# King County

**Proposed No.** 2010-0003.1

# KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

# **Signature Report**

## January 4, 2010

## Ordinance 16743

Sponsors Ferguson

1	AN ORDINANCE authorizing a special assessment for
2	resource conservation for natural resource conservation
3	purposes on all nonexempt properties within the King
4	Conservation District of King County of nine dollars and
5	ninety-eight cents per parcel plus one-cent per parcel for
6	parcels between one and five acres or two cents per parcel
7	for parcels greater than five acres, for the years 2010
8	through 2012, and ratifying and approving an interlocal
9	agreement between King County and the King
10	Conservation District, and declaring an emergency.
11	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
12	SECTION 1. Findings:
13	A. The King Conservation District is a governmental subdivision of the state of
14	Washington, organized under chapter 89.08 RCW to protect and conserve natural
15	resources throughout King County except within the boundaries of the incorporated cities
16	of Enumclaw, Federal Way, Milton, Pacific and Skykomish.
17	B. RCW 89.08.400 authorizes special assessments for conservation districts for
18	activities and programs to conserve natural resources to be imposed by the legislative
19	authority of the county in which the conservation district is located.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

C. The King Conservation District provides the benefits of resource practices. programs and projects authorized by chapter 89.08 RCW available to all land owners or land occupiers within the district, including, but not limited to: technical assistance to landowners to meet the requirements of state, county and municipal regulations relating to conservation; technical support for King County agricultural programs; assistance to landowners in resolving code enforcement issues relating to conservation and environmental protection; development of plans for livestock manure storage facilities; assistance to county and municipal departments with water quality coordination and protections; coordination of intergovernmental partnerships to carry out joint projects, including the development and implementation of watershed plans; assistance to governments to develop livestock and agricultural laws and regulations; research to determine and develop the most effective best management practices to improve water quality; development of farm plans; cost-sharing funding for sensitive area best management practices implementation; and other such natural resource conservation activities as provided for in chapter 89.08 RCW.

D. The declaration of legislative intent in establishment of conservation districts in RCW 89.08.010 is incorporated in this ordinance, notably the Legislature's acknowledgement that "there is a pressing need for the conservation of renewable resources in all areas of the state, whether urban, suburban, or rural, and that the benefits of resource practices, programs, and projects, as carried out by the state conservation commission and by the conservation districts, should be available to all such areas; therefore, it is hereby declared to be the policy of the legislature to provide for the conservation of the renewable resources of this state, and for the control and prevention

43	of soil erosion, and for the prevention of flood water and sediment damages, and for
44	furthering agricultural and nonagricultural phases of conservation, development,
45	utilization, and disposal of water, and thereby to preserve natural resources, control
46	floods, prevent impairment of dams and reservoirs, assist in maintaining the navigability
47	of rivers and harbors, preserve wildlife, protect the tax base, protect public lands, and
48	protect and promote the health, safety, and general welfare of the people of this state."

- E. In accordance with RCW 89.08.400, any system of special assessments for the conservation district shall not apply in cities that are outside of the boundaries of the district, though such cities may be located within King County and may receive a smaller indirect benefit from the activities of the district and its citizens do not have direct access to conservation services provided as a result of this assessment.
- F. The King County council has responsibilities under chapter 89.08 RCW to approve a system of assessments, where those assessments, in the judgment of the council, do not exceed the benefit received by the land from the activities of the district, and where the public interest is served by the imposition of the assessment.
- G. The county and the King Conservation District each have an interest in acting to preserve the natural resources of the region, and have numerous cooperative services and programs intended to support that purpose.
- H. The King Conservation District under RCW 89.08.070(8) is authorized to plan and administer activities that affect the conservation of renewable natural resources, and to work in coordination with local agencies to avoid duplication of effort.
- I. The King Conservation District under RCW 89.08.220(1) is authorized to engage in investigation and research that relates to the conservation of renewable natural

resources provided that, in order to avoid duplication of research activities, any such research is done in cooperation with other governments and agencies of the state and the United States.

J. The county and the King Conservation District are authorized under chapter 39.34 RCW, the Interlocal Cooperation Act, and RCW 89.08.341 to enter into cooperative interlocal agreements for the purpose of engaging in cooperative efforts to promote, facilitate and undertake programs and activities relating to the conservation of natural resources and to keep, according to RCW 89.08.341, "...local agencies fully informed concerning the status and progress of the preparation of their resource conservation programs and plans."

K The county and the King Conservation District have historically expressed their cooperative relationship through use of these interlocal agreements that have described the processes and mechanisms by which they were to carry out their respective roles.

L. The county and the King Conservation District continue to share a mutual goal of providing a stable and predictable source of funding for the district's conservation programs and for the district's support of water quality and habitat protection grant programs to support the water resource inventory area and watershed forums' implementation of salmon habitat recovery plans in King County, and local jurisdictions' natural resource conservation programs and activities, so that the district, the county and member jurisdictions, the watershed forums and other stakeholders can implement long-range plans for natural resource conservation. The attached interlocal agreement provides for such stability and predictability as to funding needs.

M. The attached interlocal agreement also provides a framework for the county and the King Conservation District to continue to cooperatively undertake and fund natural resource conservation programs, projects and activities that are consistent with and reflective of the priorities that each attaches to these important endeavors.

N. On July 27, 2009, the King Conservation District board of supervisors adopted Resolution 2000-0005 proposing a five-year system of assessments from 2010 through 2014 of nine dollars and ninety-eight cents per parcel on all King County parcels except those classified forestry; the proposed conservation assessment also includes a zero dollars per parcel annual rate for parcels less than one acre, a one cent per parcel annual rate for parcels between one and five acres and a two cents per parcel annual rate for parcels greater than five acres. The King Conservation District filed the proposed system of assessments with the King County council on July 31, 2009.

O. For the purposes set forth in chapter 89.08 RCW, the public interest is served by the imposition of a special assessment for the conservation district in accordance with this ordinance, and all lands within the boundaries of the King Conservation District, with forestlands, parcels owned by the federal government and parcels owned by federally recognized tribes or members of such tribes that are located within the historical boundaries of a reservation being exempted from charge, have derived and will continue to derive a benefit from the natural resource conservation projects and programs equal to or exceeding the ten dollars per parcel assessment. Except for the parcels exempted herein, the assessment of nine dollars and ninety-eight cents per parcel on all King County parcels, also including a zero dollars per parcel annual rate for parcels less than one acre, a one cent per parcel annual rate for parcels between one and five acres and a

two cents per parcel annual rate for parcels greater than five acres, is reasonably calculated to fund and provide public access to conservation activities that shall continue to specially benefit these lands, and these rate amounts do not exceed the special benefits that such lands receive or will receive from these activities. The conservation activities funded herein consist of those projects, programs and activities that are more fully described in Attachment A to this ordinance, the interlocal agreement between King County and the King Conservation District, which agreement provides for cooperative efforts on the part of King County and the King Conservation District to fund the priorities provided for in the agreement and to promote the purposes of RCW 89.08.010 as described in subsection D. of this section to improve the quality of water and the conservation of natural resources in King County and to assist landowners in King County to comply with laws and regulations that protect the quality of the County's water and natural resources.

- P. On November 16, 2009, the King County council held a public hearing on the proposed King Conservation District assessment. At the hearing, the council heard testimony that the public interest would be best served by imposing the King Conservation District proposed system of assessments for a three year period, in accordance with the terms of the interlocal agreement.
- Q. The interlocal agreement between the King Conservation District and King County specifies the use of special assessment expenditures for identified natural resource conservation programs and activities. These programs and activities identified in the interlocal agreement serve the public interest. The special assessments to be imposed on any land will not exceed the special benefit that the land receives or will

receive from these programs and activities. Programs and activities provided with assessment revenues as allocated in the interlocal agreement satisfy RCW 89.08.400 for each of the three years of the assessment.

- R. Ordinance 16703 imposing a natural resource conservation special assessment for the King Conservation District required filing of a signed interlocal agreement by December 15, 2009, with the clerk of the council. The ordinance further provided that if the agreement was not timely filed the ordinance would not take effect and the special assessment should not be imposed or collected. The agreement was filed with the clerk on December 16, 2009. This administrative error should be remedied so that the assessment is imposed and the interlocal agreement is legally effective. Enactment of this ordinance is necessary to remedy the untimely filing of the agreement.
- S. The King County treasurer requires information of the amount of the assessment on or before January 7, 2010, to be able to include the assessment on the 2010 property tax bills. Enactment of this ordinance as an emergency ordinance is necessary to meet that deadline.

SECTION 2. A natural resource conservation special assessment for the King Conservation District of nine dollars and ninety-eight cents per parcel on all property within the district plus an additional zero dollars per parcel annual rate for parcels less than one acre, a one cent per parcel annual rate for parcels between one and five acres and a two cents per parcel annual rate for parcels greater than five acres, is hereby imposed for collection effective January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2012, with the following lands exempted from such charges: lands assessed as forestland; parcels owned by the federal government; and parcels owned by federally recognized tribes or

members of such tribes that are located within the historical boundaries of a reservation. The use of revenues from this assessment is subject to the terms of the interlocal agreement between the King Conservation District and King County, Attachment A to this ordinance, which may be amended. This assessment for any year may be modified or repealed by ordinance on or before December 31 of the preceding year.

SECTION 3. The amount of the assessment shall constitute a lien against any property for which the assessment has not been paid by the date it is due. A notice of lien shall be sent to each owner of such property.

SECTION 4. In accordance with RCW 89.08.400(2), the King Conservation District special assessments may be revised by the King County board of appeals with respect to individual parcels. Appeals of the special assessment for the King Conservation District must be filed with the King County board of appeals in a manner prescribed by the board on or before March 30, 2007, for the 2007 assessment, and by March 30 of each ensuing calendar year for that year's assessment. The board of appeals shall hold a public hearing to consider objections to the special assessment for the King Conservation District, act as a board of equalization and make any adjustments to the special assessment. The board may make reductions in assessments for properties which meet the exemption criteria established in RCW 89.08.400 or other reasonable grounds consistent with chapter 89.08 RCW.

SECTION 5. The King County council hereby ratifies and approves the interlocal agreement signed by the executive and the King Conservation District, a copy of which constitutes Attachment A to this ordinance, that establishes the roles and responsibilities of the county and the King Conservation District in cooperatively undertaking natural

181	resource conservation programs, projects and activities under funding obtained through a
182	system of special assessments.
183	SECTION 6. In the event that either party to the interlocal agreement terminates
184	the agreement, the special assessment provided for in this ordinance shall be rescinded
185	for the year or years following the termination.
186	SECTION 7. All provisions of this ordinance are necessary to accomplish the
187	intent of the council in imposing the natural resource special assessment for the duration
188	of the assessment from January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2012, and are not
189	severable from each other. If any provision of this ordinance is declared by a final court
190	order to be invalid, all provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be of no force or
191	effect and the natural resource assessment authorized herein shall not be collected, or if
192	collected shall be refunded by the King Conservation District.
193	SECTION 8. The county council finds as a fact and declares that an emergency
194	exists and that this ordinance is necessary for the immediate preservation of public peace,
195	

health or safety or for the support of county government and its existing public

institutions.

Ordinance 16743 was introduced on 1/4/2010 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 1/4/2010, by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague,

Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Dunn

No: 0 Excused: 0

> KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Robert W. Ferguson, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

**Attachments:** A. Interlocal Agreement Between King County and the King Conservation District Relating to Natural Resource Conservation

# Attachment A ORIGINAL

# 1 2

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN
KING COUNTY AND THE KING CONSERVATION DISTRICT
RELATING TO NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by and between King County, a Washington municipal corporation (hereinafter referred to as the "County"), and the King Conservation District, a governmental subdivision of the state of Washington organized under Chapter 89.08 RCW (hereinafter referred to as the "District").

#### **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 39.34 RCW (Interlocal Cooperation Act) and RCW 89.08.341, the County and the District are authorized to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of engaging in cooperative efforts to promote, facilitate and undertake programs and activities relating to the conservation of natural resources; and

WHEREAS, the District was established in 1949 pursuant to Chapter 89.08 RCW with the purpose and authority to undertake programs and activities to protect and conserve natural resources throughout King County; and

WHEREAS, since its inception the District has developed an expertise in the management of soil, water and natural resources to protect and conserve the environment and local economies and the District has earned a reputation among landowners as an organization that understands and appreciates their needs; and

WHEREAS, the District's relationship with the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture and other federal and state agencies strengthens its ability to preserve and protect natural resources in King County through access to federal and state funded programs; and

WHEREAS, the District is authorized to plan and administer activities that affect the best use and conservation of renewable natural resources in such areas as farming, forestry, watershed stabilization and prevention and reduction of erosion and stormwater, protection of fish and wildlife, prevention and reduction of pollution to surface waters and habitat restoration, and to work in coordination with local agencies to avoid duplication of effort; and

WHEREAS, the County has an interest in protecting the quality of its soils and water to enhance human health and the health of its watersheds including aquatic and riparian habitats, and is obligated under its National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit to do so; and

WHEREAS, the County's Zoning Code provides for King County landowners to work with the District to bring agricultural practices into compliance with water quality and

critical area standards and to assist farmers in developing farm plans that promote flexibility for water way buffer areas, and soil and water resource conservation practices; and

 WHEREAS, the County has a variety of programs and regulations that relate to farm practices and the preservation of natural resources that are best implemented in cooperation and coordination with the District; and

WHEREAS, the District is authorized to conduct surveys, investigations and research that relate to the conservation of renewable natural resources and the preventative and control measures and works of improvement, provided that, in order to avoid duplication of research activities, any such research shall be done in cooperation with other governments and agencies of the state and the United States; and

WHEREAS, municipal and county governments and other stakeholders have invested major efforts in completing salmon habitat conservation plans through the mechanism of Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) planning processes authorized by the Washington Salmon Recovery Act, Chapter 77.85 RCW, and these planning processes have been coordinated by the WRIA 8 Forum, the WRIA 9 Forum, and the Snoqualmie Watershed Forum, which are composed of local jurisdictions and stakeholders that have joined themselves under interlocal agreements to promote salmon recovery in the WRIA 8 and 9 and Snoqualmie watershed areas; and

WHEREAS, the District has been instrumental in the development of the WRIA 8, WRIA 9 and the Snoqualmie Watershed salmon habitat conservation plans by providing on an annual basis critical funding resources to the forums through a grants program that has helped the member jurisdictions successfully complete such plans and implement early actions and projects that were consistent with the developing plans; and

WHEREAS, the member jurisdictions of each of the forums have approved the salmon habitat conservation plans and now seek continued assistance from the District so as to further implement the activities and projects recommended by the approved plans in order to promote the recovery of salmon in the Puget Sound region; and

WHEREAS, the County, both in its capacity as a member jurisdiction within each forum, and as service provider to each of the three forums, supports the implementation of the approved plans and supports the District's continued involvement in providing financial assistance to the forums so as to bring about the successful implementation of the plans; and

WHEREAS, a key component of the WRIA watershed plans is a focus on programmatic efforts working with private landowners on a voluntary basis to educate and support the voluntary implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) on private lands; and

WHEREAS, such programmatic efforts are known to be critical to the success of natural resource conservation programs and are congruent with the District's mission and statutory mandate; and

WHEREAS, the County and the District continue to share a mutual goal of providing a stable and predictable source of funding for the District's conservation programs and for the District's support of water quality and habitat protection grant programs to support the water resource inventory area and watershed forums' implementation of salmon habitat recovery plans in King County, and member jurisdictions' natural resource conservation programs and activities that are consistent with the District's statutory purposes, so that the District, the County, and member jurisdictions, the watershed forums and other stakeholders can implement long-range plans for natural resource conservation; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 89.08.400 the County has been given the authority to impose special assessments on lands within the District for a period or periods each not to exceed ten years in duration to fund the District's conservation programs and activities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 89.08.400 the District is required on or before the first day of August in the year prior to which the District is proposing that a special assessment be imposed to file with the County a proposed system of assessments, indicating the years during which the District proposes that a special assessment be imposed, along with a proposed budget for the District's Program of Work for the succeeding year; and

WHEREAS, in order for the County to adopt a system of assessments under RCW 89.08.400 for the benefit of the District's conservation programs and activities, the County must find that the public interest will be served by the imposition of the special assessments and that the special assessments to be imposed on any land will not exceed the special benefit that the land receives or will receive from the District's programs and activities; and

WHEREAS, the County and the District desire to work cooperatively on natural resource conservation efforts, including projects and activities to conserve soils and to improve the quality of water in the County and to assist landowners in King County to comply with laws and regulations that protect the quality of the County's water, which activities have been declared to be of a special benefit to lands pursuant to RCW 89.08.400(1); and

WHEREAS, the District has a responsibility to keep local agencies fully informed about the status and progress of their resource conservation programs and plans pursuant to RCW 89.08.341; and

WHEREAS, the District acknowledges the County's responsibility to review annually the Programs of Work approved by the District to ensure that the conservation programs and activities to be funded by the special assessments satisfy the public interest requirement and to confirm that the amount of special assessments imposed on any lands does not exceed the special benefits to lands derived from the District's conservation programs and activities; and

WHEREAS, the County acknowledges that the District has historically prepared its Programs of Work and associated budgets on an annual basis and that the District needs to retain the right to prepare annually its Programs of Work and associated budgets so that they may be based on current information and circumstances and, further, so that the District can maintain some flexibility to address new or emerging conservation needs and requirements which may vary from year to year and which may impact the District's Programs of Work and associated budgets; and

WHEREAS, the County and the District share a mutual goal of providing a stable and predictable source of funding for the District's conservation programs and activities so that the District, the County, member jurisdictions, and other stakeholders who receive grants from the District can develop and implement long range plans for natural resource conservation activities which are congruent with the District's mission and statutory mandate.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises, benefits and covenants contained herein, the parties hereto agree as follows:

#### I. PURPOSE OF THE AGREEMENT:

- A. The recitals set forth above are incorporated herein by this reference.
- B. The purpose of this Agreement is to establish and define the terms and conditions for the cooperative efforts to be undertaken by the County and the District to promote, facilitate and undertake certain conservation programs and activities to be funded, in whole or in part, by the District's special assessment funds imposed under RCW 89.08.400. The terms of this Agreement do not govern or restrict the District in any manner relating to funds that the District may receive from sources other than the special assessments funds imposed by the County pursuant to RCW 89.08.400.

#### II. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>:

A. "Program of Work" means a detailed statement or description of the conservation programs and activities to be undertaken by the District for a particular calendar year using special assessment funds authorized and imposed by the County for the benefit of the District pursuant to RCW 89.08.400. A Program of Work will include a budget, broken out by major activities, identifying the anticipated expenditure of the special assessment funds for the District's conservation programs and activities described in the Program of Work. A Program of Work for each of the years subject to this Agreement shall be submitted to the King County Council by the District on or before August 1 of each year for the following year's activities and programs.

B. "Advisory Committee" means a committee consisting of representatives of the District and key stakeholder groups, including representatives of the County, member jurisdictions, WRIA watershed forums and other interested parties, that will review and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors on the District's Program of Work and the

associated annual budget during the term of the assessment. The purpose of the Advisory Committee will be to foster a greater understanding of the programs and services provided by the District, and to identify conservation programs that may be undertaken by the District through the use of assessment funds. The District shall constitute the Advisory Committee in a manner that reflects the District's commitment to the private landowner and to programmatic efforts, while including financial and scientific expertise from the County and balanced representation from the District, member jurisdictions and the three WRIA watershed forums. The District will solicit input from the County's recommendations relating to which participants the County believes would be appropriate to include on the Advisory Committee. The committee shall meet quarterly or more often as deemed necessary and appropriate by the District. The committee will review and provide recommendations to the District regarding the protocols and procedures for applying for and receiving WRIA grants and member jurisdiction grants. The committee is an advisory body with the power to make recommendations to the District; however, such recommendations are not binding on the District. Participants on the Advisory Committee are expected to regularly participate in Advisory Committee meetings and associated activities. District's Board in consultation with the committee shall adopt by-laws governing the membership, meetings and operating procedures of the committee.

C. "Assessment Appropriation Budget" means a budget that describes the District's programs and activities for a calendar year that are to be funded by assessment funds subject to this Agreement which budget includes the amounts to be expended for each program or activity for a particular year. The District's Assessment Appropriation Budget for 2010 was previously provided to the County on July 31, 2009 and is incorporated herein by this reference and is subject to the modifications provided for herein. Proposed Assessment Appropriation Budgets for 2011 and 2012 will be provided to the County by the District with the District's Program of Work on or before August 1 of each year prior to the period of the assessment, and shall be finally adopted by the District no later than December 1 of each year prior to the period of assessment. A copy of the finally adopted Program of Work and Assessment Appropriation Budget shall upon adoption be transmitted to the King County Council.

D. "Grant Fund Appropriation Commitment" means a financial commitment by the District to fund the District's grant programs at specific appropriation levels throughout the term of this Agreement. The Grant Fund Appropriation Commitment includes an agreement that provides for the District's recovery of direct and indirect overhead expenses which the County and the District acknowledge are appropriate to allocate to, and recover from, the funds appropriated to the WRIA grant and member jurisdiction grant programs.

## III. <u>RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES</u>:

#### A. THE DISTRICT

1. <u>Cooperation with the County</u>: The District agrees that it will cooperate with the County by performing certain services or responsibilities that (a) have been properly defined and formally accepted by the District, (b) are consistent with the requirements of Chapter

89.08 RCW, and (c) are adequately funded through special assessment funds designated for such activities and approved by the District, or through other funding sources provided by the County or third parties. The District agrees that these three standards set forth in (a), (b), and (c) above are met for the services described in subsections (a) through (e) below, and agrees to provide such services consistent with past practice and at historical levels. The District further agrees that the provision of such services shall include:

- a. Working with the County in performing specific natural resource conservation functions as identified in the King County Code Titles 2, 9, 16 and 21A, including continuation of collaborative work with King County and rural property owners for natural resource conservation, landowner assistance and farm planning, particularly as provided for in Title 21A and in accordance with past practices and historical levels for farm plans. For the purposes of this subsection, "historical levels" shall mean the total number of farm plans completed over the past 10 years divided by the number 10. The District and the County agree to discuss additional funding sources for the District to complete farm plans in the event that the requests for farm plans exceeds the historical levels by 10% or more for the year. The District shall not be required to prepare farm plans that are above historical levels without receiving additional funding for that purpose from sources other than the assessments provided for herein.
- b. Responding to citizen requests for natural resource conservation assistance from landowners within District boundaries.
- c. Convening the Advisory Committee on at least a quarterly basis to assist the District in the development of its annual Program of Work and to facilitate the purposes of the Advisory Committee, including but not limited to recommending to the District Board of Supervisors consistent guidelines for grant awards for programs and services funded by conservation assessment funds and for use of such funds in support of the District's grant programs.
- d. Providing annual reports, at the time of submittal of the annual Program of Work, to the King County Council and Executive detailing work completed the prior year.
- e. Administering grant programs with the WRIA forums and the member jurisdictions, as provided for herein, in an efficient and timely manner.
- 2. Other District Services: The District agrees to make a good faith effort to assist agencies of the County where the District's expertise may be of use in performing other conservation programs or activities of public interest such as the Agricultural Commission, farmland preservation, water quality cost-share, agriculture economic development policy, small farm support, and general farm marketing support, including but not limited to Puget Sound Fresh, to the extent such programs and activities are consistent with Chapter 89.08 RCW and as District staffing and resources allow.
- 3. <u>Proposed System of Assessments, Programs of Work, and Assessment Appropriation Budgets</u>: In accordance with RCW 89.08.400, the District has previously

submitted to the County on July 31, 2009, its proposed system of assessments and its Assessment Appropriation Plan for 2010-2014, together with an Assessment Appropriation Budget for 2010. In executing this Agreement, the District agrees that its Programs of Work during the term of this Agreement and its Assessment Appropriation Budgets for 2010-2012 shall provide for and fund the District's grant programs in a manner that is consistent with the Grant Fund Appropriation Commitment attached hereto as Attachment A, which is incorporated herein by this reference. The District agrees that its Assessment Appropriation Budget for 2010 shall be formally amended and submitted to the County for review by December 1, 2009.

The District agrees that it will spend funds collected through the special assessment imposed by the County in a manner which is consistent with its finally adopted Programs of Work and Assessment Appropriation Budgets as finally submitted to the County and that no such funds will be spent for activities that are not specified in the annual Programs of Work and Assessment Appropriation Budgets.

- 4. WRIA Grant Program: During the term of this Agreement, the District will fund and administer a grant program in partnership with the three King County WRIA watershed forums identified as follows: the Snoqualmie Watershed Forum, the WRIA 8 Salmon Recovery Council, and the WRIA 9 Watershed Forum, in accordance with the attached Grant Fund Appropriation Commitment. The grant program will fund projects and programs as provided for and in accordance with the priorities in the WRIA-based Salmon Recovery Plans approved by the forum member jurisdictions as recommended to the District by each of the forums. In addition, the District will work with the WRIA watershed forums to identify, implement and fund landowner incentive programs which are consistent with the WRIA-based Salmon Recovery Plans. The District will work with the WRIA watershed forums in order to ensure that WRIA grant applications submitted to the District for consideration are consistent with the District's grant policies and procedures and the requirements of Chapter 89.08 RCW. Applications for WRIA grants shall be submitted in accordance with the grant policies and procedures adopted by the District.
- 5. Member Jurisdiction Grant Program: During the term of this Agreement, the District will fund and administer a grant program in partnership with member jurisdictions in accordance with the attached Grant Funding Appropriation Commitment. The grant program will fund projects and programs within a given jurisdiction upon an application being submitted by the local jurisdiction to the District for District review and approval on the basis of consistency with the District's statutory purposes. Member jurisdiction grants shall be submitted in accordance with the grant policies and procedures adopted by the District. Each jurisdiction is to receive grant funds on the basis of the number of parcels assessed within that jurisdiction. In the event that a jurisdiction has not used funds allocated from the District assessment within five years following the date of the assessment, after 180-days' prior written notice from District to the jurisdiction, the District may reallocate the unused funds to other District programs. In the interests of efficiency and obtaining the maximum benefits from these grant funds, the District is willing to allow two or more local jurisdictions to pool resources in any one year for projects consistent with the District's statutory purposes, and to fund such projects on a rotating basis within the group of local jurisdictions so

pooling. The District will establish policies and procedures that provide for the pooling of grant funds.

- 6. Other District Programs: The District will fund its remaining programs and activities in accordance with the attached Grant Fund Appropriation Commitment and the annual Programs of Work and Assessment Appropriation Budgets to be submitted to King County.
- 7. Service to Incorporated Areas: The District's Programs of Work will include services to be provided to incorporated areas within the County, for which the District may enter into separate agreements with other local governments.
- 8. Annual Reports: The District shall provide annual reports including specific performance measures for completed work program activities to the King County Council and Executive at the time of submittal of the annual Work Program detailing work completed the prior year. The annual report shall be filed with the clerk of the council for distribution to the chair of the physical environment committee, or its successor committee, to the Executive, to each councilmember and to the lead staff for the natural resources and utilities committee, or its successor committee. The annual reports shall describe progress achieved towards work plan goals in terms of performance measures and report any barriers towards achieving work plan goals. Specifically, the reports should address the completion of farm plans, dairy nutrient management planning, landowner conservation services and administration and finance operations of the District.

#### B. THE COUNTY

- 1. Approval of Assessment: The County will consider a system of special assessments for the District in accordance with the requirements of RCW 89.08.400, which shall be effective for one or more years, up to a maximum duration of ten years, to fund the District's conservation programs and activities described in the Programs of Work.
- 2. Review of Programs of Work and Assessment: The special assessment for the remaining years beyond the first year of any multi-year special assessment imposed by the County may be modified or repealed by the County in its sole discretion on or before January 1 of any year. Any assessment funds collected by or for the benefit of the District based on a previously adopted system of assessment will be used by the District in accordance with the previously submitted Programs of Work and annual Assessment Appropriations Budgets submitted to support each such year's assessments.
- 3. <u>Authorized Collection Fees</u>: The King County Treasurer is authorized to deduct one percent of the collected special assessments to cover the costs incurred by the County Treasurer and County Assessor in spreading and collecting the special assessments; provided, however, that any portion of such amount in excess of the actual costs of such work shall be transferred to the District to be used at the discretion of the District.
- 4. Cooperation and Collaboration with the District: The County, working through the Advisory Committee or at the invitation of the District, will assist the District in the

development and implementation of the Programs of Work. Any agency of the County that has expertise, which may be of use to the District, will make a good faith effort to assist the District, as requested and as resources allow. The Deputy County Executive shall constitute the ongoing point of contact to promote communications with the District. The District and the County will work to establish a process that will provide for communications and discussions between the District Board of Supervisors and the County Council on a quarterly basis. Further, the County and the District desire to work together in collaboration, and the parties recognize that they each may have ongoing research programs, which may be of benefit to each other. The District agrees, in order to avoid duplication of research activities, that before undertaking any research project, it will consult with the County. In the event that the research project is determined by the District and the County to be duplicative, then it shall not be undertaken by the District through the use of assessment funds. If the parties cannot agree on this issue, each shall appoint a representative and the two appointed representatives shall jointly choose a third. The three individuals shall resolve the dispute by majority vote and the decision of this group shall be final.

#### IV. MAINTENANCE OF RECORDS:

 A. The parties agree to maintain accounts and records, including personnel, property, financial and programmatic records and other such records as may be deemed necessary by either party to ensure proper accounting for all funds expended from the District's assessment. All such records shall sufficiently and properly reflect all direct and indirect costs of any nature expended and services provided under this Agreement.

B. Records shall be maintained for a period of six (6) years after termination hereof unless permission to destroy them is granted by the Office of the Archivist in accordance with Chapter 40.14 RCW, or unless a longer retention period is required by law.

#### V. <u>AUDITS AND EVALUATION:</u>

A. To the extent permitted by law, the records and documents of the parties hereto with respect to all matters covered by this Agreement shall be subject to inspection, review, or audit by the other party during the performance of this Agreement and for six (6) years after termination hereof.

B. The parties will cooperate with each other in order to review and evaluate the procedures used to authorize the special assessments and the services provided under this Agreement. The parties will make available to each other all information reasonably required by any such review and evaluation process. Provided, however, each party may require the other party to submit a formal request for information in accordance with applicable internal policies or law.

#### VI. <u>EFFECTIVENESS AND TERMINATION:</u>

- A. This Agreement shall become effective upon its signature by both the County and the District, and shall terminate on December 31, 2012, unless it is terminated at an earlier date pursuant to Section VI B. of this Agreement.
  - B. This Agreement also shall terminate if:
  - (1) The County, in its sole discretion, repeals the District's assessment, or
  - (2) The District requests that the County repeal its assessment.

Notwithstanding any of these actions, any assessment funds collected by or for the benefit of the District based on a previously adopted system of assessment will be used by the District in accordance with previously submitted Programs of Work.

#### VII. NONDISCRIMINATION:

Each party shall comply fully with applicable federal, state and local laws, ordinances, executive orders and regulations, which prohibit discrimination. These laws include, but are not limited to, Chapter 49.60 RCW, Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Executive Order 11246 issued by the President of the United States and Executive Order 2001-R issued by the King County Executive.

#### VIII. <u>INDEMNIFICATION</u>:

Each party hereto agrees, as authorized by law, to indemnify and hold harmless the other party, its elected officials, employees and agents, for all claims (including demands, suits, penalties, liabilities, damages, costs, expenses or loss of any kind or nature whatsoever arising from or out of this Agreement) to the extent such a claim arises or is caused by the indemnifying party's own negligence or that of its elected officials, employees or agents, in performance of this Agreement. The foregoing indemnity is specifically and expressly intended to constitute a waiver of each party's immunity under Washington's Industrial Insurance act, RCW Title 51, as respects the other party only, and only to the extent necessary to provide the indemnified party with a full and complete indemnity of claims made by the indemnitor's employees. The parties acknowledge that these provisions were specifically negotiated and agreed upon by them.

#### IX. <u>AMENDMENTS</u>:

Amendments to the terms of this Agreement must be agreed to in writing by each party and be approved by the County Council and the District's Board of Supervisors.

## X. <u>ENTIRE CONTRACT-WAIVER OF DEFAULT:</u>

The parties hereto agree that this Agreement is a complete expression of the terms hereto and any oral or written representations or understandings not incorporated herein are excluded. Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver of breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of the Agreement unless stated to be such through written approval of the parties to this Agreement. Each party shall carry out its duties under this Agreement in good faith and in accordance with legal requirements.

ist	IN WITNESS WHEREOF	, the parties hereto have executed th	nis Agreement on the
	day of Occumber	_, 2009.	

King Conservation District

King County

Chair, Board of Supervisors

Approved as to Form:

Approved as to Form:

King County Executive

District Legal Counsel

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

#### Attachment A:

#### King Conservation District Assessment Funding Commitment

For the time period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012; the King Conservation District will appropriate the following District Grant Programs with funding at the following minimum levels, subject to a reasonable administrative charge, as set forth herein.

The District's annual assessment appropriation plan for 2010, and any other relevant Board policies adopted in connection with the 2010 Proposed System of Assessments, filed with King County on July 31, 2009, will be amended to reflect these changes. In addition, the District's annual appropriations budget for 2011 and 2012 that will be submitted to King County shall be consistent with these agreed funding levels. The King Conservation District will provide King County with a copy of its proposed Annual Program of Work and Budget for 2010, 2011, and 2012 on or before August 1 of each year prior to the period of assessment, with funding levels consistent with this Attachment A. The finally adopted Annual Program of Work and Budget for each such year will be provided to King County by no later than December 1 of each year prior to the period of assessment, with funding levels consistent with this Attachment A.

KCD Grant Program	Grant Fund Appropriation
King CD - WRIA 7 Grant Program Snoqualmie Watershed Forum	\$ 600,000.00
King CD - WRIA 8 Grant Program  Lake Washington - Cedar - Sammamish	\$1,200,000.00
King CD - WRIA 9 Grant Program Green-Duwamish-Central Puget Sound	\$1,200,000.00
Member Jurisdiction Grant Program	\$1,200,000.00
Total Annual Fund Appropriations:	\$4,200,000.00

These agreed upon committed funding levels set out in this Attachment A, depend upon consistent levels of funding of the total assessment, and the current composition of member jurisdictions, or significant number of parcels, within the District at the time of this Interlocal Agreement, and are subject to adjustment based on any reductions attributed to the withdrawal of any member jurisdictions.

These agreed upon funding levels do not affect any remaining assessment funds, which shall be spent in a manner consistent with the annual appropriations budgets submitted to King County.

A direct cost and overhead charge shall be charged against the WRIA 7, WRIA 8, WRIA 9, and Member Jurisdiction Grants Programs in a proportional manner, consistent with the formula agreed to by the District and the County, such number shall be recalculated on an annual basis. In 2010, the amount for this direct cost and overhead charge is \$203,260.00.

The District and the County agree that the funding commitments set forth herein will be reviewed and amended, as necessary, in order to address (1) new statutory requirements that the District Board of Supervisors are subject to general election laws under Title 29 RCW which would result in significant election expenses being incurred by the District, or (2) new unfunded mandates or program requirements that are imposed by Federal, State, or local jurisdictions upon the District such that the District is able to demonstrate that the cost of fulfilling such mandates amount to ten percent (10%) or more of the total amount collected by the District for District programs, except for those amounts attributed to the WRIA and Member Jurisdiction Grant Programs.