reports for authorization to
40	proceed with sixty percent design.
41	SECTION 2. The Board approves the extension, enlargement, acquisition or
42	construction, as applicable, of the improvements that are included in the District

43 Comprehensive Plan, that are included in the District Comprehensive Plan but have been 44 modified by Attachments C, D and H to this resolution are identified in Attachments C, D 45 and H to this resolution (collectively, the "Improvements"). The District Comprehensive Plan includes the streams or water courses upon which the Improvements will be 46 47 enlarged, extended, acquired or constructed. The Board determines that the 48 Improvements generally contribute to the objectives of the District Comprehensive Plan and will be of benefit to the county as a whole. 49 50 SECTION 3. The estimated costs of the Improvements are stated in Attachments C, D and H to this Resolution and the supporting data for the estimated costs are on file 51 52 with the director of the King County water and land resources division. 53 SECTION 4. For Improvements that will be constructed, preliminary engineering 54 studies and plans either have been prepared or will be prepared, and have been filed or 55 will be filed, with the director of the King County water and land resources division. 56 SECTION 5. The Board authorizes the executive committee to modify project budgets and schedules identified in Attachment H. 57 58 SECTION 6. The Board directs the District Executive Director to undertake a 59 study examining how to increase efficiency and efficacy in flood control capital project 60 planning, delivery and cost. The study shall include, but not be limited to, staffing analysis for District administration; a comparison of District capital project delivery with 61 industry best practices and other nationwide flood control jurisdictions; an evaluation of 62 63 the District's financial plan and a comparison to industry best practices, an analysis of 64 capital project planning best practices; recommendations and options to increase 65 efficiency and efficacy in capital project delivery.

SECTION 7. The Board directs the District Executive Director to develop a
framework to communicate District priorities for the District's Cooperative Watershed
Management (CWM) grant program prior each Water Resource Inventory Area's annual
deliberation process for recommendations to the District for CWM grants.
SECTION 8. The Board directs the District Executive Director to develop a new
District website.
SECTION 9. The Board directs the District Executive Director to work with
King County water and land resources division to work with willing landowners to
acquire the property necessary to complete a levee setback at the Gaco-Mitchell portion
of the Tukwila 205 levee in Tukwila.
SECTION 10. Section 3.6 of the interlocal agreement between the District and
King County provides that King County shall notify the District executive director in
writing if the county needs to modify or reprioritize capital projects. King County's
notifications to the District executive director should include information regarding
variations within project budgets of more than twenty percent in the "acquisition,"
"design," "construction," "contingency" and "total" expenditure categories, shown on

83 Attachment D to this resolution.

84

FCD Resolution was introduced on and passed as amended by the King County Flood Control District on 11/5/2018, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn, Mr. McDermott, Mr. Dembowski, Mr. Upthegrove, Ms. Kohl-Welles and Ms. Balducci

No: 0 Excused: 0

KING COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

ATTEST:

Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Board

Attachments: A. King County Flood Control District 2018 Work Program dated November 5, 2018, B. 2019 Annual Budget dated November 1, 2018, C. 2019 Operating Budget dated November 1, 2018, D. 2019 Annual Capital Budget dated November 1, 2018, E. 2019-2024 Six-Year CIP dated November 1, 2018, F. 2019 Annual District Oversight Budget dated November 1, 2018, G. 2019 Subregional Opportunity Fund Allocations dated November 1, 2018, H. 2019-2024 Six-Year CIP Project Allocations dated November 1, 2018

Attachment A

#### King County Flood Control District 2019 Work Program

The District work program is comprised of three categories: district oversight and policy development, operations, and capital improvements. The Flood Control District contracts with King County for operations and capital improvements.

- District Oversight and Policy Development
  - Policy direction to guide Advisory Committee and King County as service provider
  - Financial planning, budgeting, levy rate, bonding (if any)
  - Administration of contracts
  - Asset management
  - Capital improvement priorities
  - O Capital improvement implementation evaluation
  - o Public awareness priorities
  - Post flood event review and evaluation
  - Federal and state legislative agenda
  - o Legal services, financial management, and Washington State audit
- Operations Work Program
  - o Annual Maintenance
  - Flood Hazards Plan, Grants, Outreach
  - Flood Hazard Studies, Maps, Technical Services
  - Flood Preparation, Flood Warning Center, Post Flood Recovery
  - o Program Management, Supervision, Finance, Budget
  - o Program Implementation,
  - District Planning, Outreach, Policy and Technical Services
- Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
  - Capital Improvement Projects Acquisitions and Elevations
  - Programmatic capital funding (Subregional Opportunity Fund, Cooperative Watershed Management Grants, Flood Reduction Grants)

#### 2019 Priorities:

#### Management & Budget

- Seek federal assistance with US Army Corps issues
- Align capital expenditure schedules
- Provide budget issue requests to Advisory Committee
- Examining how to increase efficiency and efficacy in flood control capital project planning and delivery including a staffing analysis for District administration, an evaluation of the District's financial plan.

#### Policy Development

- o Develop prioritization framework for Cooperative Watershed Management grant program
- Equity and Social Justice Policy
- Evaluate Home Elevation Program to recommend policy changes to make program more effective and accessible for residents at risk of flooding

#### Capital Projects

- Establish reporting format for delineating that portion a project's capital budget that meets habitat mitigation requirements and that portion dedicated to habitat restoration benefits
- Reports from WLRD on capital project progress

#### Real Estate

- Purchase property from willing sellers necessary for the capital project at the Gaco-Mitchell portion of the Tukwila 205 levee.
- Update facility inventory and real estate records
- Address property title issues

#### Planning and Studies

- o Snoqualmie Middle Fork Planning Process
- Lower Green River Planning Process
- o 2018 Flood Hazard Management Plan Update Process
- Levee Breach Study to evaluate and identify gaps in evacuation and shelter in place plans in areas impacted by a levee breech

#### Grants

- Monitor Opportunity Fund Project Implementation
- o Monitor WRIA/CWM Grant progress and identify leveraging opportunities
- O Develop prioritization framework for WRIA/CWM Grant Program
- Outreach for Flood Reduction Grants Program including funding opportunities for dam inundation mapping

#### Communications

- Develop new and updated District website
- Review and approve communications plans by Service Provider for planning processes, advisory committees, large wood, flood awareness, and special initiatives
- o Conduct media outreach and response on identified priorities
- Participate in public meetings on priorities

#### King County ILA Service Provider Work Plan

#### Resource Management, Annual Maintenance, and Facility Monitoring

**Program Summary:** Coordinate facility and property maintenance for the District, which includes 500 flood protection facilities covering 119 linear miles and approximately 800 acres of land managed for flood mitigation purposes. Facility inspections and assessments may lead to proposed repairs in the capital program. Inspections and assessments also help to increase the potential for federal funding

assistance for future flood damages.

#### Annual Maintenance Program:

- Manage work authorizations and coordinate with Department of Transportation (DOT) Road Services Division, Washington Conservation Corps, work crews from the Road Division, Earth Corps, the Department of Juvenile and Adult Detention's Community Work Program, or contractors on completion of maintenance activities:
  - Facility mowing
  - Access gate maintenance
  - Access road maintenance
  - Noxious and non-native plant removal
  - Irrigation and watering
  - o Interpretive sign installation and maintenance.
- Coordinate design of facility and acquisition property re-vegetation projects.
- Coordinate design and implementation of volunteer planting and other land stewardship projects.
- Provide land and resource management including management of lands for appropriate levels of public access.
- Inspect, assess and, if necessary, remove hazardous trees.
- Collect and remove garbage from fee-simple owned property.

## Flood Protection Facility Assessment and Monitoring Program

- Develop methods for facility inventory/assessment program.
- Conduct annual, spring and fall, facility assessments.
- Conduct, or assist with, post-flood damage assessments.
- Produce annual report on facility conditions.

#### Facility Maintenance and Repair Program

- Conduct or assist with facility assessments, consistent with the facility assessment and monitoring program.
- Coordinate with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) on PL 84-99 levee inspections including vegetation management, permitting, and mitigation (as necessary).
- Support or lead staff on the Green River Pump Station Operation and Maintenance Program.

#### Sediment Management, Large Woody Debris, In-stream Management Program

- Coordinate sediment management program/project actions to reduce flood risks.
- Coordinate large woody debris program/project actions to reduce flood risks.
- Monitor other in-stream hazards and coordinate associated flood risk reduction actions.

## Flood Hazard Plan, Grants, Repetitive Loss Mitigation, and Public Outreach

**Program Summary:** Manage repetitive loss area mitigation coordination, public outreach, flood hazard management planning, and grant preparation. Repetitive loss mitigation is generally achieved by buying or elevating at-risk homes. While buyouts and elevations are funded via the capital program, the planning, prioritization, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) grant submittals are funded via the operating program. Most operating costs for grant development are

reimbursable if the FEMA grant is awarded. Public outreach for specific capital projects is funded through the capital program; basin-wide outreach regarding on-going and planned capital projects is considered an operating expense.

### Repetitive Loss Area Mitigation Planning

#### Program

- Track repetitive loss area and repetitive loss property information.
- Provide ongoing program database updates, including tracking property owner communications, interest, and staff recommendations for mitigation options.
- Manage and administer King County's Home Buyout and Elevation Program consistent with District acquisition policies.

#### **Public Outreach and Communications Program**

- Provide increased citizen preparedness for floods.
- Provide community outreach support for capital projects.
- Conduct annual basin-wide meetings and outreach regarding the full range of floodplain management activities, whether on-going or planned.
- Support media relation activities.
- Coordinate citizen involvement, and prepare and facilitate public meetings.
- Coordinate updates to webpage and other outreach and educational materials.
- Coordinate outreach to landowners with facility easements regarding maintenance work.
- Coordinate with the District to implement communications protocols.

## Community Rating System (CRS) and federal Disaster Mitigation Act Coordination

- Manage the CRS program consistent with the newly adopted federal CRS manual, including coordination with other CRS jurisdictions in King County through the CRS Users Group.
- Complete annual CRS recertification documentation.
- Coordinate/manage updates and process to the planning and regulatory processes for future flood plan updates, King County's Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, King County Comprehensive Plan, Shoreline Master Plan, and Critical Areas Ordinance. This includes coordination with other jurisdictions.

#### **Grants Program**

If resources are available, the following types of grant activities may be included:

- Develop grant applications for FEMA hazard mitigation assistance grants as well as postflood funding. Develop other grant applications to support capital project implementation.
- Administer the biennial Washington State Department of Ecology Flood Control Assistance Account Program (FCAAP) grant process and track successful grants to ensure timely reporting.
- Coordinate and assist with preparation of applications for all state and federal flood hazard mitigation grant processes.

Provide grant application technical assistance to cities and other stakeholders, as needed. Grant prioritization within WLRD shall be based on the following considerations, in order of significance:

- The impacts to public safety.
- The portion of the project directly related to flood reduction.
- The risks of potential damage to infrastructure, including but not limited to businesses, homes, farms, and roads.
- Efficiency of staffing hours.

In addition to grant alerts to the District, WLRD shall transmit a grant overview report to the District by June 30 of each year including information with a description of grants for which WLRD has applied and how the above priorities were taken into consideration.

#### Flood Hazard Studies, Maps, and Technical Studies

**Program Summary:** Generate technical information used to characterize, quantify, and delineate flood risks, as well as to develop and implement strategies and actions to reduce those risks. Flood hazard technical information types include hydrologic and hydraulic studies, floodplain and channel migration zone maps, geologic studies, geographic information system (GIS) land use data, dam operations studies, risk assessments and flood hazard management corridor working maps. These technical assessments are used to inform the capital project feasibility, prioritization, and design process funded by the capital program.

- Conduct independently or with consultant contracts, as needed, the following technical study and mapping projects:
  - o Floodplain delineation and mapping
  - Channel migration zone delineation and mapping
  - Channel monitoring
  - Gravel removal studies and analysis
  - Risk assessments
  - Hydraulic modeling
  - o Landslide hazard mapping in areas that may intersect major river floodplains.
- Coordinate with FEMA and other local, state and federal agencies on mapping studies and products.
- Maintain accessible flood study and flood hazard data in a floodplain mapping library.

#### Flood Preparation, Flood Warning Center and Post Flood Recovery Program

**Program Summary**: Implement a comprehensive approach to preparing and educating citizens for flood events, coordinating emergency response and regional flood warning center operations during flood events, and ensuring consistency across basins for post-flood recovery actions. Post-flood damage assessments may result in capital projects to repair damaged facilities. Flood and post-flood activities are tracked with a unique project number so that expenditures may be submitted for any federal assistance that becomes available following a federal disaster declaration.

#### Flood Preparedness

 Coordinate flood hazard education program, communication tools (brochures, web content, customer service bulletins, etc.) to increase the awareness of flood risks and prepare citizens for flood events. This includes base-level participation in the regional Take Winter by Storm

- campaign.
- Track and disseminate flood hazard technical information to other King County departments (Department of Transportation (DOT), Department of Permitting and Environmental Review (DPER), etc.) and other local, state, and federal agencies.
- Coordinate annual flood awareness month and associated public information program strategy (meetings, websites, other) designed to increase the public's awareness of locally available resources and information.

#### Regional Flood Warning Center

- Staff the Regional Flood Warning Center monitoring and emergency first responder flood patrols during flood events.
- Coordinate with the following agencies in support of the Regional Flood Warning Center operations:
  - Local governments
  - City of Seattle and Corps on dam operations
  - National Weather Service on weather forecasts and flood predictions
  - King County Office of Emergency Management for coordinated emergency response activities
  - United Sates Geological Survey (USGS) on river gauging contract and gauge upgrades
  - King County DOT on road closures and emergency flood damage and repair response activities.
- Coordinate flood emergency response activities.

#### Post-Flood Recovery Operations Program

- Complete preliminary damage assessments, and develop and track FEMA public assistance Project Worksheet completion, expenditures and general documentation.
- Coordinate with FEMA and Corps on flood damage repairs and federal funding opportunities; determine eligibility.
- Identify projects and complete grant applications for post-disaster FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program opportunities.

## Program Management, Supervision; Finance, Budget and General Administration

**Program Summary:** Provide supervisory, budgeting, contract administration, and administrative services for the District.

#### Management and Supervision Tasks

- Manage the technical and business operations of the District work program and staff.
- Develop annual operating and capital budgets, work programs and staff allocations.
- Provide supervision, technical assistance and quality control/assurance to staff.
- Carry out responsibilities for hiring, management performance, developing training expectations and recommending effective discipline and termination.
- Ensure programs and projects are completed to carry out the goals and objectives of

- the River and Floodplain Management Program.
- Work collaboratively with other government and regulatory agencies, departments within King County, and the public to address environmental policies and issues related to floodplain management principles, goals and objectives.

#### Finance and Budget Operations

- Develop annual capital and operating budget.
- Track and report annual capital and operating budget, revenue and expenditures.
- Process approved reimbursement requests for Subregional Opportunity Fund, Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) Cooperative Watershed Management grants, and Flood Reduction grants.
- Provide grant and cost-share reporting, billing and documentation.
- Provide contract and procurement management, support and strategy. (Note: contract
  administration for specific capital projects is charged to the capital project budget rather than
  the operating budget.)
- Support capital project managers/engineers with detailed project expenditures, revenues, scheduling, contract management and other finance needs in support of CIP implementation.
- Contract record-keeping consistent with county, state, and federal policies and requirements.

#### **General Administration**

- Records maintenance.
- Copying, filing, correspondence, and scheduling.
- Meeting preparation, coordination and support.
- Photo-documentation management.
- General program administrative support.

#### Compliance

- Provide access to records including but not limited to contracts, invoices, timesheets.
- Respond to annual District audits, King County Council audits, state audits, grant-related audits, and quarterly procurement audits.
- File semi-annual and Annual Report with the Board of Supervisors and Executive Director in printed and electronic form for posting to the District website.
- Notify Executive Director in writing when project scope, budget or schedule change from the adopted capital improvement plan.
- Notify Executive Director of grant requests 30 days prior to grant due date or submittal
- Notify Executive Director of grant award within 10 days of grant approval.
- Work with Executive Committee and Executive Director to support the District's work with Advisory Committee.

#### King County Flood Control District Program Implementation

**Program Summary:** Implement flood hazard management programs and coordinate capital improvement projects for the District. Teams of staff are organized by river basin, supported by countywide technical services and countywide planning services, and will be responsible for identifying, implementing, and tracking flood risk reduction program and project actions within a

given basin. Staff also coordinate four basin technical committees with partner jurisdictions and maintain relationships with communities and other agencies.

#### Basin Team and Basin Technical Committee Program

- Staff and coordinate regular Basin Technical Committees.
- Implement work program to guide private property owner and community outreach necessary to complete capital improvement projects.
- Develop ongoing relationships with cities, agencies, and stakeholders within the basin, and ensure consistency across basins.
- Coordinate on acquisition priorities with Acquisition Unit consistent with District acquisition policies.
- Coordinate and support logiam investigation and response/action.
- Respond to, investigate and provide technical assistance for enforcement on complaints and general inquiries. Conduct citizen and/or landowner contact, communication and outreach.
- Conduct annual public meetings about large wood.
- Coordinate with the DOT Road Services Division on construction crew scheduling.
- Provide quarterly project reporting to management.
- Address and seek resolution on basin-specific floodplain management issues.

#### King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Coordination

- Provide staff support to the Flood Control District Advisory Committee and the Board of Supervisors, as requested by the Executive Director.
- Track basin technical committee meetings, issues, and cross-basin policy issues.
- Coordinate public process across the District to ensure consistent outreach across basins.
- Report District activities, accomplishments, revenues and expenditures through an annual report.
- Respond to Advisory Committee and Board of Supervisors requests for information regarding rate structure options, and other issues.

#### Flood Control District Committee Support

 Provide presentations and updates as requested by the Executive Director at meetings of the Executive Committee and Board of Supervisors.

#### Floodplain Management Planning

- Support Board discussions of policy issues, building on materials previously developed for the Citizens Committee.
- Support Board engagement in capital project planning efforts, including the development of goals and evaluating alternative flood risk reduction actions. Participate in basin planning and coordination efforts such as the Lower Snoqualmie Flood-Fish-Farm work group.

#### Agriculture Needs Assistance

- Provide technical and modeling assistance and permitting support for farm pad proposals.
- Manage compensatory storage bank.
- Provide assistance to identify and pursue mitigation opportunities for barn and other farm structure elevations.

- Implement recommendations of the Farm/Flood Task Force as directed by District Executive Committee.
- Coordinate outreach to farmers and the King County Agriculture Commission to gather input on the unique needs of agriculture lands within flood hazard areas.

#### Capital Improvement Program Implementation

**Program Summary:** The vast majority of the proposed District work program and budget is dedicated to the implementation of major maintenance and capital projects. This work includes managing and implementing major maintenance, repair and new flood protection facility design, permitting and construction; home buyouts and acquisitions; home and barn elevations; and farm pad cost-share assistance.

The capital projects include those projects to be completed by jurisdictions through the Subregional Opportunity Fund program with funding allocated proportional to assessed value of each jurisdiction, grants recommended through the WRIA cooperative watershed management program, and the flood reduction grant program.

Construction of flood protection infrastructure has paved the way for considerable residential, commercial and industrial economic development in flood hazard areas. The flood protection infrastructure has reduced the frequency of flooding and severity of erosion, and contained flood flows within levees that has allowed for significant economic growth by promoting development of historical floodplains, as exemplified by the industrial and commercial development lining the lower Green River. However, these areas will always face the potential risk that the flood protection facilities could be overwhelmed, resulting in serious flood damage, significant impacts to the regional economy, or personal injury and death. While the costs of flood protection facility construction and maintenance are borne by the public, the value to the economy is a regional benefit.

The CIP will complete high priority and regionally significant flood hazard management capital improvement projects to significantly protect public safety and reduce flood risks to the regional economy, transportation corridors, and public and private infrastructure and property. These capital improvement projects include retrofits and repairs to levees and revetments; levee setbacks to improve slope stability and increase flood conveyance and capacity; and targeted acquisition of repetitive loss properties and other at-risk developments.

The CIP will provide project design, construction and management on the following project implementation elements, consistent with WLR Division's Project Management Manual:

- Scope and Concept
  - Identify problem, alternatives, recommended solution and project goals.
- Feasibility
  - Identify and conduct studies, analysis, cost estimates, resource needs, landowner issues.
- Acquisition
  - Obtain the necessary property rights to perform the work.

- Design and Permitting
  - Address all elements of the project (e.g. geomorphic, constructability)
  - Complete all federal, state and local permitting requirements (e.g. Corps, Endangered Species Act (ESA))
    - Survey
      - Conduct pre- and post-construction ("as-built") survey
    - AutoCAD
      - Develop design plan set
    - Hydraulic Modeling
      - Conduct pre- and post-project modeling
      - Complete Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) for constructed projects, when/if warranted
    - Ecological
      - Conduct pre- and post-construction monitoring
      - Complete pre-project feasibility studies/analysis
      - Provide project design support
      - Complete biological assessments/evaluations
        - o Individual
        - Programmatic
      - Complete Section 7 ESA consultation
      - Coordinate or support permitting and permit agency outreach
    - State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
      - Complete individual project SEPA review
      - Complete programmatic SEPA review
    - Geotechnical Engineering Support/Geologist/Geotechnical
      - Provide sediment management monitoring, analysis and modeling
      - Conduct pre- and post-construction monitoring
      - Conduct pre-project feasibility studies/analysis
      - Provide project design support
    - Engineering (may include Project Management function as well)
      - Lead design engineer for projects
      - Manage construction of projects
      - Obtain resources for projects; make task assignments
      - Track and report project scope, schedule, and budget
      - Develop plan set for construction, or bid documentation support
      - Provide overall project quality assurance and quality control oversight
    - Project Management
      - Obtain resources for projects; make task assignments
      - Track and report project scope, schedule, and budget
      - Provide overall project quality assurance and quality control oversight
      - Monitoring and Adaptive Management o

Pre-project baseline information o

**Construction Monitoring** 

Conduct pre- and post-construction monitoring

• Provide monitoring reports to DPER and other agencies as required.

#### Central Costs/Overhead and Reimbursement from Capital

• This category includes use-based and FTE-based overhead costs from the Water and Land Resources Division of the Department of Natural Resources and Parks and King County. Examples include use-based charges for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office, risk management, and the financial management system, as well as FTE-based charges for building rent and utilities. When staff loan out from the operating fund to the capital fund, the capital fund reimburses the operating fund for FTE-related overhead charges.

# **King County Flood Control District - FCD2018-09**

# 2019 Annual Budget

## **Attachment B**

Program	2017 Actuals	2018 Approved	2018 Revised	2019 Requested
Flood District Administration	516,829	792,853	792,853	886,638
Maintenance and Operation	9,365,407	11,333,238	11,515,838	12,839,055
Construction and Improvements	44,375,120	53,496,926	149,812,487	79,817,269
Bond Retirement and Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	54,257,356	65,623,017	162,121,177	93,542,962
Projected Capital Reserves - Cash Fund Balance <sup>1</sup>	71,449,775	56,604,639	64,898,272	56,881,663
Projected Capital Reserves - Budgetary Fund Balance <sup>2</sup>	(26,673,398)	(9,642,000)	(27,698,515)	(53,649,615)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The cash fund balance assumes an expenditure rate of 36% of the capital budget in 2019, informed by prior year actuals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The budgetary fund balance assumes 100% expenditure of all budgeted amounts and is used to understand budgetary commitment.

# King County Flood Control District - FCD2018-09

# 2019 Annual Operating Budget

## **Attachment C**

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2017 Actuals	2018 Approved	2018 Revised	2019 Requested
Annual Maintenance	\$1,820,167	3,386,766	3,386,766	\$3,327,451
Flood Hazards Plan, Grants, Outreach	\$301,737	718,898	901,498	\$675,380
Flood Hazard Studies, Maps, Technical Services	\$925,854	1,414,741	1,414,741	\$2,598,916
Flood Preparation, Flood Warning Center	\$655,367	1,417,463	1,417,463	\$1,127,992
Program Management, Supervision, Finance, Budget	\$1,044,858	1,283,543	1,283,543	\$1,727,017
Program Implementation	\$1,564,445	(106,434)	(106,434)	\$246,986
Overhead / Central Costs	\$3,052,979	3,218,261	3,218,261	\$3,135,313
Total	\$9,365,407	\$11,333,238	\$11,515,838	\$12,839,055

# King County Flood Control District - FCD2018-09

# 2019 Annual Capital Budget Attachment D

Basin	Acquisition	Design	Construction	Contingency	Total
Snoqualmie River Basin	\$720,000	\$3,382,045	\$5,593,612	\$0	\$9,695,656
Cedar River Basin	\$726,464	\$2,556,793	\$5,991,496	\$0	\$9,274,753
Green River Basin	\$5,740,640	\$13,919,541	\$24,778,381	\$0	\$44,438,561
White River Basin	\$180,000	\$1,862,600	\$350,000	\$0	\$2,392,600
Effectiveness Monitoring	\$0	(\$431,365)	\$0	\$0	(\$431,365)
Countywide Corridor Plan Implementation	\$0	(\$142,610)	\$0	\$0	(\$142,610)
Countywide Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000
Opportunity Fund	\$0	\$0	\$5,889,245	\$0	\$5,889,245
Grant Fund	\$0	\$0	\$3,166,261	\$0	\$3,166,261
WRIA Grant Funding	\$0	\$0	\$4,684,168	\$0	\$4,684,168
Total	\$7,367,104	\$21,147,003	\$50,953,163	\$350,000	\$79,817,269

## 2019 - 2024 Six-Year CIP

## Attachment E

	2017	2018	2018	2019	13 13 13 13	- Sull-1			- C-E-100	2019 - 2024
Name	Actuals	Approved	Revised	Proposed	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Total
Snogualmie River Basin	\$7,730,622	\$11,966,181	35,067,392	9,695,656	9,139,603	11,456,561	15,378,783	6,137,727	10,411,002	62,219,332
Cedar River Basin	\$6,382,962	\$13,328,687	25,468,845	9,274,753	13,109,163	5,835,508	2,355,717	1,952,907	8,275,013	40,803,061
Green River Basin	\$5,076,317	\$12,571,465	\$46,253,479	44,438,561	43,774,710	22,398,431	11,570,362	12,143,318	8,646,752	142,972,134
White River Basin	\$11,422,778	\$1,079,358	3,414,621	2,392,600	1,121,412	8,179,077	6,569,902	1,569,556	*	19,832,547
Effectiveness Monitoring	\$275,622	\$1,076,734	1,402,897	(431,365)	594,987	398,884	588,509	636,581	519,813	2,307,409
Countywide Corridor Plan Imp	\$0	\$0	142,610	(142,610)	8	1(#1)	3093	Ne:	27,200,000	27,057,390
Countywide Miscellaneous	\$201,936	\$130,000	592,662	850,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	2,600,000
Subregional Opportunity Func	\$4,565,045	\$5,738,670	17,818,436	5,889,245	6,103,717	6,247,808	6,389,580 -	6,530,751	6,674,535	37,835,636
Flood Reduction Grants	\$4,622,698	\$3,085,306	7,477,379	3,166,261	3,281,568	3,359,037	3,435,258	3,511,156	3,588,460	20,341,740
WRIA Grants	\$4,097,140	\$4,520,525	12,174,166	4,684,168	4,853,735	5,029,440	5,211,506	5,400,162	5,595,648	30,774,659
Total	\$44,375,120	53,496,926	149,812,487	79,817,269	82,328,895	63,254,746	51,849,617	38,232,158	71,261,223	386,743,908

# **2019 Annual District Oversight Budget** Attachment F

		2018 Adopted	2018 Revised	2019 Proposed
Management & Support		\$281,855	\$281,855	\$290,310
Rent and Equipment		\$11,940	\$11,940	\$12,299
Legal Services	$\times$	\$97,913	\$97,913	\$100,850
Accounting		\$100,650	\$100,650	\$103,669
State Auditor		\$20,157	\$20,157	\$20,762
Other Professional Services		\$175,481	\$175,481	\$250,745
Expenses		\$17,911	\$17,911	\$18,449
Insurance		\$86,946	\$86,946	\$89,554
Total		\$792,853	\$792,853	\$886,638

# 2019 Subregional Opportunity Fund Allocations Attachment G

lurisdiction	Opportunity Fund Allocation	Project Name	Project Description
Algona	\$10,000	DEFERRING	
ubum		DEFERRING	
loaux Arts		CIP #15 - SE 27th Street	Feasibility study and schematic design tasks for new stormwater drainage system on WE 27th Street west of 104th Ave SE to Lake Washington
Sodux Ails	\$10,000	1 Factoria Blvd Storm Conveyance	The state of the s
		Improvements	
Bellevue	\$586,871	2. Meydenbauer Basin/NE 8th St. & 100th Ave	1. Amendment adding budget to project that will reduce or eliminate flooding caused by insufficient drainage system capacity.
		NE Conveyance Improvement	2 Amendment adding budget to project that will reduce the flooding frequency at this intersection.
lack Diamond	\$10,000	DEFERRING	
athell		DEFERRING	
Burien		Flow Control for Localized Flooding	Amendment adding budget to project that will construct solutions to local flooding
unen			partitional field additional additional and additional
Carnation	\$10,000	Storm Drainage Facilities Inventory and plan	Amendment adding budget to finish inventorying facilities and develop a maintenance & operations plan
lyde Hill	\$26,617	NE 24th Street Overlay and Storm Drainage	A solution to add to bright the complete statement and in the City.
		Improvements	Amendment adding budget to complete storm drainage improvement projects in the City.
Covington		DEFERRING	
Ses Moines		DEFERRING	
Duvail		DEFERRING	
numclaw		DEFERRING	
ederal Way	\$110,252	DEFERRING	
Hunts Point	\$11,425	2019 HP Lane Cuivert - Maintenance/ Survey/	
roma r'Ollit	911,425	Design for Replacement	Clean and inspect 48-inch culvert per WDFW permit. Obtain survey of stream and design replacement culvert.
ssaquah	\$108,031	Lower Issaquah Creek Stream and Riparian Reploration	Design and permit stream habitat and floodplain improvements on Issaquah Creek
Kenmore	\$45,945	DEFERRING	
Cent	3186 242	Kent Airport Levee Setback	Property acquisition and preliminary design to support future habital restoration and levee improvements to the Kent Airport Levee
King County	\$476,551	Fairwood Park 11 Pipe Replacement     Natural Drainage Flood Program	1. Amendment adding budget to project that will remove existing conveyance system of a stormwater facility and replace with a concrete box culvent that will provide fish passage 2. Amendment adding budget that will be used to implement stormwater control improvements that address flooding problems.
Kirkland	\$265,397	132nd Square Retrofit Facility	Improve water quality and reduce stormwater flows in the Totem Lake and Juanita Creek basins by installing inflitzation facility and water quality treatment.
ake Forest Park	\$30,489	Löß Culvert Replacement	Replace a structurally deficient and partial fish barrier culvert on Lyon Creek at NE 178th St.
Maple Valley	\$38,295	DEFERRING	
Medina	\$41,074	Medina Park Stormwater Pond Improvements	Amendment adding budget to complete permitting, removing organic sediment, and installing outlet control device in upper pond.
		Lincoln Landing Stormwater & Park	
Mercer Island	\$139,281	Improvements	Amendment adding budget to complete construction of stormwater improvements
Milton	\$10,000	DEFERRING	
Newcantie	\$33.552	S-038 Pand 18 Outfall Repair	Install new manholes and replace existing catch basin and piping to improve drainage path and eliminate flooding at sits.
Normandy Park		DEFERRING	
North Send		DEFERRING	
Pacific		DEFERRING	
Redmond		Willows Road Culvert	Replace culvert with box culvert meeting standards for capacity and fish passage.
Ranton		CMP Inspection Program	Inspect and evaluate the structural conditions of 31 miles of corrugated matal pipe and design repairs as needed.
Sammamish		DEFERRING	
SeaTac		South 180th St. Flood Reduction	Study afternatives and dissign a flood reduction facility to aliminate flooding at the east end of S. 18oth St.
		December 106 Aug NIM Decimen & Clauding	Parady analysis and being to 1900 recorded 1900 by the distinction incoming as one algorithm of the 1900 by.
Seattle	\$2,513,702	Improvements	Design and implement drainage improvements to address the highest priority areas of surface water flooding in the west branch of Mohlendorph basin.
Shoreline		DEFERRING	
Skykomish		DEFERRING	
Snoqualmie		DEFERRING	
Tukwila	\$58,419	Tukwla 205 Levee Certification - Phase 3	Amendment adding budget to project to continue enginerring analysis, alternatives analysis and 15% design level.
Woodinville	\$36,490	DEFERRING	
		2019 Operation & Maintenance	Amendment adding budget to reduce sediment deposits at public stormwater outfalls and control pollutants.

\$876,882 \$5,012,363 Deferrals Projects

#### 2019 - 2024 Six-Year CIP Project Allocations Attachment H

Capital Investment Strategy Project Grant/External Revenue Awarded Cost Share Contribution to Others New Project - 2018 Revised or 2019 Proposed Added by Advisory Committee

o. Telle	Basin	Type of project	2017 Inception to Date Expenditure	2018 Incaption to Date Budget	2018 Available Budget	2019 Requested	2020 Projected	2021 Projected	2022 Projected	2023 Projected	2024 Projected	6-Year CIP	CIS Year 7-10	CIS 10+ Year	Project Life Total	Comments
WLFLO MILLER R RD RVTMNT 2016 REPAIR		FCD Const	\$237.560		\$1.622	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	so			\$239 182	Damage to revetment. Very large rock removed from revetment, vertical banks and exposed subgrade in several locations totaling approximately 350 feet of damage. If not repaired, Miller River Road could be severely damaged. Constructed 2017.
2 WLFLOSF SKYKMSH REP LOSS ANT	SF Skykomish	FCD Acquitiev	\$746 937			50	\$0	so.	so	\$0	\$119,405	\$119,405			\$864.800	This project will elevate or buyout individual structures in the South Fork Skykomish Basin to eliminate the risk of flooding or erosion damage during future flood events.
WLFLO SKY W RVR DR FLOOD STUDY	SF Skykomish	FCD Const	\$2,856			50	50	50	\$0	\$0	50	\$0				This project would improve infrastructure at the mouth of Maloney Creek and on the SF Skykomish River to reduce the frequency of flooding of homes and property with the Town of Skykomish.
							\$0	SO.	50	\$0	50	50			\$150,000	Approximately 50-foot-long section of missing armor rock immediately downstream
WLFL0 SKYKOMISH LB DOWN 2016 REPAIR		FCD Const	\$85,402			50			30	50	\$0	SO.			\$121,130	Three pockets of missing armor rock: 15, 10 and 75 feet wide and eroded topoci fr upper sections of levee. Further flooding may compromise or severely damage.
5 WLFLO SKYKOMISH LB UP 2016 REPAIR	SF Skykomish	FCD Const	\$120,455	H		\$0	\$0	50	30	30.	30				\$2,809,874	This project will continue to acquire and remove homes along a stretch of the Skykomish River that are endangered by erosive forces as well as inundation in so
6 WLFLO TIMBER LN ERDSN BUYOUTS	SF Skykomish	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$1,888,050			\$0	20	\$0	\$0	50.	SU	50				Project will lay back the privately-built rockery to reconstruct rock wall into stable
7 WLFLO TIMBERLANE 2016 REPAIR	SF Skykomish	FCD Const	\$11,115	\$16,040	\$4 925	\$0	-\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$16,040	Insustment geometry. Will likely be implemented by the Strike Team.  Revelment is approximately 300 LF along left bank of Bouth Fork Skykemish River Unstable section of vertical stacked rick is approximately 150 LF (needs verification).
8 WLFLO TIMBERLANE 2019 REPAIR	SF Skykomish	FCD Const	So	So	-\$0	\$600,000	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	80	\$600,000			\$500,000	Failure has occurred are viscosty in this section of reventment.  Reduce neighborhood isolation from flooding. Develop a set of alternatives for
WLFL1 428TH AVE SE BR FEASIBILITY	Upper Sning	FCD Cenat	\$294,894	\$304,894	\$10,000	50	so	50	so	50	90	50			\$304,894	Improvements to 428th Avenue SE, SE 92nd Street, and Reinig Road to reduce the frequency of community isolation caused by floodwaters overtopping these roadwaters.
													-			This project will determine a preferred action to reduce long term risks from chann migration in the Circle River Ranch Neighborhood on the South Fork Snoqualmite River. Being conducted concurrent with South Fork Snoqualmite Corridor Plan.
0 VIV.EL 1 CIRCLE RVR RANCH RISK RED	Upper Snog	FCD Const	\$65,125			\$111,660	\$207,980	\$257,550	\$3,630,674	\$0	50	\$4,237,744				Large scour hole in bank at upstream end of Mason Thorson Extension rock faces layed. Significant settlement and displacement of face rock at upstream end of fa Scour hole in bank threatens to end-run facility and damage adjacent private pro- Damage to levee face-rock compromises levee integrity and may lead to progress failure, especially at upstream end.
11 WLFL1 MASON THRSN EXT 2016 REPAIR 12 WLFL1 MF SNO CORRIDOR IMP	Upper Snog	FCD Const	\$111 \$854	\$1,100,000	\$1,099,046										\$3,070,962	Placeholder for corridor plan implementation project(s)
19 WUFLIME SNO CORRIDOR FLAN  14 WUFLINGSMALCREEK DS CULV	Upper Snoq	FCD Const	\$1,328,595	\$1.824.912 \$724.000		\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50				I Midder Fork Snoqualmer Carmfor Planning, scheduled for competition in 2018. Keplace tive skitting rusted out 46 Fortrugialed melal pipes on Norman Tireak und 428th Ave SE with a new precast concrete box culvert. The new culvert will reduc time it lakes to drain the flood waters off of private property by increasing the cap of the crossing. Currently when the North Fork Snoqualmie River overflows watel backs up against 428th and impedes use of the roadway as the Norman Creek crossing is the normal outflow for this flood water once the North Fork has overfor the adjacent lesses.
S WLFL1 NORMAN CREEK US 2024 CULV	Upper Strog	Agreement	sc	sc	50	SD.	\$0	so	\$0	\$0	\$750,000	\$750,000			\$750,000	Improve SE 92nd Street, east of 428th Street, and alleviate roadway flooding by
							SO	so	50						\$385,000	The North Fork Bridge was originally built in 1951 and is extremely vulnerable to a as the channel Ihalwag migrates. In order to keep the bridge safe and reliable dur a flood, it is important to protect the piers and abutments from scour failure.
15 WLFL1 NORTH FORK BRIDGE 2015 REPAIR		Agreement	\$171,125			50			SO SO	\$0	\$0	\$200,000				initiate feasibility atudy to mitigate the risk of scour damage to the North-Fork Brid by retrofiting the existing structure with deep foundations or alternative risk mitigo 0 strategies.
17. WLFL I KORTH FORK BRIDGE FEASIBILITY  10. WLFL I RECORD OFFICE 2010 REPAR	Upper Snoq-	Agreement FCD Const	30		\$0 \$350,000	\$200,000	\$0	50		50	50					A significant scour hole of hacklity which is missing face rock and loe re A significant scour hole has formed around a City of Snoqualmie stormwaler outh pipe at the downstream end of facility. Polential erosion impact to Park Ave SE in of Snoqualmie, an area included in the City's planned "Riverwalk" park and trail project. Project implemented by City of Snoqualmie as part of Riverwalk project, construction is scheduled for 2019.
19 WLFL1 REIF RD 2016 REPAIR	Upper Snog	FCD Const	\$32.18			50	50	\$0	50	SID	SC				\$33,48	Length 50-80 feet, Face rock has appeared to have settled 1-2 feet exposing core material above near upper part of levee face. Larger face rock missing in pockets upstraam end of this damage side. Conflicted damage could compromise facility w provides flood protection for several residences landward of the facility.
20 WLFL1 REIF RD LEVES IMPROVEMENTS	Upper Snog	FCD Const	Si	) S:	50	000	/ POORES/VPsc	STWITTER	- Catques	\$457.218	sc	\$1,427,014				Conduct a feasibility study to determine ways of preventing the overlooping of the Rd Levee, Potential solutions include: repair and/or raise levee in place / setback 4 levee / gravei removal / home elevations.

in The	Be uin	Type of project	2017 Inception to Date Evoendibre	2016 Inception to Date Budget	2018 Available Budget	2019 Requested	2020 Projected	2021 Projected	2022 Proiscled	2022 Protected	2024 Projected	6-Year CIP Total	CIS Year 7-10	CIS 10+ Year	Project Life Total	Comments
2. Line		A HOUSEWAY							50	\$0	\$4,250,000	\$4 250,000			\$4 250 000	Cost-share of \$8.4M levee setback project. The overtops at a 20-year or greater flood inundating undarveloped property, railway lines and roadways. Project would reconned 25 acres of floodplain and construct a new levee that meets current engineering guidelines. City has automitted grant application for the remaining \$4.2 million.
21 WLFL1 BENDIGO UPR SETBACK NORTH BE 22 WLFL1 SEINIG AD ELEVATIONS	Upper Snog	Agreement Agreement	50	30	50	50	50	50	50	50					\$50,000	Elevate low section of Reinig Rd to alleviate flooding that blocks readway.
23 WLFL1 REINIG RD RVTMNT 2016 REPAIR		FCD Const	\$28,042		\$771.958	\$400,000	\$254 166	SO.	so	So	\$0	\$654,166			\$1,464,166	Repair three primary damage sites just upstream and directly across from the South Fork Snoqualmie confluence totaling –285 lineal feet, Construction is anticipated in
24 WLFL1 RIBARY CREEK	Upper Snoq	FCD Const	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$536,492	\$815,108	\$2,338,618	\$2,408,777	50	\$0	\$6,198.993				Address flooding from Ribary Creek at Bendigo Blvd in North Bend as the Snoqualmic levees prevent drainage to the river during high flows.
25 WUFL1 SF CAS MED TERM	Upper Shoa	FCD Const	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$9	20	50	So	- SO	\$47,200,000		\$47 200 000	Implement projects identified in the Capital Investment Strategy, approved as policy direction by the Executive Committee.  Implement projects identified in the Capital Investment Strategy, approved as policy
26 WLFL1 SF CISLONG TERM	Unper Snoq	FCD Const	\$0	\$0	80	\$0	\$0	\$0	Sa	SD	50	\$0		\$57,100,000	\$57_100_000	direction by the Executive Committee
27 WLFL1 SF SNO CORR EARLY ACTION	Upper Snoq	FCD Const	\$1,420,044	\$1,433,887	\$10,840	So	\$0	S0:	50	\$0	so	\$0			\$1,433.887	Project identified by Board to allewate potential flooding of 1-90 in North Bend Currently evaluating project alternatives, including levee selback and gravet removal.
28 WLFL1 SF SNO CORRIDOR PLAN	Upper Spog	FCD Const	\$2,568,062	\$2,572,480	\$4.418	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SO.	50			\$2,572,480	
22 WLFL I SF SNO LEVEE REMEDIATION	Upper Sirca	FCD Const	\$0	\$295,673	\$295 673	\$92,327	\$374,439	\$727,790	\$657,297	so	-50	\$1,851,853			\$2,147,520	Six levee descending have been identified in this leveed segment. The project will design and reconstruct the impaired segment of levee in page. Total breach of levee - erosion and lateral channel migration is ongoing. No
30 WLFL1 SHAKE MILL LB 2016 REPAIR	Upper Snag	FGD Const	\$15,658	\$600,000	\$584,342	\$2 950 000	10	10	-\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,950,000			\$3,550,000	mmediately adjacent private property or infrastructure. Continued erosion could threaten 428th Ave embankment or bridge.
AL LINE LOCATE AND DE TAGE BEPAIR	Usper Snog	FCD Const	so	\$512,000	\$512,000	\$0	\$0	50	so.	\$0	50	\$0			\$512,000	Between 428h St Bridge and Tale Creek, several locations on leves where locarcic dislodged and corresponding minor bank encion along 50-06 fleet of niver bank. Actal apps range between 6-10 feet, Missing toe rock compromises levee integrity, increasing its valeneability to further soon and potential failure. Failure of this facility sould result in damage to a heavily used county road (428h Ave SE). Scheduled for 2018 conclusions.
31 WLFL1 SHAKE MILL RB 2016 REPAIR		FCD Const		5209.000				so	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2			\$209,000	Repair approximately 25 lineal feet of the facility with miniting fee rock and shallow scour scallop into bank that is approximately 1-2 feet deep. St View Leven it a relatively short fleed containment leves that protects 50+ homes in the St View Park.
32 WLFL1 SI VIEW RM4 2017 REPAIR	Upper Snog			3233,00	\$250,000					So.					\$100,000	Placehelder funding to partner with WSDOT to expand bridge SR303 opening over South Fark Snopulations and Ribbiry Creek to improve conveyance and is duce upstream flood import. Supported by North Bend. Requires state or federal funding. Relative contribution of this project to being evaluated in the SF Snoqualinus Corridor that.
33 WLFL1 SR202 SF BRIDGE LENGTHEN 34 WLFL1 TATE OR SCOUR FEASIBILITY	Upper Snoq	FCD Const	90	3 56	\$0		000	50	50	\$150,000		\$150,000				Prepare a Concept Development Report (CDR) to analyze and select best span/alignment replacement bridge and road-raising option as the current bridge doe not provide enough hydraulic opening due to the transport of sediments and water overloss the appreaches during floods.
35 WLFL1 UPPER SNDD 2016 FLOOD REPAIR		FCD Const	\$500 922	\$1,481,123				\$0	50	so	\$0	\$8				Flood damage repairs from January 2015 flood event, Locations include Mason- Thorson Ells and Mason-Thorson Extension (Middle Fork Snoqualmie); North Park (North Fork Snoqualmie); and Record Office, Meadowbrook, and Railroad 3 (Snoqualmie mainslem).
36 WLFLT-UPR SNO RES FLD MITIGIN		FCD Acqu/Elev	\$0.748.63	1 \$12,538,24	\$2,787.028	\$2,181,201	\$2,412,151	\$2,484,516	\$2,559,051	\$2,636,823	\$2,714,897	\$14,987,739			\$27,523,985	This project will continue to acquire or elevate flood-proné structures in the Upper Snoqualmie basin to reduce the risk of flood, eracion, and channel migration damage Partinership with Clièse of Snoqualmie and North Bend, Az of May 2016 260 remain to be elevated or acquired. This amount assumes 10-12 home elevations per year.
37 WLFL1-USACE PL 84-99 SF SND	Upper Snoq Upper Snoq	FCD Const	\$3,740.02		55450-	GLOLD	0.0000			\$o	\$0	\$899 476				Ensure eleven South Fork Shoqualmie River levees meet the standards of the US Army Corps of Engineers PL 84-99 program in order to receive future assistance from 9 the Corps in the event of flood damage to the levees.
				0 \$548.60						*0	***	\$290,000			5748 GO	Repair approximately 200 feet of revetment. Dutchman Road in his location provided the sole access to recidences and business on the weet side of the Sequipairie Valled downstream of Duveill. Continued snapion of the revetment could result in eración of the most (West Snapulaimie Valley Road NE) which would severely limit access to the Bownstream property owners during or following a flood event.
38 WLFL2 DUTCHMAN RD REPAIR	Lower Snog	FCC Const	1 3	2245.59	8349,593	\$200,000	30	30	40	30	-	35.30,000				The foundation of the main-apan pior is expected and is vulnerable to destabilization during a flood. Add scour miligation measures to protect footing. Bridge crosses the
39 WLFL2 L SNO SCOUR REPAIR 2017	Lawer Snon	Agreement	\$9 24	4 \$150.00	\$140,756	so so	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SC.	Sc			\$150,000	<ul> <li>Shoqualmie River at Duvait and is the city's primary route.</li> <li>This project provides technical and cost-sharing assistance to agricultural landowners in the Lower Shoqualmie Roodplain to help them better withstand the impacts of</li> </ul>
40 WLFL2 FARM PAD PROGRAM	Lower Snog	FCD Const	5759.34	5 \$875.01	S116.272	\$104,186	\$115.214	\$118,670	\$122,230	\$125,897	\$129,674	\$715,871			\$1,591.48	Specific project actions include farm pads and elevation or flood proofing of B agricultural structures.
	1 ol n-						90	\$c	\$0	50	90	Sc			\$1,695.67	Funding as possible local match for FEMA grants to elevate or acquire at-risk  1 structures
41 WLFL2 L SNO REP LOSS MITGTION  42 WLFL2 L SNO/ALDAIR CORRDOR PLN	Lower Snog	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$1.259.23 \$5.860.65				\$635.540		50	50	- S.	\$636,540				Arousees     Cost-shared combitution to multiple levee setbacks and high priority flood risk reduction acquisitions in the Fall City reach of the Lower Snoqualmie, Projects reduce flood and erosion risk to revelments, roads, and fandowners FCD expenditure a leverages substant restoration funding from other sources.

	- Control	Type of project	2017 Inception to Date Expenditure	2018 Inception to Date Budget	2018 Available Budget	2019 Requested	2020 Projected	2021 Projected	2022 Projected	2023 Projected	2024 Projected	6-Year CIP	CIS Year 7-10	CIS 10+ Year	Project Life	Comments
0 1110	Basin	Type of project	Expanditure	Oak Buoget	phoder	Kedjesio	Projections	Pittacian	- tunitum	7 Total Card	, regacula	Total	108 1-10	ID TOWN		This project provides technical and cost-sharing assistance to residential and igricultural landowners in the Lower Snoqualmile floodplain to help them better withstand the impacts of flooding. Specific project actions include farm pads.
43 WLFL2 LWR SNO RESDL FLD MITGTN	Lower Strong	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$2,151,873	\$3.278,317	\$1.126.444	\$265,292	\$530,450	\$545,363	\$562,754	\$579,837	\$597,020	\$3,081,522			\$6,359,839	Rebuild revetment to protect road access to high value agricultural structures.
44 WLFL2 SE 19TH WAY REVETMENT	Lower Snog	FCD Const	\$595,008	\$1,916,294	\$1,321,286	\$0	50	SO	SO.	.50	S0	50			\$1.918.29	I lands. Construction scheduled for 2018.
45 WLFL2 SE DAVID POWELL RD DOWNSTREA	Lower Snog	FCD Const	\$588,184	\$1,036,456		\$0	So	\$0	\$0	50	50	50		14	\$1,036,456	Reduce neighborhood isolation from flooding. Prevent slope failure of sole access 6 roadway that would isolate 150 homes.
	Constitution Const	A more and a	\$133,968	\$1,100,000	\$966.032	\$1_100_000	\$0	50	so	50	50	\$1,100,000			\$2.200.000	The tiver is assuring the road wwwy and Dawid Powell Road is collapsing into the rive This project will repair an existing failing reveluent and extend MSE wall to prevent of undercutting of the riverbank and coadway.
45 WLFL2 L SNO 2019 BANK REPAIR	Lawer Snoq	Agreement FCD Const	\$451,804	\$527.905	\$76,101	\$1,100,000	50	So	so.	\$0	50	50			-5.550	Reduce neighborhood isolation from flooding. Prevent slope failure of sole access toadway that would isolate 20-30 homes.
17 WLFL2 SE FISH HATCHERY RD	Lower Snog	PGD Const	345 1,004	\$527,900	370,101	au.		30	30,	20	- 40	90				Large capital project to repair 1000 linear feet of the Sinnema Quaale Upper revetment. Protects SR 203, two regional fiber optic lines, and Snoqualmie Valley
48 WLFL2 SINNEMA QUAALE 2011 RÉPR	Lewer Strog	FCD Const	\$12,432,743	\$12,508,516	\$75,773	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	50	50	50			\$12,508.516	Trail, Construction to be completed in 2017, project anticipated to be closed out in 6, 2018.
																Regional flooding in the Snoqualmie Valley cuts off access to eastern cities. Determine which major roadway(s) that cross the Snoqualmie Valley would be the most cost effective to improve in the valley with chronic flood issues impacting over
9 WLFL2 SNOQUALMIE VALLEY FEAS	Lower Snog	Agreement	so	50	50	SO	50	\$250.000	\$250,000	50	50	\$500,000			\$500,000	25,000 daily drivers
								-								This project will implement a repair to approximately 250 feet of damage identified in late March 2018 to a section of the Stossel Bridge Right Bank Revetment on the Snoqualinie River, downstream of the City of Carnation. The repair will be
50 WLFL2 STOSSEL RB 2016 REPAIR	Lower Shoo	FCD Const	SQ	\$850,000	\$850,000	.50	\$0	SO	\$0	50	\$0	50			\$850,00	O implemented by October 2018.  Placeholder costs for long-term facility improvement project to prevent erosion.
51 WLFLI STOSSEL LONG TERM REPAIR	Lower Snog	FCO Const	\$0	\$0	50	\$200,000	\$170,000	\$500,000	\$2 500 000	\$0	\$0	\$3,370,000			\$3,370.00	0 undermining 310th Ave NE.
					-										640 770 05	This project will repair approximately 800 linear feet of the Winkelman (formerly RM 13.5) revement. Erosion along the night bank of the Snoqualmie River channel threatens to undermine the Seattle Public Utilities water supply line at this location
WILELS TOLT PIPELINE PROTECTION	Lawer Snog	FCD Const	52,917,631	\$10,736,868	\$7,819,237	\$41 200	S0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$41,200			\$10,778,06	8 south of Duvall. Construction scheduled for 2018
ese transcensioner can manage census esternos		AND CONTRACTOR OF THE				784	1900								5400.00	These two bridges are subject to having the roadway approach fill wash out during a food. Excavate approaches and rebuild approaches to prevent loosing approaches.
53 WLFL2 DUVALL SLOUGH 2017 IMPRV	Lower Snog	Agreement	\$15,078	\$400,000	\$384 922	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50			\$400,00	<ul> <li>buring flooding. A similar repair was done on Woodinville-Duvall Bridge No. 1136D.</li> <li>Face rock displaced along approximately 50 feet of levee face. Some core material.</li> </ul>
	Smil.	Was allowed	400.450		*****	\$0		so	\$0	50	50	ŝo			36 0462	appears is have been loct, resulting an over steepened bank relative to upstream and downstroam unstamaged were section. To or damaged foca opproximately of feet from edge of gravel bail. Continued erosion will cut off popular riverside trail. Potential impact to highway if facility breaches during a major flood. Scheduled for D 2018 construction.
54 WLFL3 FREW LEVEE 2018 REPAIR	Tolt	FCD Const	\$55,450	\$360,360	\$293,910		30	30	30	- 30	30					Repair approximately 20 feet of face and toe rock distodged from Siri Scout Camp levee revelment below cide channel confluence with mainstem. Missing face and to rock compromises levee integrity, increasing its vulnerability to further scour and
55 WLFL3 GIRL SCOUT LEVEE 2016 REPAIR	Tolt	FCD Const	\$745	\$311,000	\$310,255	\$0	- \$0	so	SO.	\$0	\$0	50			\$311,00	Dipotential failure. Scheduled for 2018 construction.
56 WLFLA HOLDERG 2019 REPAIR	Tott	FCD Canst	\$750	so	\$0	\$500,000	SO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000			\$500,00	Facility failure has consequences for properly owners emmediately landward of to facility. Potential for high flows and erosive damage to residences and property.
																Feasibility study to determine the nature and extent of levee improvements necessa- to remove four homes in unincorporated King County from the regulatory Channel
57 WLFL3 HOLBERG FEASIBILITY	Ton	FCD Const	\$750	\$200,000	\$199,250	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50			\$200,00	Migration Zone as mapped in the March 2017 Draft Tolt River Channel Migration str
																Capital Investment Strategy Design, based on level of service analysis, the higher priority levee setback for flood risk reduction. Phase 2 construction estimated in CIS
58 WLFL3 LOWER FREW LEVEE SETBACK	Talt	FCD Const	\$93,007	\$1,411,000	\$1,317,993	\$802,376	\$1,411,000	\$1,470,384	.\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,949,048	_	-	\$3,360,04	(8 \$14 5M-\$16 7M Acquisition between the Swiftwater development and the river for the future setback
56 WLFL3 LOWER TOLT RIVER ACQUISITION	Talt	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$529,475	\$744.475	\$215,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	SO.			\$744,47	5 the Upper Frew Levee Damage is approximately 50 lineal feet of the facility with missing toe rock and
																undermined face rock near the Snoqualmie Valley Trail. The damage is at the downstream end of Remilinger facility and a breach or continued erosion would increase flooding impacts on portions of the Remilinger property. Scheduled for 201
60 WLFL3 REMLINGER LEVEE 2017 REPAIR	Telt	FCD Const	50	\$311,000	\$311,000	80	\$0	so	- \$0	50	50	50			\$311,00	00 construction
61 WLFL3 RIO VISTA PROPERTY ACQ	Tott	FCD Acqu/Elev	so	\$500,000	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000			\$1,000,00	Capital Investment Strategy, Acquire 2 at-risk homes from willing sellers; acquire termaining 14 homes as funds become available.
																This project will buyout remaining properties and remove all homes and privately- constructed rubble levee at upstream end of the community access road, ultimately completing project initiated 20 years ago by others. Approximately 20 homes removed from high hazard areas within and just upstream and downstream of San
62 WLFL3 SAN SQUCI NERHOOD BUYOUT	Talt	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$4,198,635	\$5,553,353	\$1,354,717	50	50	Sc	50	50	\$0	\$0			\$5,553,35	3 Scuei neighborhood Capital Investment Strategy: Construct Tolt Road NE road elevation in one location
63 WLFLB GAN SOUGHREACH IMPRIVABILES	Telt	FCD Const	\$0	\$100,000	50	\$60,000	\$190,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$750,000	Sc	\$2,400,000			\$2,500,00	O Remove illegal revelment and roads in San Souti heighborhood.
64 WLFL3 SEDIMENT MGMT FEAS	Toit	FGD Const	sc	\$209,606	\$209,005	\$193,200	so	so	\$0	50	so	\$193,200			\$402,80	Capital Investment Strategy: Conduct sediment management feasibility study and develop a plan. Update and include upper watershed sediment production estimate
65. WLFL3.SR 203.BR IMPRVMNTS FEAS	Toit	FGD Const	52	\$205,740	\$205,743	\$190,157	so	so	50	so	\$6	\$190,157			\$395,90	
66 WLFL3 TOLT 2015 FLOOD REPAIRS	15235	FCD Const	\$46.900		-			-	***	en en		\$0				Frood damage repairs from January 2015 flood event. Locations include Frew, Upper 50 Frew, Remlinger, and Old Scout Camp.

	67/12/		2017 Inception to Date		2018 Available	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	6-Year CIP	CIS	CIS	Project Life	
WLFL3 TOLT CIS MED TERM	Batin	Type of project	Expenditure 10	Date Budget	Budget 50	Requested 50	Projected \$0	Projected S0	Projected \$0	Projected \$0	Projected \$0	Total \$0	Year 7-10 \$88,500,000	10+ Year	Total \$88,500,000	Comments Implement projects identified in the Capital Investment Strategy, approved as policy direction by the Executive Committee.
WLFL3 TOLT CIS LONG TERM	Tott	FGD Const	\$0	\$1,153,657	\$1,153,657	50	50	ಬ	\$0	50	50	SO.		\$28,800,000		Implement projects identified in the Capital Investment Strategy, approved as policy direction by the Executive Committee
WLFL3 TOLT CORRIDOR PLAN	Ton	FCD Const	\$1 134 500	\$1,153.657	\$19,157	so	\$0	50	so.	so	-sn	50			\$1 153 557	The corndor plan for the lower 6 miles of the Toft River will develop a prioritized implementation strategy for near-term and long-term floodplain management actions. Scheduled for adoption in 2017.
WLFL3 TOLT R LEVEE L O.S. ANALYSIS	Tall	FCD Const	\$7E 484	\$553,250	\$474.766	\$160.234	SO	50	50	SO.	.50	\$160,234			N commence	Capital Investment Strategy Conduct a detailed hydrautic analysis to optimize the elevation of new leves to maximize flood risk reduction benefits
WEFLS TOLT R MILE 1.1 SETBACK	Tait	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$4,110,305	\$4,806,106	\$795,801	\$200,000	50	50	50	50	\$0	\$200,000			\$5 106 106	Acquisition funding for high risk properties in levee setback project area. Project priorities will be determined by the Board Inrough adoption of the Tolt Comidor Plan.
WLFL3 TOLT R NATURAL AREA ACO.	Tolt	FCD Acqu/Elev FCD Acqu/Elev	\$1,671,514	\$2,085,067	\$1,313,453	\$200,000 \$520,000		50			50				\$3,611,157	Capital investment shakegy: acquire at-risk homes from willing sellers. Reduce neighborhood isolation from flooding, Evaluate leasibility of elevating sections
3 WLFL3 TOLT R RD ELEVATION FEASIBILI	TYTOIL	FCD Contit	\$45,001	\$250,000	\$204,999	\$0	50	SO.	\$0	\$0	SO.	.so			\$250,000	of Toll River Road.  Capital Investment Stralegy. Initiale design for elevation of one road location to reduce por eliminate isolation, implement additional road elevations as funds become
WLFL3 TOLT R RD NE IMPROVEMENTS	Talt	FCD Const	£/j	50	50	\$0	\$53_045	\$109_273	\$236,357	\$927,419	\$1,200,000	\$2 525 094			\$2 526 094	
S WUILD UPPER FREW LEVEE SETBACK	Telt	FCD Const	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$106,090	\$109.273	\$168,826	.so	.\$0	\$384.189			5384 189	grant funding. Levee selback to increase sediment storage and floodwater conveyance, protect adjacent development, reduce damage to trait pridge.
6 WLFL4 ALPINE MANOR NEIGHBORHOOD	BL Raping	FCO Acqu/Elev	\$1,753,460	\$1,853,460	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	.\$0	\$0	.\$0	.50	50			\$1,653,460	Acquisition of single-family homes and future acquisition of mobile home park at risk of
7 WLFL4 RAGING MOUTH TO BR 2017 REP	AIFRaging	FCD Const	so	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$5	\$0	50	so	ss.	\$0	\$0			\$500,000	Repair 150 lineal feet of discontinuous damage and missing toe rock. The levee protects the landward area from flooding and serves as the road embankment for Dike Rd, an access road to the Fall Oily boat launch. The damaged levee section is immediately adjacent to the Twin Rivers golf course barn, which would experience greater flooding if the levee were branched. Scheduled for 2018 construction
	EN ANYONE	45/25-4-35F3	No. Construction			26.0				-						This bridge has a history of scour damage. One of the arch foundations is exposed. Repair scour miligation measures to protect the footing. It serves only one house but it
8 WLFL4 RAGING SCOUR REPAIR 2017 9 Shoqualmie-South Fork Skykomish Strok 0	Raging	Agreement	\$25,062 \$60,215,809	\$80,000 \$98,236,196	\$54,938 \$35,010,085	\$0,095,050	\$0,139,000	\$0 \$11,456,561	\$0 \$15,378,763	\$0 \$5,157,727	\$0,411,002	\$62,219,393	\$135,700,900	\$85,900,000	\$80,000 \$360,056,500	a designated King County Landman.
4																To address chronic flooding on this sole access roadway with approximately 200
2 WLFLS ALLEN LK OUTLET IMPRVMNT	Sammamish	Agreement	so	50	so	\$0	\$400,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	10	\$2,800,000			\$2,800,000	properties, look at upstream and downstream retention/detention options, study road- raining options; prepare Concept Development Report, analyze and select best
3. WLFL5 SAMMAMISH R BANK REPAIRS	Sammamish	FGD Const	\$304.373	\$1,152,413	\$848.040	\$2 652	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,652			\$1,155,065	Repair and stabilize two short sections of the right riverbank near 1-405 to protect the regional Sammamish River trail. Work is being coordinated with Parks, Full permittine will be required as work will be above OHV, plus on updated easement will be required from WSDOT and FHWA due to 1-405 proximity. Construction is largeted for
4 WUELS WILLOWANDER FLIPM AIN REST	Sammamish	FCD Const	\$1,454,905	\$2,536,268	\$1,081,363	\$1.684.709	\$2.011,665	\$0	50	50	200	\$2,806,374				Willowmoor Floodplain Restoration Project seeks to roduce the fisquency and duration of Figh lake levels in Lake Sammamich white maintaining downstream Sammamich Hayer flood control porformance and enhancing habital. The project will reconfigure the Sammamich transition zone to emoure orgains flow conwayance downstream flood control, potential extreme take level reduction, habital conditions improvement, and reduction of maintenance impacts and codes. In Jaine 2015 the Executive Committee approved a motion (2016-04) submirring 30% design of the pot channel alternative including various design elements such as variable depth poots, cold water supplementation, and other elements item later and many cold water supplementation, and other elements itemized in the moston. Project costs will be updated when the 30% design is complete in December 2015.
5 WLFL6 ISSAQUAH TRIB FEAS	Lk Wash Tribs	Agreement	50	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SO	\$200,000				Feasibility analysis to identify potential solutions to bank erosion and backwatering problems at bridge
S WLFL6LOWER COAL CRK PH I	Lk Wash Tribs	Agreement	\$1,980,959	\$9,553,751	\$7 572 792	\$907,841	\$2 385 377	\$114.800	\$90,500	\$63.800	\$1.472.881	\$5,035,199			\$14 588 950	Increase conveyance capacity at the five box culvert crossings. Disconnect local storm drainage outfall from Coal Creek and redirect them to Lake Washington. Implemented by City of Bellevue. Expenditure forecast to be updated based on current project.
7 WLFL6 MAY VALLEY DRAINAGE IMPRVM		FCD Const	\$0	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$300,000	\$0	SO.	\$0	SO	\$0	\$300,000				As recommended in the May Creek Basin Plan, two sediment trap facilities will be evaluated to limit sediment loading from two May Creek tributaries. Both projects would require land acquistion, whether exsement or property purposes.
8 WLFL7 CDR PRE-CONST STRTGC ACQ	Gedur	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$2 573 767	\$4 330 532		\$0	50	\$0	50	90	\$1,200,000					This project will acquire strategic real estate upon which several farge Flood Control District opinal projects are dependent, namely the levee seback projects at the Herzman, Jan Rd, Rhode, Getchman, and Rutleged-Johnson Lower, Johns Rd levee agements. Acquisition funding related to these projects is now included in the individual explant projects.
9 WLFL7 CEDAR LEVEE SETBACK FEAS (C	ant Cedar	FCD Const	\$1,853,797		\$133,790	***	50									This six-year flood risk reduction capital investment strategy will cover the Cedar Rive valley from Landsburg Road SE (River Mile 22) to Lake Washington Plan was completed in 2018 with expected close out 2018 or 2019
0 WLFLT CEDAR CIS MED TERM	Cedar	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$1,032,797	50	\$0	SO SO	\$0	50	50	50	30	50	\$22 000 000		\$1,987,587	Elevate or acquire highest risk and repellitive loss properties from willing sellers Elevate or purchase approximately 2 homas each year.
WLFL7 CEDAR CIS LONG TERM	Cedar	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$0	so	\$0	50	\$0	50	\$0	SO	50	So		\$35,400,000		Implement projects identified in the Capital Investment Strategy, approved as policy direction by the Executive Committee.

a 174	Sesin	Type of project	2017 Inception to Date Expenditure	2018 Inception to Date Budget	2018 Available Sudget	2019 Requested	2020 Projected	2021 Projected	2022 Projected	2023 Projected	2024 Projected	6-Year CIP Total	CIS Year 7-10	CIS 10+ Year	Project Life Total	Comments
92 WLFLZ-CEDAR RES FLOOD MITIGATION	7	FCD Acqu/Elev	Experiment Co.	20	dayer	50	50	7.10100.00	7 12140124	r alectos			100 1 10	10. 1681		implement projects identified in the Capital Investment Strategy, approved as policy
93 WLFL7 CEDAR R REP LOSS MITGATN	Cedar	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$3 182 200	53 788 422	\$606,222	#S606 T22	50	SO SO	50 S0	SO SO	\$800,000 \$0	(2805,222)			\$3,182,200	direction by the Executive Committee  Acquire frequently-flooded names, Placeholder funding until District adopts acquisition
Sa Iva de Secontine de Constitution	Cucan	1 00 7 000 000	50 (02 200	50.150,422	9000,222	1000022	30	- 20	30	67.	- 20	19951-44				Canital Investment Strategy: Repair anded earlies of left hank with biscongressed
94 WLFL7 CRT SITE A BANK	Gedar	FCD Const	\$0	SQ	\$0	\$890,000	50	50	-\$0	SO.	\$0	\$890,000			\$890,000	Lagran investment Statesty. Repeat stores section or return to anix with observations or revertment to stabilize too of bank and to prevent large scale bank failure. The project will ensure the minimum required 100-year flood conveyance capacity.
95 WLEL7 CEDAR RVR GRAVEL REMOVAL	Cedar	Agreement	\$9 638 127	\$11,102,885	\$1,464,758	\$962,613	\$104,580	\$445,679	F444.767	\$114,608		\$1,739,944			\$42,844,020	along the lower 1.25 miles of the Cedar River. Project is a required maintenance action for the Army Corps of Engineers 205 Flood Control Project. Project costs were
96 WLFL7 CEDAR R DWWSTREAM 2014 MAPV		FCD Const	\$0,000,125	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$00,079	\$111,257 \$0	\$114,000	\$100,000	\$1,739,000			0.0000000000	updated in March 2016. Improve Cedar Grove Road near Byers Road SE and alleviate roadway flooding by raising the road through the application of a frick layer of overlay.
97 WLFL7 CITY OF RENTON LEVEE CERTIFICA		Agreement		\$750.000	\$750,000	\$3,000,000	\$1,255,000	\$o	\$0	so.	\$0	\$4,250,000			1700740-000	Proceholder for Rention levee certification projects. Renton will begin engineering in 2018, construction start in 2019. Budget needs may change in future pending engineering and FEMA acceptance of approach.
	V494															Purpose of the project is to selback levees on both sides of the river below the Elliott/154lh ST Bridge, Based on the Cedar Capital Investment Strategy this project
VLFL7 ELLIOTT BR LEVEE SETBACK	Cedar	FCD Const	\$2,168,073	\$2 168 073	50	S0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50			\$2,168,073	no longer scheduled for the near-term 5-year timeframe.  Washington State Floodplains by Design grant from the Department of Ecology. The project will buyout residents in high risk areas, increase the capacity for food storag
WLFLT FBD CORRIDOR IMPLEMENTATION	Cedar	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$3,001,014	\$6.511.784	\$3,510,770	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0			\$6,511,784	and provide corresponding environmental improvements. The project has cost-shar funding from the City of Seattle. Also funds design elements of the Herzman project and Riverband.
00 WLFLT HERZMAN LEVEE SETBACK	Cedar	FCD Const	\$0	\$944 872	\$944.872	\$321,604	\$3,969,652	so	\$0	\$0.	so	\$4 291 256			\$5,235,128	Capital investment Strategy: Settack leves, excavate side-channel to reduce pressure on revetment; reconstruct, reinforce and/or extend revetment; acquire up to properties.
IDT WLFL7 JAN ROAD NEIGHBORHOOD	Cedar	FCD Const	50	\$995.326	\$995.326	\$489.405	\$626.956	\$3.659.210	\$452,157	\$1,532,360	\$25,147	\$6 785 295			5 - 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Capital Investment Strategy: Suite of solutions to be determined as part of feasibility study. Includes raise road, partial removal of Jan Road fevee, construction of side channel, and miligation of a risk properties. Construction phased for mitigation in 3021 and other improvements in 2023.
TO 21 STATE OF THE		T CO GONSK		4337.322	9550.020	3403,403	3020,330	30,039,210	3432,137	31,432,300	823, IN/	30.160.230			47,780,501	Capital Investment Strategy: Conduct feasibility study of Lower Godar reach in City Renton to 1) quantity economic damage potential 2) determine intrastructure modifications to improve flood resiliency and sediment storage potential, and 30
02 WLFL7 LOWER CEDAR FEASIBILITY STUDY	Cedar	FCD Const	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$100,000	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$300,000			\$500,000	conduct cost-benefit analysis.
103 WLFL7 LOWER JONES ROAD NEIGHBORHO	Cedar	FCD Const	50	\$2 998 466	\$2 998,466	\$0	\$830,633	\$215.810	\$701,793	5242,142	\$4.676.985	\$6,567,372			SO 665 230	Capital Investment Strategy. Raise in place or setback Jones Road, excavate and stabilize right bank to increase conveyance capacity, reinforce one revetment, remo- portion of another revetment; acquire 8 at risk properties Construction delayed to 20 to accommodate Jan Rd construction in 2021 or 2022.
					200	5										Capital Investment Strategy: Conduct site specific landslide risk assessment sludy, conduct a feasibility study to evaluate opportunities to modify the Erickson Levee Pending results of landslide hazard analysis, FCD will consider options for a project.
04 WLFL7 MAPLEWOOD FEASIBILITY STUDY		FCD Const	\$56,732	\$440,000	20000000	\$23,151	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	50	\$23,151			1.0000000000	Contribution towards the preliminary design of the May Valley and Issaquah Hobart
CS WLFL7 ISSEQUAH MAY VALLEY MPV	Cedar	Agre-ment	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	So.			\$100,000	Intersection improvements  This project represents the Flood District contribution to a larger project that relocals mobile home park tenants and initiates preliminary engineering design for potential
105 WUFLY RIVERBEND MHP ACQ	Cedar	Habital Cost Share	\$4 044 614	\$5,357,042	\$1.312.428	(\$125.000)	SD	\$0	\$0	\$0	SO.	(\$126,000)			\$5,231,042	levee setback / realignment to reduce flood heights, velocities and channel migration risk in this reach. Disappropriate remainder after FCD portion of scope is complete.
						5000000									(1000s - 500)	To address a culvert failure affecting approximately 10 properties, prepare Concept Development Report to analyze and select best culvert replacement and road-raising
107 WLFL7 MADSEN CR CULVERT 2017	Cedar	Agreement	\$124.605	\$400,000	\$275 395	\$700,000	\$1,430,000	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$2,130,000			\$2,530,000	option, and analyze upstream and downstream retention/delenton impacts  Conduct feasibility study in coordination with WSDOT to evaluate floor insk reduction
108 WLFL7 SR 169 FEASIBILITY STUDY	Cedar	FCD Const	\$17,211			\$325,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$325,000			5546,800	opportunities, such as elevating SR 169, upgrading the local drainage infrastructure, and / or installation of back flow prevention gates. Funding added in 2019 pending FOD decision to move forward with preliminary design.
09 Cedar-Sarrenamisti Subtotali 10			\$30,400,078	\$65,000,221	\$25,406,844	30.274.753	\$15,109,103	\$5,835,508	12,355,717	\$1,952,907	\$8,278,013	\$40,603,061	\$22,000,000	535,400,000	\$154,072,762	
11																Floodwall construction at four locations completed by the City of Kent. Final expenditures for the remainder of 2017 will include reimbursement for property acquisition and riparian plantings. The revised 2017 financial plan includes revenue \$4.1 million for the sale of the Rivers Edge Business Park, Per FCD 2016-20 Section 5, this revenue makes expenditure authority available for the Lower Russell Levee
12 WIFLS BRISCOE LEVEE SETBACK	Green	Agreement	\$20,478,585	\$23,330,271	\$2,861,708	sb	sio	SO	\$0	50	50	\$0			\$23,330,271	Setback project. The Briscoe project will be closed out once the District's ILA with Kent expires in 2018.
13 WLFLB BRPS BLACK R PUMP STATION	Green	FCO cenut	\$5,157,701	\$5,162,299	\$4,599	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	50	\$0			\$5,162.299	Expenditures here include sediment removal, fuel system upgrades, life-cycle efficiency analysis to inform future upgrades, and priority items from recently completed needs assessment (2015). New tine items established below to account discrete project elements.
114 WLFLB BRPS CONTROL BLDG RPLOMT	Green	FCD Const	40	\$630,368	\$500,066	\$278.530	\$1.276.092	\$7 577 624	\$25.887	°.	£A	\$9,158,133				This project will design and build the second phase of renovations to the Black River pump station. Major components include replacement of the control building, replacement of the Irash rake system, and replacement of the screen spray system.
115 WLFLS BRPS FISH PASS IMPRIVANTS		FCD Const	30	1000	1,5007,000				344,447			TT. 1991,199			- AK(1604) N/3	This project will design and build the fourth phase of renovations to the Black River

Page 5 of 8

	-,1-		2017 incaption to Date	2018 Inception to	2018 Avallable	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	6-Year CIP	cis	CIS	Project Life	
No Title	Basin	Type of project	Expenditure	Data Rudget	Budger	Requested	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Total	Year 7-10	10+ Year	Total	Comments  This project will design and build the first phase of renovations to the Black River
	L1000	- Washington		2000000	1 10055020	(1207/02/02/02	122	122			92	12000000			West Joseph	pump station, replacing the three smaller pump engines which run much more
118 WLFLB BRPS HIGH-USE ENGINES	Green	FCD Const	\$44.098	\$474,079	\$429,981	\$1,970,371	20	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,970.371			\$2,444,450	frequently than the other larger pump engines.  This project will design and build the third phase of renovations to the Black River
117 WLFL6 BRPS SUPPORT SYS UPGRADES	Green	FCD Const		\$0	\$o	\$0	\$175.261	\$822 168	\$779.584	\$26,563	\$0	\$1,803,676			61 802 676	pump station, replacing support systems such as engine control panels, cooling systems, others and he sts.
	1,000								200011010							Bost-share flood damage repair from March 2014 high flows with Corps of Engineers
118 WLFL8 DESIMONE USAGE 2015.	Green	Agreement	\$884.058	\$887.552	\$2,594	50	20	80	20	50	50	50			\$887,552	Constructed in 2016 Cost-share flood damage repair from March 2014 high flows with Corps of Engineers
11B WLFL8 DYKSTRA USACE 2015	Green	Agreement	\$640.200	\$600.841	(\$39,760)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$500,841	Constructed in 2016
120 WURLS GALLI-DYKSTRA FEASIBILITY	Green	FCD Const	\$0	20	\$0	\$330,000	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$330,000			\$330,000	Conduct a feasibility study to raise the levee providing 100-year flood protection plus- feet of freeboard.
104 IN IN A CALL LINE CONTROL OF THE PARTY.	No.	ECD Const	-				Ex 800 888	SO.	50	\$0	\$0	F - 200 F 22			- 1000000	Complete Phase 1 repair per a request from the City of Auburn. Elevate 3500 feet
121 WEFLB GALL-DVNSTRA 2020 REPAIR	Green	FCD Const	30	- 50	34	\$200,000	\$1,000,000	50	50	20	\$0	\$1,200,000			\$1,200,000	levee reach to meet FEMA levee certification requirements. This project will acquire strategic real estate upon which future large Flood Control
122 WUFLS GREEN PRE-CONST ACO	Green	FGD AcquiElev	\$368,856	\$5 368 856	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$30,000,000			925 250 056	District capital projects are dependent, thereby reducing risks to construction schedules for those projects
122 WOFES GREEN FRE-GONS I ACQ	Green	FGD AGRIFIES	\$305,030	93,300,030	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	55,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	22,000,000	\$5,000,000	-830,000,000	<u> </u>		335,308,850	This project will result in actions to mitigate environmental damage from tree cutting
																during 2008-9 (as required by permitting agencies) to maintain eligibility for US Army Corps of Engineers PL84-99 program. The current mitigation effort is the Teufel
123 WLFL8 GREEN R PL84-99 MITIGATN	Grean.	FCD Const	\$4,055,796	\$5,660,541	\$1,604,745	50	so	\$0	so	\$0	50	50			\$5,000,541	project scheduled for 2018 construction
																New project to implement interim SWIF adopted by Board of Supervisors. This project will reconstruct the Horseshoe Bend Levae at the Breda reach (RM 24 46-24 72) to a
			1												1	more stable configuration in order to reduce flood risk to the surrounding areas. The
		0	1 .												1	project will also raise levee crest elevations to contain the 500-year (0.2% annual chance) flood. This segment of the levee has the lowest factor of safety rating of the
124 WLFLE HSB BREDA SETBACK KENT	Green	Agreement	\$29,611	\$4,277.874	\$4,247,863	\$481,279	\$2,405,032	\$953,513	\$23,435	\$0	\$0	\$3,863,259			\$8,140,933	Horseshoe Bend levee
																New project to implement interim SWIF adopted by Board of Supervisors. This PL 84 99 level segment contains a Minimally acceptable rating by the USACE due to a
																slope deficiency at RM 24.3 (over steepened slopes from 1.3 to 1.7H:1V for 500 feet)
																The City of Kent constructed a secondary containment levee in this reach, set back
	1		1							l l						from the river's edge, which is currently not part of the federal levee. The only remaining structure between the two levees is a Puget Sound Energy facility. The
	1	l.	1													Horseshoe Bend Levee Certification Report calculated Factor of Safety (FOS) values
															1	for rapid drawdown of 1.08 and 1.55 at about RM 24.3 and RM 24.4 respectively.  River bed scour in this reach between 1986 and 2011 is 2.7 feet at RM 24.24. Fundin
	1														1	of \$400,000 covers the cost of major modification to the federal levee so that the City
128 WLFLS HSB MCCOY REALIGNMENT	Green	FCD Const	50	\$400,000	\$403,000	\$0	so	50	300	\$0	50	10			\$400,000	of Kent's secondary containment levee can be incorporated into the federal levee
					100,000,000						-				1	New project to implement interim SWIF adopted by Board of Supervisors. The Nursin
						1									10	Home leves is over-steepened and does not meet current engineering standards. Th
			1									l l				economic consequence of levee failure or overtopping to the lower Green River valle is extensive and could cause tens of millions of dollars in damage. This capital projections of the countries
																area contains a Minimally Acceptable deficiency by the US Army Corps of Engineers
			1													at RM 25 5 (over steepened slopes from 1, 25 to 1, 7H IV for 225 feet). The Horseshoe Bend Levee Certification Report calculated a Factor of Safety (FOS) value
																for rapid drawdown of 1 01 at RM 25 57 (Section F). This is barely above the
126 WLFLE HSB NURSING HOME SETBACK	Green	FCD Const	\$0	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$2,000,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$2,600,000			\$2,600,000	
		LANGE CONTRACTOR OF								ľ						Coordination and planning activities to implement recommendations of interim SWIF.  Maintenance work associated with the interim SWIF is included in the operating
127 WLFLB INTERIM SWIF IMPLEMENTATION	Green	FCD Const	\$2,650		\$67,350	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	50	\$0	.50			\$70,000	) budget
128 WLFLB LOWER RUSSELL ACO KENT 129 WLFLB LWR GRN R CORRIDOR PLANEIS	Green	Agreement FCO Const	\$129.701				\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0			\$1,023,150	Acquisitions by the City of Kent for the Lower Russell levee setback project.  Lower Green River Corridor Planning and Environmental Impact Statement.
									-							Remove and replace the existing flood containment system of levee and revetments
	1													li 1		along the right (east) bank of the Green River between river mile 17 85 (S 212th St)
																and river mile 19.25 (S 231st Way) in the City of Kent to provide long-term flood protection and improve riparian and aquatic habitat. Increased expenditure authority
130 WLFLS LWR RUSSELL LEVEE SETBACK	Green	FCD Const	\$10,792,951	\$20,555,938	\$9.762.977	\$14,106,596	\$18.141.389	\$83,375	so	50	50	\$32 331 360			\$52.887.298	to match the disconnected by Board of Commissions
											-					Prepare an analysis and study of design and construction alternatives to provide floor
																protection, scour protection, enable levee certification and secure necessary land rights. Current ILA with Kent for this first phase is \$3.65 million, the ILA assumes that
131 WLFLS MILWAUKEE LEVEE #2-KENT	Green	Agreement	\$108,711	\$8,500,000	\$6,391,289	\$10,900,000	50	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$10.900.000			\$19,400,000	the total project cost is \$8.5 million.
																This project will conduct a feasibility analysis of channel migration hazards from river inite 21.1 to 21.7. Alternative selection is pending, alternative 1 is assumed as a
132 WLFL6 OLD JEFFS FARM REVETMENT	Green	FCD Const	\$171,983	\$2,026,802	\$1,854,819	50	\$1,973,198	SO.	SO.	\$0	\$0	\$1,973,198			\$4,000,000	placeholder
																This project will address scour damage to the bridge, which is on the primary through
133 WLFL8 GREEN SCOUR REPAIR 2017	Green	Agreement	\$47,824	\$150,000	\$102,476	\$0	\$0	90	\$0	\$6	\$0	\$0			\$155,000	Toule of the Green River Valley Rd. The bridge is also a King County landmark
																Improve SE Green Valley Road near SE Auburn Black Diamond Road and alleviate
134 WUFLS GREEN R IMPROVEMENT 2024	Green	Agreement	\$47,524	\$0	S0	\$0	\$0	\$0	S0	50	\$100,000	\$100,000			\$100,000	
																Contribute the cost of a repair (\$720,000) to a \$7 million levee setback project. By relocating the levee, flood ricks as well as future repair costs for the Flood Control
																District are reduced. In response to community concerns, the project also includes
			1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	11		funding to elevate the road so that the school bus serving this neighborhood does not
ISS WUPLE PORTER LEVEE	Grean	Habilal Cost Share	\$305,000	\$720,000	\$420,000	*EK	701	ito.	301	en.	en.	.cn			\$720.00	have to drive in the oncoming take to avoid floodwaters.

No. True	Basin	Type of project	2017 Inception to Date Expenditure	2016 Inception to Date Budget	2018 Available Budget	2019 Requested	2020 Projected	2021 Projected	2022 Projected	2023 Projected	2024 Projected	8-Year CIP Tetal	CIS Year 7-10	CIS 10+ Year	Project Life Total	Comments
137 WLFL8 RUSSELL RD UPPER KENT	Green	Agreement	\$6,061,985	\$6,082,173	\$20 188	50	\$0	to.	to	so	50	to			\$6.082.173	Project is to improve the levee by providing a minimum of 3 feet of freeboard above the predicted 500-year flood event and improve slope stability. These segments of the Russell Road Upper Levee have over-cleened slopes and therefore tack adequate structural stability to provide adequate safety.
138 WLFLS 5 180TH ST BRIDGE FLOODWALL EX		Agreement.	60	\$85,378	\$65,378	50	so.	90	50	50	50	SO				The project will increase the height of a flood wall to provide approximately 30° of additional flood protection.
139 WLFLS SIGNATURE POINTE REVETMENT		FCD Const	sto.	\$300,000	\$300,000	50	\$0	SO.	so	SO.	\$0	so so			\$300,000	Signature Pointe is a revetiment/levee on the Green River between river mile 22 06 and 23, 18 that does not meet the FEMA requirements for accreditation due to madequate freedownt. This project includes development of a project charter and an alternatives analysis to celect an alternative to achieve increased flood protection, the project of the pro
					1440-7	10-0	12.6			192		195			10000000	Repair of the recent damage to the Titus Pit RB revetment is needed to prevent a potential revelment failure and Green River road collapse. The revetment protects an ladjacent King County arterial road and utilities (cuch as water, natural gas,
140 WEFLE TITUS PIT RYTMAT 2012 REPAIR	Green	Agreement	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	50	50	02	\$5	\$0	\$0	. \$0				Islacommunication and power under the road. New project to implement interest SWIF adopted by Board of Supervisors. This project will construct a 0.15 mile floodwall and sloped embankment to protect adjacent businesses from flooding. The floodwall alignment (including embankment tolep, factors of safety, and necessary real estable will be finalized during the project design.
141 WLFL8 TUK-205 RATOLO FLOODWALL	Green	FCD Const	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$1,800,000		-	\$1,800,000	phase US Army Corps led project to replace 3500 fl. of Tukwila 205 levee in-place
142 WLFLB TUK-205 UBAGE GACO-SEGALE	Green	FCD Const	\$382,418	\$6,860,633	\$8,478,215	\$8,871,785	\$0	Sc.	\$0	\$0	so	\$8,871,785			\$15 732 418	replacement to bring up to 500-year level of protection per the adopted interim SWIF The USACE will chare remaining 2/3 of the cost; this allocation is the local share of 1/3 of total cost. Requires cooperation agreement.
149 WLFLS SOUTH PARK PUMPSTATION	Seattle	Agreement	\$1,786,252	\$1,786.262	\$0	\$6	\$4,718,738	50	\$6	50	\$0	\$4,718,738				Cost-share construction of pump station to reduce flooding in industrial area. Allocation of functs by year may be revised based on updated project schedule. Implemented by the City of Seattle, Expenditure forecast to be updated based on current project schedule.
144 WUFLS PUGET WAY QULVERT	Seattle	Apreement			\$0	\$1,800,000	50	\$0	45	50	80	\$1,800,000			\$1,800,000	This project will replace an aging and undersized creek culvert under Pugel Way SW
145 WLFLS S PARK DRAMAGE IMPROVEMENT		Agreement	\$219.074	\$1,000,000	\$780,926	\$1,800,000	\$9,075,000	\$7,030,000	50	Sn Sn	50	\$16,105,000				The South Park Drainage Conveyance improvements Project will install a formal conveyance system in the streets, to get flows to the pump station. The conveyance improvements with work in conjunction with the Pump Station.
THE THE PARTY OF T	-	110000000	3213074	01,000,000			83,010,000	37,000,000		50		5-000000000				Erosion and slumping of Tukwila Trail revelment caused by the recent Green River
146 WEFLS TURWILA RYTMY 2019 REPAIR 147 Green-Downish Subtotal	G/een	FCD Const	500.201,737	\$114,397,693	\$48,153,480	\$500,000 \$44,438,561	\$41,774,710	\$22,396,431	\$11,570,342		\$5,540,752	\$142,972,134	- 30	- 80	\$257,069,827	flood resulted in approximately 200 leet of damage to the revenuent.
148																
150 WLFL9 ANDERSON PARK ACQUISITION	White	FCD Acqu/Elev	50	. 50	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$100,000			\$100,000	Adourse portion of Anderson park from City of Enumciaw
151 WLFLD BUTTE AVE FLOOD MITIGATION	White	Agreement	350	\$470,000	\$470,000	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$470,000	This project will reduce flood risks to residences and businesses in the Cities of Pacific and Agono by addressing backwatering and drainage problem in Governme Canal from high river flows. The project will design and permit a stormwater pump station which will significantly reduce flood risks to approximately five hundred home: and businesses. The completed project will also reduce long-term road closures that have occurred in the pact due to flooding.
151 WLFLO COUNTYLINE TO A STREET	White	FCD Const	\$23,360,686	\$24,004,419	\$623,533	50	\$65,776	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$65,776				Reduces flood elevations that impact residential neighborhoods in the City of Pacific (200 homes, with \$52 million of assessed and \$13 million content value), improves sediment statistic and enhances hobital.
																Construct a new levee setback in the City of Pacific, extending from BNSF railroad
152 WLFL9 RIGHT BANK LEVEE SETBACK	White	FCD Const FCD Acquities	\$11,009,469	\$13,230,557 \$100,000	\$2,221,088	\$1,612,600	\$655_636 \$0	\$8,079,077		\$69.555 \$6	\$0 \$0	\$16,836,771			\$30,057,328	bridge embankment to endpoint at Butte Ave by White River Estates neighborhood  Acquire vacant parcel on Slippery Creek wong Chinook Pass Hwy 410
			-													This project will analyze culvert replacement and road-raising options and implemen
154 WLFL9 STREAM #10.0048 US CULVERT	White	Agreement	Sc	\$90,000	590,000	\$100,000	\$400,000	\$100,000	50	\$5	So	\$600,000				the preferred option.  These two bridges are cubject to having the roadway approach fill wash out during a flood, Excavate approaches and rebuild approaches to prevent loosing approaches.
155 WLFLD STREAM #10.0046 DS CULVERT	White	Agreement	\$0	50	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$1,500,000	50	\$1,650,000			\$1,650,000	Iduring flooding. Loss of facing rock along 130° of like lower half of the embankment, Some of the grav fill under the rock has eroded as well, leaving a near-vertical face supporting the rock remaining on the upper clope. The rock that slid down is currently providing scour
156 WLFLE STUCK R OR 2019 REPAIR 157 White Subtotel	White	FCD Acqu/Elev	\$34,990,955	\$37,894,975	\$0 \$3,404,621	\$500,000 \$2,392,000	\$5,121,412	\$6,179,077	50 95,569,902	\$0 \$1,559,550	\$0 \$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$500,000 \$57,727,523	protection at the toe
159	Countraide	FED Const	- 6	\$142.610	\$142,610	(5713.635)	50	50	SO	50	522 300 000	\$27,057,390			\$27,300,000	Prophology by complex vian implementation project (1)
161 Countywide Corridor Plan Imp Subtotal 162	A Countywide.	PLU CONSI	\$0		\$142,010	Bigelli	\$5			50	127,200,000	127,067,300	50	100	\$27,200,000	Precensider for corridor plan implementation project(s)
163																Competitive grant program for flood reduction projects. Increases as a proportion of
164 WLFLG FLOOD REDUCTION GRANTS	Countywide	GranL	\$7,208,617	\$14,685,996	\$7,477,379	\$3,166,261	\$3,281,568	\$3,359.037	\$3,435,258	\$3,511,156	\$3,588,460	\$20,341,740	-	-	\$35,027,736	total FCD tax revenue Cooperative Watershed Management Grant Program, priorities recommended by
165 WEFLG WRIA GRANTS	Countywide	Grant	\$15,445,614	\$27,619,780	512,174,168	\$4,584,158	\$4.853,735	\$5,020,440	\$5,211,506	\$5,400,162	\$5,595,546	\$30,774,659			\$58,394,439	watershed groups, Increase based on assumed inflation rate  Evaluation of capital projects to determine effectiveness and identity project design
168 WLFLM EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING	Countywide	FCD Const	\$1,002,350	\$3 295 253	\$1,402,897	(\$401.065)	sti04.907	\$390,884	\$588,509	\$636,581	\$519,813	\$2,307,409			\$5,502,662	Improvements
167 WLFLO SUBREGN'S OPPRINTY FUND	Countywide	Grant	\$31,603,504	S49 421 941	\$17,818,436	\$5,889.245	\$6,103,717	\$6,247,808	\$6,389,580	\$6,530,751	\$6,674,535	\$37,835,638			\$87,257,577	Allocation to all King County jurisdictions for flooding, water quality, or watershed management projects. Increases as a proportion of total FCD tax revenue.

Page 7 of 8

Corrusing	Central charges related to the FCD's capital fund	Central charges related to the FCD's capital fund.	Contingency for emergency response actions during a flood event.			
Project Life Total	\$500,000	\$1,511,483	\$2,300,917	\$100,054,524	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$1,002,010,045
CIS 10+ Ver				90	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$121,300,000
CIS Year 7-10			20	Q.		\$157,700,000
6-Year CIP	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,500,000	\$45,659,444	1000	\$356,745,900
2024 Projected	S	\$100,000	000'0923	\$16,729,456	The second secon	171,281,223
1023 Projected	20	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$16,429,650		\$35,202,156
2022 Projected	8	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$15,074,853		110,040,181
2021 Projected	8	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$15,385,109	A STATE OF THE STA	\$50,254,784
Projected	8	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$15,184,007	Contract of the Contract of th	\$42,123,195
2010 Recoested	\$500,000	\$100,000	\$250,000	514, 158, 309		474,217,200
2018 Available Budget	8	\$200,079	\$355,683	\$36,405,540	The same of the same	\$149,664,710
2016 Inception to Date Budget	9,	\$511,403	216,0063	\$56,735,380	The state of the s	\$401,276,077
2017 lecepton to Date Expenditure	3	\$704,514	\$415,234	\$57,209,040	The second second	1250,556,267
Type of project	FCD Const	FCD Conti	FCD Const			
Besin	Countywide	Countywide	Countywide			
- Til	58 WILPLY CONST MATERIALS STOCKPILE	59 WUFLY CENTRAL CHARGES	TO WILFLY FLOOD EMERGENCY CONTGNCY	71 Countywide Subtotal	72	73 Grand Total

Page 8 of 8