

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-101 King County, the cities, special purpose districts <del>((of))</del> , and local service providers shall plan as partners. King County's planning <del>((will))</del> shall focus on unclaimed urban unincorporated areas and cities' Potential Annexation Areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy is duplicative to F-102 and could be deleted.</li> <li>If it is retained, it could be clarified to reflect that is in the "Regional Services" section by adding that phrase into the language.</li> </ul>
F-101a King County agencies <del>((will))</del> shall engage communities in a culturally <del>((-))</del> and audience-appropriate manner, including language access. King County agencies <del>((will))</del> shall also engage communities that are most affected by proposed projects.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  Consolidates F-101b  Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-101b King County shall adhere to the Executive Order on Written Language Translation Process and other applicable policies for those with limited English proficiency.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-101a	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-102 King County shall work with cities, special purpose districts, other local service providers, and residents to <del>((identify and distinguish))</del> provide local, countywide, and regional services. <del>((Over time,))</del> As cities <del>((will))</del> assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of local services delivery in urban areas <del>((- In general))</del> , the <del>((e))</del> County <del>((will))</del> shall continue to provide local services delivery within the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, consistent with rural standards and needs. Special purpose districts may still provide services, where appropriate. The <del>((e))</del> County <del>((will))</del> shall also assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of countywide services, including countywide services that must be delivered within city boundaries. The <del>((e))</del> County <del>((will))</del> shall also work with cities, special purpose districts, and other counties to identify regional service and facility needs and develop strategies to provide them.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  Consolidates F-107  Other edits for clarity, current context, and grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council may wish to clarify this policy, F-103, and F-209a to reflect the County's regional, countywide, and local responsibilities. Executive staff suggest using regional instead of countywide.</li> </ul>
F-103 King County <del>((will))</del> shall provide or manage countywide services which include but are not limited to: a. Affordable housing; b. Economic development; c. Flood warning and flood hazard management; d. Harborview Hospital; e. Hazardous waste management; f. Human services; g. Protection and preservation of natural resource lands; h. Public health; i. Regional law and criminal <del>((justice))</del> legal services; j. Regional park, trails and open space systems; k. Regional wastewater collection <del>((and))</del> , treatment, and <del>((reclamation))</del> resource recovery; l. Solid waste management, including recycling; m. Stormwater management; n. Transit; and	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  Other edits for grammar and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In subsection (sub) m., the County provides stormwater management on a local level rather than on a regional/ countywide level. State and federal water requirements place the responsibility for stormwater management on individual cities/counties. Although the County can coordinate on water quality issues, the County does not have the authority to manage stormwater for other jurisdictions. Council may consider removing stormwater management from this policy.</li> <li>In sub g., Natural Resource Land protection and preservation is also a</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
o. Water resource management.						<p>local issue for the County and could be deleted from this policy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council may wish to clean up this policy, F-102, and F-209a to reflect the County's regional, countywide, and local responsibilities. Executive staff suggest using regional instead of countywide. Additionally, some of the subs are not "services," so "and programs" could be added to the policy.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-107 King County will, in cooperation with special purpose districts or local service providers, continue to plan for and provide public services to the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, consistent with rural standards and needs.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-102	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-108 <del>((To support the intent of the Growth Management Act,))</del> King County should work with cities and other service providers to establish priority areas for public funding of capital facilities, services, and infrastructure.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Removed unnecessary language; all of the Comprehensive Plan supports the intent of the Growth Management Act	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-108a King County should address <del>((historic))</del> historical inequities and <del>((disadvantaged))</del> historically underserved communities both in rural and unincorporated urban areas in determining the priority areas for public funding of capital facilities, services, and infrastructure.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Grammar and to reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Councilmembers may wish to strengthen this policy by changing the policy to "shall."</li> </ul>
<del>((F-201 All facilities and services should be provided in compliance with provisions and requirements of the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water Act and the Growth Management Act.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is already a requirement and is not needed as a policy; it also does not capture the wide variety of laws that must be followed.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-202 King County should seek to <del>((create quality communities))</del> support a high quality of life for county residents by <del>((defining))</del> identifying the needs and proposing strategies for a full range of public facilities and services, including physical infrastructure and health, human and public safety services. King County should strive to provide an adequate and equitable supply <del>((and appropriate level))</del> of public facilities necessary to support all communities <u>at appropriate urban and rural service levels.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Councilmembers may wish to strengthen this policy by removing "seek to."</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-202a Results from <del>((the King County E))</del>equity <del>((I))</del>impact <del>((R))</del>reviews <del>((Tool will))</del> shall be used as an important consideration in evaluating funding, capital project, and service delivery decisions, and the County's equity and racial and social justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</p> <p><del>((F-243a Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes, and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</del></p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>To reflect current terminology: "equity impact review" is proposed to be defined in the Comprehensive Plan and provide flexibility for how the current Equity Impact Review Tool might change over time.</p> <p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p> <p>Consolidation of F-221a, F-243a, and F-271b</p> <p>Other edits for grammar and to reflect current terminology.</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy F-202a and F-243a both refer to two similar, but unrelated topics: equity impact review (EIR) and the County's ESJ principles. Equity impact reviews are a process tool for evaluating proposals, whereas the ESJ principles are those that the county use to support a resident's access to services and healthy built environments.</li> <li>The Council may want to consider streamlining this policy to focus on ESJ principles, or to create two policies, one that focuses on how the EIR tool could be used to assess impacts to service changes, and a second one that addresses the County's approach to Equity through the ESJ principles and the determinants of equity.</li> </ul>
<p>F-203 <del>((When service providers are planning and designing facilities,))</del> King County should <del>((encourage them to use sustainable development practices to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions in new buildings by 2030))</del> work with service providers to implement incentives that encourage green building, such as financial and development incentives, and allow more people to access healthier buildings with reduced utility bills.</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>To direct county work supporting community scale adoption of green building standards</p>	<p>Authorizes and supports King County staff to assist at community scale adoption of green building standards</p>	<p>Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG 4.3 and actions GHG 4.3.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed changes imply that the County has some role in allowing people to access healthier buildings and reduced utility. Council could consider changing "allow" to "help" to reflect the County's role more accurately.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-204 King County should work with the cities, special purpose districts and other service providers to define regional and local services and to determine the appropriate providers of those services.</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Duplicative of F-101 and F-102</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-206 Public and private community service providers should be encouraged<del>((, as appropriate,))</del> to share or reuse facilities when appropriate to reduce costs, conserve land and provide convenience, access and amenity for the public and to reduce the generation of greenhouse gasses. Joint siting and shared use of facilities should be encouraged for schools, community centers, health facilities, cultural facilities, libraries, swimming pools, and other social and recreational facilities. Sharing of facilities may include providing meeting space that can be accessed by the community, as appropriate.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>"As appropriate" is covered by the Comprehensive Plan definition of "should"</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-206a King County should make its public facilities and properties available for the following, when such use is compatible with the primary public use of the facility: a. <del>((f))</del> Renewable energy production <del>((when such use is compatible with the primary use of the facility))</del> , with particular emphasis on benefits to King County ratepayers and communities, such as Community Solar programs; and b. Use as a P-patch or community garden.	Substantive change	Sub-a expands considerations for siting renewable energy infrastructure on County-owned facilities/properties to support that the benefits of the energy generation goes back to the tax/rate payers that funded that facility/property, consistent with current planning work at landfill sites  Sub-b consolidates E-207, with restructure for clarity and to remove redundant language present in both policies	Co-beneficial outcomes for both the County and community	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Capital Project and Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Executive proposes to combine policy F-207 because of overlapping language, but the topics – renewable energy production and p-patch gardens – are different use types with different impacts and siting considerations. Council could consider retaining the two separate policies.</li> <li>Executive staff indicate that this policy is not intended to apply to solid waste facilities, but rather to other enterprise-funded facilities. This could be clarified.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-207 King County should make its public facilities or properties available for use as a P-patch or community garden when such use is compatible with the primary public use of the facility.</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-206a	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Executive proposes to combine policy F-207 with F-206a because of overlapping language, but the topics – renewable energy production and p-patch gardens – are different use types with different impacts and siting considerations. Council could consider retaining the two separate policies.</li> </ul>
F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth Area and to maintain existing <del>((unincorporated))</del> infrastructure in the unincorporated area, and should be prioritized through the Capital Facility Plan to comply with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies <del>((should))</del> shall support a rural level of development and support service that meets the needs of the community and not facilitate urbanization.	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" to align with current practice and other related rural service requirements in the Growth Management Act and the Comprehensive Plan	No change; reflects current mandates and practices	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-209a King County <del>((will))</del> shall provide or manage local services for unincorporated areas, which include but are not limited to: a. <del>((Building))</del> Development permits; b. District Court; c. Economic Development; d. Land use regulation; e. Law enforcement; f. Local parks; g. Roads; h. Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands management assistance; and i. Surface water management.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  Sub-a is updated to reflect existing intent (the County must provide for processing of all development permits, not just building permits)	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At sub h., the language from F-103 regarding Natural Resource Land "protection and preservation" could be added here.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-209b King County's local economic development services are provided in Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands through the Rural Economic Strategies Plan and in unincorporated urban</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to Chapter 10 Economic Development	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
areas through joint partnerships with cities, including annexation and governance transition services.					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	
F-210a King County shall engage in ongoing facilities planning to ensure that it has sufficient work space to meet its operational needs on a going-forward basis. Facilities planning shall include an assessment of current facilities and future needs and shall promote equity, economic and operational efficiencies, and environmental sustainability. This facilities planning policy shall be implemented through the Real Property Asset Management Plan( <del>(, which shall be updated at least every four years, but may also be updated, in whole or in part, when proposals with significant impacts on county facilities are made.</del> ) F-210b Consistent with K.C.C. 20.12.100, the Real Property Asset Management Plan shall consist of real property asset management policies, practices and strategies, including planning policies, locations of county agencies and implementation plans, planned moves and references to King County space standards.)) The Real Property Asset Management Plan shall guide facility planning processes, decisions, and implementation.	Clarification of existing policy intent	F-210a and F-210b are combined and streamlined, consistent with existing intent	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As proposed, this consolidated policy is now repetitive. The last sentence could be deleted.</li> </ul>
F-210c King County shall take into account the equity and racial and social justice opportunities for capital investments within a community when siting a facility or changing locations to improve service delivery.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-212 King County's capital facility plans ( <del>should</del> ) shall identify financing strategies to support <del>(its)</del> the land use assumptions in the Comprehensive Plan, including adopted <del>((20-year))</del> growth targets <del>((and land use plan))</del> and allocated housing needs.	Substantive change	Strengthened to a "shall" to reflect Growth Management Act mandates.  Updated to align with the Countywide Planning Policies	Ensures there are sufficient services to support both growth targets and zoned capacity for housing needs	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>F-212 and F-213 address the same policy intent and could be combined.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-205))</del> F-212a King County shall work with its neighboring counties, the state, Puget Sound Regional Council, special purpose districts, ports, and the cities to identify areas of shared need and adequate land supply for public facilities. The <del>((c))</del> County's capital acquisition budget shall reflect the jointly agreed-upon priorities and time schedule.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Relocated and edited for grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-213 King County's capital improvement program shall demonstrate that projected needs for facilities and services can be met within the Urban Growth Area and can be served in compliance with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act <del>((or, if))</del> . If that is not possible, King County shall determine where and when deficits may occur and how needed facilities and services might be phased in and <del>((-))</del> or financed to serve such deficit areas. Alternative phasing and financing strategies must be identified and determined to be infeasible prior to triggering a land use and zoning reassessment under Policy <del>((F-223))</del> F-221.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for clarity, grammar, and policy number changes	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>F-212 and F-213 address the same policy intent and could be combined.</li> </ul>
F-214 School districts that choose to have the <del>((e))</del> County collect impact fees for them, and water and sewer utilities that provide their services to unincorporated King County, shall prepare capital facility plans consistent with requirements of the Growth Management Act, the Countywide Planning Policies and King County Code.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	
F-215 Provision of an adequate supply of kindergarten through twelfth grade public schools and public school facilities is essential to avoid overcrowding and to enhance the educational opportunities for children. King County shall adopt regulations that are supportive of the permitting of kindergarten through <del>((twelfth))</del> 12th grade public schools and facilities in a manner consistent with the goals of the Growth Management Act and as provided in policies R-326 and R-327.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-215a King County should plan to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions associated with new residential and commercial buildings built in King County by <del>((2030))</del> 2031.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with Strategic Climate Action Plan performance measure GHG 18	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy does not relate to County facilities but to development more broadly. It could be moved to Chapter 5 with other development-related GHG policies.</li> </ul>
F-215b King County shall strive to provide services and build and operate public buildings and infrastructure that are <del>((carbon neutral))</del> fossil fuel free and to eliminate fossil fuels in new construction.	Substantive change	To support the County efforts to have fossil fuel free facilities, not just being carbon neutral, in alignment with the Strategic Climate Action Plan	Improved progression towards fossil fuel free facilities goal	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions 3.17.1 and 3.16.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: Yes</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The change from "carbon neutral" to "fossil fuel free" is a policy choice.</li> </ul>
F-216 King County capital facilities and <del>((e))</del> County-funded projects should be designed and constructed using sustainable development practices, with consideration for long-term environmental and economic sustainability.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-217 All eligible King County new capital projects shall <del>((plan for and should))</del> achieve Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Platinum certification level using the LEED rating system or the Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard, or achieve the highest certification level using an approved alternative rating system <del>((, and apply minimum performance standards when the incremental cost impacts do not exceed the maximums allowed by King County code))</del> .	Substantive change	To align with current requirements	No change; reflects existing mandates and practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Green Building focus area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Regulatory and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: Existing code in K.C.C. 18.17.050</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Green Building Ordinance (<a href="#">Ordinance 19402</a>) only requires "certification through an applicable alternative green building rating system," not <i>highest</i> certification level. As this policy would change to a "shall" policy, the green building code would need to be updated to align with this change. Alternatively, the policy could be amended to match the existing code. Executive staff note that the code was updated more recently, so the policy could be changed to match the code.</li> </ul>
F-217a All eligible King County major remodels and renovations shall <del>((plan for and should))</del> achieve LEED Gold certification level using the LEED rating system or the Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard, or achieve a similar certification level using an approved alternative rating system <del>((, and apply minimum performance standards when the incremental cost impacts do not exceed the maximums allowed by King County code))</del> .	Substantive change	To align with current requirements	No change; reflects existing mandates and practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Green Building focus area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Regulatory and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: Existing code in K.C.C. 18.17.050</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As with the policy above, only "certification" is required, not "similar certification level." Same policy options as above apply. Executive staff note that the code was updated more recently, so the policy could be changed to match the code.</li> </ul>
F-217b All King County owned new construction capital projects should achieve net zero greenhouse emissions by 2030.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy is duplicative of F-215a and Council could consider removing it.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-217c All King County capital programs (<del>(will)</del>) shall evaluate their project portfolio for opportunities to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions through programs such as the Living Building challenge, Living Communities Challenge, Net Zero Energy, Envision, or EcoDistrict.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p> <p>Other edits for grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive staff state the F-217c policy refers to opportunities to specifically achieve "net-zero greenhouse gas emissions". Not all the programs listed in Ord 19402 achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions. This language could be clarified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-217d King County should build and operate public buildings and infrastructure that result in regenerative and net positive benefits related to energy, water, greenhouse gas emissions, and other resources and, for private development, guide development practices to achieve these same benefits.</p>	<p>Policy staff flag</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language related to private development could be removed, as this chapter is not about private development.</li> </ul>
<p>F-217e King County (<del>(will)</del>) shall increase water efficiency and conservation, and reduce purchased water consumption through appropriate and economically feasible reuse of wastewater effluent, recycled water, stormwater, and harvested rainwater.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p> <p>Other edits for grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy does not relate directly to County facilities. It could be updated to state "in its facilities" in order to clarify.</li> <li>Council passed <u>Motion 16434</u> in 2023 asking the Executive to respond concerns about contaminants wastewater effluent identified in a county report. "Consistent with public safety needs" at the end of the policy to address this issue.</li> </ul>
<p>F-221 (<del>(King County shall consider the initiation of a subarea study, or other corrective action, with any))</del> If a service provider (<del>(that)</del>) declares, through their capital facilities plan, an inability to accommodate projected service needs inside their service area, King County and the service provider shall remedy the deficiency through a joint planning process addressing capital improvement programs, long-term funding strategies, or other appropriate corrective actions. If those actions cannot resolve the deficiency, King County shall not allow for expansion of the service provider's service area and shall consider regulations to mitigate the effect of the deficiency.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>"Subarea studies" is a term that has inconsistent definitions and usage throughout the Comp Plan and code. Upon review of the references to subarea studies, it was determined that the "subarea study" requirements could either be met via an area zoning and land use study and/or a subarea plan (depending on the case) in current practice or were not applicable in the instance it was being referenced. Subarea study references are replaced by area zoning and land use studies and/or subarea plans, or removed, to reflect existing intent. The subarea study definition will be removed, as it is no longer necessary. In this case, this is not a land use study. This is about efforts to resolve emergent service delivery issues. So, a general study that would be defined on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the issue and</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
		<p>the associated service provider is more appropriate. The existing policy language was also vague regarding what the study was for; so that is also clarified here.</p> <p>Consolation of F-223</p> <p>Other clarifying edits to reflect existing intent</p>				
<p><del>((F-221a Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration in evaluation funding and service delivery decisions when needed to address service deficiencies.))</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Redundant to F-202a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-222 King County and <del>((its))</del> the cities in King County should coordinate planning for health and human service facilities and services. County investments in health and human service facilities should be targeted primarily to the designated Urban Centers and secondarily to other locations in the Urban Growth Area and Rural Towns.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>"Its" inaccurately implies ownership of/jurisdiction over the cities by the County</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified. Executive staff state that F-222 is consistent with other MPP, CPP, and KCCP policies requiring services to be focused in the UGA and Rural Towns, which all facility planning (not just Crisis Care Center facilities) must be consistent with even if not explicitly stated in individual planning documents.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-223 If a service deficiency is identified in a service provider's existing service area, King County and the applicable service provider shall remedy the deficiency through a joint planning process addressing capital improvement programs and long-term funding strategies. If financing and level of service remedies cannot solve the deficiency, King County shall not allow for expansion of the service provider's service area and shall consider regulations to mitigate the effect of the deficiency.))</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Consolidated in F-221</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>Policy F-224</p>						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy is discussed in the Four-to-One Program policy matrix.</li> </ul>
<p>F-225a King County should <del>((consider))</del> <u>identify, strengthen, and support equitable discount or low-rate service fees ((for)) to reduce cost burden on households with low((-)) incomes ((households)).</u></p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>Strengthened to support equity goals, consistent with current practice (such as ORCA fares, solid waste fees, sewer extensions, solar/heat pumps)</p>	<p>Reduced barriers for residents with low incomes</p>	<p>Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action SRFC 7.1.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The policy is strengthened by removing "consider." This is a policy choice.</li> <li>It's not clear how the County would identify a discount fee if the County sets the fee. This could be clarified by removing "identify."</li> </ul>
<p>F-227 King County and neighboring counties<del>((, if advantageous to both,))</del> should share essential public facilities to increase efficiency of operation<del>((, Efficiency of operation should take into account)),</del> including consideration of the overall value of the essential public facility to the region and the county and <del>((the extent to which, if properly mitigated,))</del> whether expansion of an existing essential public facility <del>((located in the county))</del> might be more economical and environmentally sound.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Edits for clarity</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-228 King County should strive to site essential public facilities equitably so that no racial, cultural, or socio-economic group is <del>((unduly))</del> disproportionately impacted by or benefits from essential public facility siting or expansion decisions. No single community should absorb an inequitable share of these facilities and their impacts. An assessment of existing facilities should be conducted when siting new facilities. Siting <del>((will))</del> shall consider equity<del>((c-))</del>; environmental justice; and environmental, economic, technical, and service area factors. Communities with a disproportionate share of existing facilities should be actively engaged in the planning and siting process for new facilities. The net impact of siting new essential public facilities should be weighed against the net impact of expansion of existing essential public facilities, with appropriate buffering and mitigation. Essential public facilities that directly serve the public beyond their general vicinity shall be discouraged from locating in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>Updated to align with Countywide Planning Policies</p> <p>Other edits for clarity and grammar; "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.</p>	<p>Reduction in negative impacts and improved outcomes for priority populations resulting from essential public facilities</p>	<p>Countywide Planning Policy PF-24</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy covers three different ideas. It could be separated out into individual policy intent: avoiding disproportionate impacts; siting new facilities versus expanding existing facilities; and discouraging locating outside the urban area.</li> </ul>
<p>F-230 Siting analysis for proposed new or expansions to existing essential public facilities shall consist of the following:</p> <p>a. An inventory of similar existing essential public facilities in King County and neighboring counties, including their locations and capacities;</p> <p>b. A forecast of the future needs for the essential public facility;</p> <p>c. An analysis of the potential social, equity, health, and economic impacts and benefits and burdens to <del>((jurisdictions and local))</del> communities receiving or surrounding the facilities;</p> <p>d. An analysis of the proposal's consistency with policies F-226 through F-229;</p> <p>e. An analysis of alternatives to the facility, including decentralization, conservation, demand management, and other strategies;</p> <p>f. An analysis of economic and environmental impacts, including mitigation, of any existing essential public facility, as well as of any new site(s) under consideration as an alternative to expansion of an existing facility;</p> <p>g. An analysis of potential climate change impacts on the essential public facility, including consideration of sea level rise, and options for reducing climate change impacts on the facility, including locating the facility outside of the mapped 500-year floodplain along the marine shoreline (unless water-dependent, such as wastewater treatment facilities and associated conveyance infrastructure);</p> <p>h. Extensive public involvement which strives to effectively engage a wide range of racial, ethnic, cultural, and socio-economic group, including communities that are the most impacted;</p> <p><del>((h-))</del> i. Consideration of any applicable prior review conducted by a public agency, local government, or <del>((stakeholder group))</del> interested parties; and</p> <p><del>((i-))</del> j. To the extent allowable under the Growth Management Act, the locational criteria in policies R-326 and R-327.</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>Updated to align with Countywide Planning Policies</p> <p>Other edits for clarity and current terminology</p>	<p>More equitable and healthy outcomes for impacted communities and improved essential public facility climate change resiliency</p>	<p>Countywide Planning Policies PF-24, PF-25, and EN-31</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: 2025</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The beginning of the policy could have language added directing the siting analysis.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p><u>F-230a For existing essential public facilities, King County should considerer potential impacts from climate change and identify and implement actions to improve resiliency and mitigate for impacts, including consideration of potential long-term relocation of facilities that are in the mapped 500-year floodplain along the marine shoreline (unless water-dependent, such as wastewater treatment facilities and associated conveyance infrastructure).</u></p>	New policy	Updated to align with Countywide Planning Policies	Improved essential public facility climate change resiliency	Countywide Planning Policy EN-31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-232 Water utilities that obtain water from, or distribute water in unincorporated King County, and water utilities formed as special purpose districts under Title 57 Revised Code of Washington are required to submit water system plans to the ((e))County for review and approval and shall describe in their plans how they intend to meet their duty to provide service within their retail service areas, and generally how they plan to meet water service needs in their future service.</p>	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-234 In the Urban Growth Area, individual private wells are not permitted unless application of Policy F-233 to a proposal for a single((-family residence)) detached home on an individual lot would deny all reasonable use of the property. In that case, the well would be allowed only as an interim facility until service by a public water system can be provided. The individual well must meet the criteria of the King County Board of Health Code Title 13.</p>	Technical change	Current terminology and correction	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-235 ((In the Urban Growth Area, i))If an existing Group A water provider cannot provide direct or indirect service to new development under Policy F-233, a new public water system may be established if it is owned or operated by the following, in order of preference:</p> <p>a. By a satellite management agency approved by the State Department of Health under contract with the Group A system in whose service area the system is located, provided that the existing Group A water system remains responsible for meeting the duty to serve the new system under Revised Code of Washington 43.20.260; ((e))</p> <p>b. ((By a satellite management agency)) If approved by both the State Department of Health and King County:</p> <p>i. In the urban area, by a satellite management agency; or</p> <p>ii. In the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, by a satellite management agency or an existing Group B system; then</p> <p>c. In the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, by the owners of the lots, which are provided water by a new Group A or B system if not within the service area of an existing Group A system or not within the area covered by a satellite management agency. Approval for any such system shall be conditioned for future ownership or management by a satellite management agency, when such service becomes available, and for periodic review of system operations, as required by Revised Code of Washington 70.119A.060.</p> <p>All new public water systems ((formed in the Urban Growth Area)) shall connect to the Group A water system in whose service area the new system is located when direct service becomes available. Such a connection shall be made by the homeowner or association in a timely and reasonable manner.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates F-236 and F-237	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Executive is proposing to consolidate policies F-236, F-237 with this policy. In doing so, they now group urban and rural policies together. There are no substantive policy changes made. Council may wish to retain the existing urban/rural grouping.</li> <li>The last paragraph beginning with "All new public water systems" could be its own policy.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-236 (In the Rural Area, King County land use and water service decisions support the long-term integrity of Rural Area ecosystems. Within the Rural Area, individual private wells, rainwater catchment, Group B water systems, and Group A water systems are all allowed. If an existing Group A water provider cannot provide direct or indirect service to new development per the exceptions in Policy F-233, a new public water system or private well may be established if it is owned or operated by the following, in order of preference:</p> <p>a. By a satellite management agency approved by the state Department of Health under contract with the Group A system in whose service area the system is located, provided that the existing Group A water system remains responsible for meeting the duty to serve the new system under Revised Code of Washington 43.20.260; and</p> <p>b. By a satellite management agency or an existing Group B system approved by both the State Department of Health and King County.</p> <p>If service cannot be obtained by means of the above stated options, then water service may be obtained by creation of a new system, use of private wells or rainwater catchment. All new public water systems formed in the Rural Area shall connect to the Group A water system in whose service area the new system is located when direct service becomes available.) In the Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands, if water service cannot be obtained by the options provided in F-235, then use of private wells or rainwater catchment may be allowed.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Consolidated in F-235, with retention of existing well and rainwater catchment allowance if F-235 cannot be met</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Executive is proposing to consolidate policies F-236, F-237 with this policy. In doing so, they now group urban and rural policies together. There are no substantive policy changes made. Council may wish to retain the existing urban/rural grouping and streamline the language for clarity. Policies F-236 and F-237, both rural-focused, could be combined.</li> </ul>
<p>(F-237 New public water systems established in the Rural Area shall be owned and operated by the following, in order of preference:</p> <p>a. By the Group A public water system in whose service area the system is located, by direct service or satellite management by the Group A system;</p> <p>b. By a satellite management agency approved by the State Department of Health and providing service within the county and under contract with the Group A system if it is located in a Group A system service area; or</p> <p>c. By the owners of the lots, which are provided water by a new Group A or B system if not within the service area of an existing Group A system or not within the area covered by a satellite management agency. Approval for any such system shall be conditioned for future ownership or management by a satellite management agency, when such service becomes available, and for periodic review of system operations, as required by Revised Code of Washington 70.119A.060(2).)</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Consolidated in F-235</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Executive is proposing to consolidate policies F-236, F-237 with this policy. In doing so, they now group urban and rural policies together. There are no substantive policy changes made. Council may wish to retain the existing urban/rural grouping and streamline the language for clarity. Policies F-236 and F-237, both rural-focused, could be combined.</li> </ul>
<p>F-238 New subdivisions with more than six single((-family)) detached residential lots on Vashon-Maury Island and in basins with closed streams in the Rural Area (as defined in Chapters 173-507, 173-508, 173-509, 173-510, and 173-515 Washington Administrative Code ((173-507, 508, 509, 510, and 515))) may not be served by a potable water system using an exempt well, or a combination of multiple exempt wells. Exempt wells are allowed only in the Rural Area and only under the following circumstances:</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Edits for clarity and grammar; renumbered sub-a is a permissive allowance, not a guaranteed permit approval</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>a. <del>((New subdivisions or short subdivisions with six or fewer lots;</del>            b.)) Except as otherwise provided in subsection <del>((e.))</del> b. of this policy only one exempt well per subdivision or short subdivision <del>((will))</del> may be permitted unless more than one exempt well is needed to meet the water flow requirements for the subdivision or short subdivision;  <del>((e.))</del> b. Individual private wells may be used in a subdivision or short subdivision when all lots in the subdivision or short subdivision are twenty acres in area or larger; and  <del>((d.))</del> c. New developments in the Rural Area served by one or more exempt wells shall not exceed one-half acre of irrigation <u>of land area.</u></p>						
<p>F-239 King County shall work with water service providers, the State Department of Ecology and the State Department of Health to <del>((track and measure))</del> ensure groundwater use and to meet the County's obligation to protect groundwater quality and quantity in Rural Areas, while supporting uses of groundwater that meet public health, resource protection, land use planning, and fish recovery objectives and obligations.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>To align with current practice; there is not a practical/literal tracking and measuring of this, but the County does ensure protection through various action (in review of water system plans; permit review for compliance with water service protocols, stormwater management, flood hazard requirements, protection of important habitat; Public Health services for on-site septic systems and older group B water systems; participation in the Watershed Restoration and Enhancement Committee process; salmon recovery planning; etc).</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-240 King County shall require any new or expanding Group B water system to have a totalizing source meter and make information from the meter available upon request of King County.))</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Not a County role; the State regulates this (WAC 246-291-200)</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-241 King County shall encourage the adoption of state or local laws and codes to limit the construction of new exempt wells, <u>as well as encourage abandoning existing exempt wells,</u> within <del>((existing))</del> water utility service areas and promote the safe and timely decommissioning of wells no longer in service.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Emphasizes that existing exempt wells should also be abandoned when a home connects to a water system, to support the "safe and timely" decommissioning element later in the policy, consistent with existing intent; policy has been used previously in a Timely and Reasonable Service appeal to require the decommission of an existing well that would be abandoned.</p> <p>"Existing" is unnecessary to state.</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>King County has jurisdiction over local laws and codes. This policy, as written, would be implemented through our lobbying efforts at the state level.</li> <li>To implement this policy at the local level, the language could be changed to state that the County will require these things and adopt implementing code language to achieve it.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-231) F-241a King County supports coordination of regional water supply planning, sales of excess water supplies among municipalities in the region, water quality programs, and water conservation, reuse, and recycled water programs. This regional planning should support King County's goals of focusing growth in the Urban Growth Area and ensuring water availability for resource lands.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Relocation of F-231</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The first sentence is missing direction. "Should" could be added for consistency with other policies in the chapter.</li> </ul>
<p>F-242 King County <u>should</u> support((s)) initiation of a water planning process for the development of a regional or subregional water plan. <del>((The planning process should at a minimum cover all of King County, but may include a broader geographic area.))</del> The County <del>((will))</del> shall work in concert with water utilities, state agencies, Indian tribes, and other<del>((s that participate))</del> key partners. <del>((Key components of this planning process should include: a. Involvement, oversight and support of elected officials in the region; b. Meaningful public participation including the involvement of the state and federally recognized tribes; and c. Recognition of, and making appropriate linkages with, other state, regional, or local planning processes.))</del></p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>Reorient to policy direction rather than a factual statement</p> <p>Updated to reflect current context and County role: policy makes more sense as it relates to water utility planning; Coordinated Water System Plans (CWSPs) typically have a section addressing regional planning opportunities, and CWSPs fall under the purview of the chair of the Utilities Technical Review Committee. Given this, policy is reoriented to support the update of the CWSPs, which are approximately 30 years old</p>	<p>Improved water system planning</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-243 King County recognizes that a regional water planning process will be a collaborative process. King County's objectives for the process and a resulting plan are that it:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Be consistent with, and support, growth management objectives and decisions made by local and regional jurisdictions under the Growth Management Act;</li> <li>Address the need for sufficient flows to achieve salmon recovery objectives of the approved regional recovery plan for species listed under the Endangered Species Act, and recognize <u>Indian</u> tribal water rights;</li> <li>Be consistent with and support the approved water quality and quantity strategies adopted by the region, local governments, and other responsible entities (such as water utilities) in compliance with federal requirements under the Clean Water Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, and other authorities relevant to water quantity and quality;</li> <li>Include provisions for the efficient use of water, including recycled water;</li> <li>Consider the impacts of climate change on water demand and supply;</li> <li>Address the water needs of other specific sectors of the local economy, including agriculture and other industries with significant water uses;</li> <li>Include, to the extent possible, assigned accountability for implementing conservation and developing new supplies and related infrastructure; and</li> <li>Identify, and develop a strategy for, any legislative changes necessary or desirable to implement the plan.</li> </ol>	<p>Technical change</p>	<p>Current terminology</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy retains "will" in the first sentence. Council may wish to change this to "should" for consistency.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p><del>((F-243a ——— Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes, and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Consolidated in F-202a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Executive proposes to consolidate language from this policy related to equity and racial and social justice and the determinants of equity from F-202a about consideration of funding for capital projects and service delivery options. Council may wish to retain separate policies with the proposed change to "shall" from "will."</li> </ul>
<p><del>F-244 — King County shall participate in the development of a regional water supply plan or plans addressing potable water supply service by multiple water purveyors to ensure that uses of recycled water intended to augment or replace potable water supplies will be considered in the development of any such plans, and for such other purposes as are authorized in the underlying authority for such a plan. King County's participation in the development of such plans shall be carried out in accordance with Revised Code of Washington 90.46.120, and pursuant to processes provided in the underlying planning authority.</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Redundant to F-242, F-243, RCW 90.46.120, and K.C.C. 13.24.010 and 13.24.060</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>F-245 — Prior to initiation of any process to develop a regional water plan as described in Policy F-243, King County shall work with utilities to conduct a joint assessment of the state of water planning and coordination in the region. Such an assessment should identify where current planning and coordination efforts by and among water utilities address County interests and where there are gaps. The assessment should be used to guide any efforts related to development of a regional water plan.</del></p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>It's not necessary to conduct a joint assessment prior to the initiation of a regional plan; it could be completed as part of the plan development process</p>	<p>Streamlined planning process</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a policy choice to remove this policy. The Executive's stated intent is that the joint assessment could be completed as part of a plan development process. The Council may wish to add language supporting coordination to F-243.</li> </ul>
<p><del>F-246 — King County supports interties that allow the transfer of water resources among water utilities to meet the projected demands for growth where such interties meet the requirements of Revised Code of Washington 90.03.383 and are also consistent with any applicable locally adopted comprehensive plans, regional water supply plans, adopted groundwater management plans, watershed plans, approved Coordinated Water System Plans, Endangered Species Act response requirements and Clean Water Act requirements.</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Policies for interties are unnecessary. Water purveyors construct interties with neighboring systems for emergency and wholesale purchase, depending on the circumstance. WAC 246-290-100 requires water system plans (WSPs) to address the system's water system supply, including a reliability analysis. The WSP is also required to address how the system would respond to a water shortage; this is where a WSP would reference agreements/interties with neighboring purveyors.</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>F-247 — King County supports the development of appropriate regional water intertie capital projects, subject to approval from appropriate local, state, and federal agencies and consistent with Policy F-246.</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Policies for interties are unnecessary. Water purveyors construct interties with neighboring systems for emergency and wholesale purchase, depending on the circumstance. WAC 246-290-100 requires water system plans</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
		(WSPs) to address the system's water system supply, including a reliability analysis. The WSP is also required to address how the system would respond to a water shortage; this is where a WSP would reference agreements/interties with neighboring purveyors.				
<p><del>F-249 ((Utilities with more than one thousand service connections required to submit water system plans for approval to King County shall include an evaluation of recycled water use opportunities by completing King County's Water Reclamation Evaluation Checklist.))</del>  <u>Water system plans for large purveyors shall include an evaluation of recycled water use opportunities.</u></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent and current practice</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>F-250 King County shall ((encourage)) allow local developers with new projects in unincorporated King County to ((explore the possibility of using)) use recycled water for nonpotable purposes when ((a plan for)) recycled water ((has been approved for the area)) is available for use.</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Updated to align with current regulations; K.C.C. 21A.16.330 allows, but does not require, the use of alternative water sources (e.g. recycled water).</p> <p>Other edits for clarity</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy is weakened by changing "encourage" to "allow." This is a policy choice.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-251 In its review of water system plans, the Utilities Technical Review Committee shall consider the criteria provided in King County Code 13.24.010, 13.24.060, and 13.24.070, and determine the plan's consistency with the following:</del></p> <p><del>a. Applicable provisions of the King County Comprehensive Plan, land use plans, and development regulations adopted under the Growth Management Act;</del></p> <p><del>b. Approved or adopted regional water resource plans, such as basin plans, groundwater plans, watershed-based conservation and recovery plans developed under Endangered Species Act, salmon recovery plans developed under chapter 77.85 Revised Code of Washington, water resource plans developed under chapter 90.54 Revised Code of Washington, watershed plans developed under chapter 90.82 Revised Code of Washington, and a regional water supply plan or water resource management plan;</del></p> <p><del>c. The county's Regional Wastewater Services Plan; and</del></p> <p><del>d. Other applicable provisions of countywide plans managed by King County, as specified in Utilities Technical Review Committee guidance or checklists.</del></p> <p><del>The Utilities Technical Review Committee shall work with state agencies, water utilities, and other parties to develop any necessary rules, policies or checklists to provide clear information and guidance as to the county's expectations for its reviews. For each plan submitted to the county for review, the Utilities Technical Review Committee should have the goal of providing an initial response and comments to the water utility within the same timeframes as the state Department of Health under Revised Code of Washington 43.20.250.))</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>These are code requirements and do not need to be policy; see K.C.C. Chapter 13.24</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The water system plan review considerations are included in KCC Title 13. The guidance regarding working with state agencies, utilities, and other parties, as well as the timeline for review, is not included in Title 13. Council may wish to retain this broader language in the Comprehensive Plan guiding the Utilities Technical Review Committee (UTRC) review of plans.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-252 In reviewing proposals for modified and expanded service area boundaries for municipal water suppliers, <u>as defined under Chapter 90.03 Revised Code of Washington</u>, the Utilities Technical Review Committee shall consider <del>(, in addition to Policy F-254):</del></p> <p>a. Compliance by the water system with its water system comprehensive plan, including water conservation elements;</p> <p>b. Whether it can meet its duty to provide service within its service area, as required under <del>((e))</del>Chapter 43.20 Revised Code of Washington; and</p> <p>c. Consistency with the service provisions of any applicable Coordinated Water System Plan, as adopted in King County Code Chapter 13.28.</p> <p>The <del>((e))</del>County shall not approve a water system plan with a proposed retail service area where the water system is unable to provide timely and reasonable service for one or more of the reasons identified in Revised Code of Washington 43.20.260. King County accepts and encourages timely and reasonable service by a water utility within its service area through the provision of satellite or remote ownership or management of facilities that are not physically connected with the water utility's other facilities. This does not preclude a modified or expanded service area boundary for the water system <del>((in order))</del> to correct problems and provide reliable potable water service to existing water users within the proposed modified service area. The Utilities Technical Review Committee is responsible for making determinations of timely and reasonable service, as provided for under <u>Chapter 70A.100 Revised Code of Washington ((70.146,))</u> and <del>((K.C.C.))</del> <u>King County Code Chapters 13.24 and 13.28.</u></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Edits for clarity, consistent with existing intent, and grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The second part of the policy, after the subs, could be made into a new policy.</li> </ul>
<p>F-253 <del>((Consistent with Countywide Planning Policies, p))</del>Public drinking water system surface water reservoirs and their watersheds should:</p> <p>a. <del>((b))</del>Be managed primarily for the protection of drinking water <del>((, but should))</del>;</p> <p>b. <del>((a))</del>Allow for multiple uses, including recreation, when such uses do not jeopardize drinking water quality standards <del>((-P))</del> and public watersheds <del>((must be))</del> are managed to protect downstream fish and agriculture resources.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Edits for clarity, current context, and grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-254 Groundwater-based public water supplies should be protected by preventing land uses that may adversely affect groundwater quality or quantity to the extent that the supply might be jeopardized. The <del>((e))</del>County shall protect the quality and quantity of groundwater used as water supplies through implementation of Policies E-493 through E-497 where applicable.</p>	<p>Technical change</p>	<p>Grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The policy could be strengthened by changing the "should" to "shall" in the first sentence.</li> </ul>
<p>F-255 In the Urban Growth Area, all new development shall be served by public sewers unless:</p> <p>a. Application of this policy to a proposal for a single- family residence on an individual lot would deny all reasonable use of the property; or</p> <p>b. Sewer service is not available for a proposed short subdivision of urban property in a timely or reasonable manner as determined by the Utilities Technical Review Committee. These</p>	<p>Technical change</p>	<p>Grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>on-site systems shall be managed by one of the following entities, in order of preference:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The sewer utility whose service area encompasses the proposed short subdivision; <del>((or))</del></li> <li>2. The provider most likely to serve the area; or<del>((;))</del></li> <li>3. An Onsite Sewage System Maintainer certified by the Public Health – Seattle &amp; King County.</li> </ol> <p>The onsite system shall meet all state and county approval requirements. The approved short subdivision shall indicate how additional lots to satisfy the minimum density requirements of the zoning will be located on the subject property in case sewers become available in the future. There shall be no further subdivision of lots created under this policy unless served by public sewers.</p>						
<p>F-257 City-owned parks that are redesignated from Rural to Urban on or after September 20, 2004, to allow future annexation by a city and that are subsequently served by public sewers shall be tightlined. <del>((This policy applies to parks that were redesignated from Rural to Urban on or after September 20, 2004.))</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Edits for clarity and streamlining</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-258 The existing public sewer system in the Rural Towns of Vashon and Snoqualmie Pass shall not be expanded to serve land beyond the boundaries of the town, except as provided in Policy <del>((F-264))</del> F-262a and as consistent with Title 57 Revised Code of Washington. <u>Subject to F-262, ((O))</u> onsite systems, community on-site systems or decentralized treatment systems may be used as appropriate for planned growth in the Rural Towns of Fall City <del>((and Snoqualmie Pass)).</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Reflects that Snoqualmie Pass currently has sewer service, and renumbering of other polices</p> <p>Clarifies that: F-262 further modifies this policy, consistent with existing intent</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-259 Sewer facilities such as pump stations, force mains, and trunk lines that do not provide connections to the Rural Area may be located in the Rural Area only when they are identified in a King County-approved comprehensive sewage system plan and upon a finding by King County that it is technically necessary <del>((in providing))</del> to provide service to the Urban Growth Area.</p>	<p>Technical change</p>	<p>Grammar</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-282a))</del> F-261a King County should work with landowners, other jurisdictions, the state Department of Health, sewer districts, and the Puget Sound Partnership to develop effective strategies and additional resources for working with landowners to provide technical assistance and requested support regarding managing onsite septic systems, and proactively addressing failing septic systems in <del>((environmentally))</del> sensitive areas.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>To match terminology in the Board of Health Code</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-262 <del>Developments using a ((Collective)) community on-site sewage system((s)) or large on-site sewage system may be ((used)) permitted only in the following circumstances in the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands:</del></p> <p>a. Existing on-site systems are failing within an area and <del>((the Seattle/King County Department of))</del> Public Health – Seattle &amp; King County concurs that long-term individual on-site sewage system repairs are not feasible or water quality is threatened by the presence of or potential for health hazards resulting from inadequate on-site wastewater disposal methods;</p> <p>b. An authorized public agency will manage the <del>((community))</del> system; <del>((and))</del></p> <p>c. The <del>((community))</del> system is designed only to serve existing structures and lots and cannot be used as a basis to <del>((increase))</del> exceed base density for the zone or applicable special district overlay(s) or p-suffix(es) <del>((or to expand permitted nonresidential uses))</del>. Substandard vacant lots must be combined to the extent feasible to meet rural density policies<del>((Management of the community system must be by an authorized public agency.))</del> and regulations;</p> <p>d. <del>A system serving residentially developed lots cannot be used to: expand existing permitted nonresidential uses in size or scale; establish new permitted nonresidential uses; or serve commercially zoned properties; and</del></p> <p>e. <del>For a system serving commercially developed lots: the system is used only to serve commercially zoned properties; property-specific development conditions are imposed that establish a range of allowed uses that can be adequately served by the system at the time of its construction; and the allowed uses are not more expansive than those allowed in the underlying zone.</del></p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>Edits to: reflect current types of collective on-site sewage systems; align with current County regulatory roles for said systems; and improve clarity and implementability</p>	<p>Ensures appropriate levels of development consistent with rural character</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: Commensurate changes in K.C.C. Chapter 21A.44</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This existing policy language limits the construction of new large/community on-site septic systems to areas where individual septic systems are failing and would require they serve existing structures and lots. New construction would not have the option of building a shared system and would have to rely on individual systems. This is a policy choice.</li> <li>The wording of the existing policies implies it's about residential development. Sub e. specifically calls out commercially developed lots. For clarity, Council may wish to include "development intensity" in sub. c. along with density to address commercial development.</li> <li>Corresponding regulations are in the zoning code and in SVNE Map Amendment 2. If Council make changes here, the same changes could be made there for consistency.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-264)) F-262a</del> Except as otherwise provided for in this policy, public sewer service shall be prohibited in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands.</p> <p>a. Public sewer service may be expanded to the Rural Area or to Natural Resource Lands, only:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where needed to address specific health and safety problems threatening the use of existing structures and the use of septic or other onsite wastewater systems has been determined by King County to be not feasible; or</li> <li>To serve a new school authorized to be located in the Rural Area by R-327.</li> </ol> <p>b. Public sewers may be extended, pursuant to this policy, only if they are tightlined and only after a finding is made by King County that no reasonable alternative technologies are feasible.</p> <p><del>((c.))</del> Public sewers that are allowed in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands pursuant to this policy shall not be used to convert Rural Area land or Natural Resource Lands to urban uses and densities or to expand permitted nonresidential uses.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Relocated and restructured for clarity</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-265 Regional solid waste planning should integrate the principles of environmental stewardship, <u>circular economy, equity and racial and social justice</u> , and sustainable development into all aspects of solid waste management.	Substantive change	To reflect that a circular economy is at the core of this work; rather than the take-make-waste linear economy, a circular economy minimizing waste, keeps materials in use as long as possible and regenerates natural systems  To align with equity goals of Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan	Reductions in waste and improved outcomes for priority populations	Re+ Strategic Plan  Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Policy ES-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified. Changes proposed are consistent with Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan.</li> </ul>
F-266 Solid waste should be collected, handled, processed, and disposed of in ways that reduce waste, conserve resources, and protect public health and the environment.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-267 King County should achieve <del>((Z))zero ((W))waste of ((R))resources</del> by 2030 <u>and a 85 percent construction and demolition diversion rate by 2025</u> by targeting areas of the waste stream that have the greatest potential for <del>((diversion)) prevention and reuse, product stewardship, recycling and composting, beneficial use, and recovery while regenerating natural systems.</del>	Substantive change	To align with the Strategic Climate Action Plan and better reflect the waste hierarchy in alignment with the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan	No effect; reflects current planning and practice	Strategic Climate Action Plan Performance Measure GHG 20  Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Sustainable Materials Management Goal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified. Proposed changes are consistent with SCAP and Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan. Executive staff indicate that the Construction &amp; Demolition target is on track to be met, with a diversion rate of 80% in 2022.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-268 Solid waste management should be planned, and transfer and disposal capacity provided, on a regional basis.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-269	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-269 King County shall <u>plan for and operate a regional transfer system</u> that is dispersed throughout the county to ensure access to safe, reliable, efficient, and affordable solid waste services, and improves recycling opportunities for residents and businesses. King County should continue to provide facilities for self-haulers.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidates F-268	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-269a King County should <del>((consider demand management))</del> <u>implement strategies that maximize the safety and efficiency of the transfer system and encourage use of, and equitable participation in, solid waste curbside collection services.</u>	Substantive change	Demand management is no longer current planning reflected in solid waste Interlocal Agreements; aligning with recommendations in the Blue Ridge Services' " Process Improvement for the Solid Waste Industry" Report (which discusses increasing safety, diversion of recyclables, and efficiencies), it makes sense to reorient the policy to better reflect current planning and practice.  Also updated to reflect work the County is developing to implement a low-income discount program.	Ensures safety and equity are maximized in delivery	Re+ strategic plan  K.C.C. Title 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is unclear from the text what "equitable participation" means, as it could point to increasing access to curbside services for low-income households or to encourage greater curbside service participation countywide in areas with relatively lower participation. Executive staff indicate that the intent is to implement a low-income discount program and be more intentional about seeking/including input from frontline communities. This could be clarified.</li> </ul>
F-269b <del>((In order to support achieving a 70% recycling goals))</del> <u>To minimize the disposal of useful materials, King County should work with partners and jurisdictions to encourage implementation of frequency and separation policies for curbside collection of garbage, recyclables, and organics throughout the county, including in unincorporated areas.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Moving away from the 70% recycling rate goal, as that is confusing with the zero waste context that 70% of what gets thrown away is recyclable. These are two different things, and it better to use more plain language, consistent with existing practice.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-269c <u>King County shall collaborate with cities to achieve a circular economy and preserve landfill space by implementing policies and hauler contract amendments that harmonize recycling and compost standards countywide, such as through the Re+ Program.</u>	New policy	To reflect that maximizing use of current landfills is the most cost effective and environmentally friendly to dispose of waste and the next long-term option will be more expensive.  To support collaboration with cities, as common recycling and composting standards across jurisdictions reduces confusion of residents and business on what is actually recyclable, which will reduce contamination in these streams and increase value of the recyclable commodity.	Advances circular economy goals and maximizing landfill space.	Re+ Strategic Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-270 <u>King County should maximize the capacity and lifespan of the Cedar Hills Regional Landfill and plan for future disposal when Cedar Hills Landfill closes to ensure no gap in service, subject to environmental constraints, relative costs to operate, <del>((stakeholder))</del> partner and public interests, and overall solid waste system optimization. A replacement landfill shall not be located in King County.</u>	Substantive change	Edits to reflect existing intent and current practice and terminology	Supports waste management systems that won't require a new landfill in King County	Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Policies D-2 and D-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The last sentence added would prohibit a future landfill from being located in King County. This is a policy choice.</li> <li>Council may wish to revise the language to better reflect the County's role.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-271 King County shall: a. <del>_____((e))</del> Encourage sustainable development and development of markets for reusable and recyclable materials <del>((,))</del> ; and b. <del>_____((p))</del> Provide consumer education in the public and private sectors regarding green building practices, product stewardship, extended producer responsibility, circular economy, recycling, purchasing, and consumption <del>((in order))</del> to reduce the amount of waste disposed.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reflecting current terminology and restructured for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-271a King County should consider <del>((whether))</del> opportunities to increase energy recovery from select solid waste materials including organics, mixed plastics, and the non-recyclable portion of the waste stream <del>((are beneficial in terms of cost,))</del> by factoring in the financial, <del>((natural environment))</del> environmental, greenhouse gas <del>((emissions))</del> , and community impacts <del>((, as well as whether any such energy recovery facilities might be more appropriately located outside King County))</del> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for clarity and to reflect current context	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-271b _____ Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes, and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-202a	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-272 To reduce flooding, erosion, and sedimentation, prevent and mitigate habitat loss, enhance groundwater recharge, and prevent groundwater and surface water quality degradation, consistent with the Growth Management Act, King County shall manage stormwater through plans, programs, and regulations developed by <del>((King))</del> the County in cooperation with affected jurisdictions and agencies whenever possible.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-273 A watershed approach shall be taken for stormwater management, with responsibility shared between King County and affected jurisdictions. This approach should emphasize prevention of surface water and groundwater degradation through education programs, retrofits of existing stormwater controls or the placement of new controls, and implementation of best management practices to reduce pollution entering the region's groundwater and surface waters, including Puget Sound. <u>This approach should also support and build upon regional collaborative stormwater management planning and management approaches as outlined in Policy E-446.</u>	Substantive change	To further support current and planned regional stormwater and health planning goals and efforts, including the Stormwater Summit series	Fosters regional cooperation to manage stormwater in cost effective ways and improves stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan  Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elements of E-446 regarding regional collaborative stormwater management planning may make more sense in this policy than in that policy. Council may wish to move supporting language from E-446 in Chapter 5 to this new policy in Chapter 9.</li> </ul>
F-274 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, King County shall minimize the use of <del>((constructed facilities))</del> grey infrastructure for stormwater management and, through <del>((L))</del> low <del>((I))</del> impact <del>((D))</del> development, maximize the use of natural systems, provided that the ecological functions of the natural systems are not harmed. The County should provide incentives to keep these natural systems intact. Low <del>((I))</del> impact <del>((D))</del> development is also preferred in the Urban Growth Area, but it is recognized that structural systems may be needed to realize urban growth and density goals in these areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Uses current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policies F-274, F-278, F-279, and F-281 could be consolidated and streamlined into one policy.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-275 King County <del>((will))</del> shall plan and manage stormwater by basin or sub-basin consistent with Policies E-463 and E-464. To accomplish this goal, stormwater runoff should not be diverted from one basin or sub-basin into another, unless no other reasonable alternative is available for managing run-off within the same basin <u>or an analysis using best available science determines cross-basin diversion or mitigation actions for unavoidable impacts will have greater benefit to regional stormwater management.</u> Where such diversions are permitted, King County <del>((will))</del> shall require environmental analysis and mitigation adequate to protect surface water and groundwater resources from significant adverse impacts.	Substantive change	Creates options to regionally manage stormwater impacts and mitigation measures across basin boundaries if science supports and better outcomes would result.  Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	Fosters regional cooperation to manage stormwater in cost effective ways and improves stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan  Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Best available science" is a term typically associated only with critical areas regulations. Council may wish to remove the language from this policy.</li> </ul>
F-277 Stormwater programs including public education, stormwater system mapping, construction of regional and shared stormwater facilities, retrofitting developed areas, and operation and maintenance programs should be funded through an adequate and equitable funding mechanism. Stormwater facilities required for new development, redevelopment and retrofitting should be designed and built <u>to improve regional water quality to the maximum extent possible, as well as</u> for aesthetic value <del>((, as well as for))</del> and low-cost, long-term maintenance.	Substantive change	Creates options to regionally manage stormwater impacts  Other edits for clarity	Fosters regional cooperation to manage stormwater in cost effective ways and improves stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan  Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The County's surface water funding is generated from unincorporated properties and not from a regional level. Council may wish to remove "regional" from the policy. Executive staff indicate that "regional" could include a shared facility that serves multiple properties within unincorporated King County. This could be clarified.</li> <li>Water quantity is also considered in stormwater. Council may wish to add "water quantity" before "aesthetic value" in the last sentence.</li> </ul>
F-278 King County shall continue to <del>((encourage, support and))</del> require the use of low impact development as a part of its strategy to mitigate stormwater impacts from new development to the maximum extent feasible <u>and should consider additional supportive strategies, such as in lieu fee mechanisms which are determined through analyses according to best available science to result in overall benefits to regional stormwater management and water quality.</u>	Substantive change	For consistency with current regulations and practices	Improved stormwater management outcomes	Surface Water Design Manual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: Current Surface Water Design Manual</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policies F-274, F-278, F-279, and F-281 could be consolidated and streamlined into one policy.</li> <li>"Best available science" is a term typically associated only with critical areas regulations. Council may wish to remove the language from this policy.</li> </ul>
F-279 King County should incorporate state-of-the art stormwater management techniques <del>((including))</del> and <del>((L))</del> low <del>((I))</del> impact <del>((D))</del> development into the design, construction and operation of all <del>((e))</del> County facilities and <del>((e))</del> County-funded projects to the maximum extent feasible.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and current context	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policies F-274, F-278, F-279, and F-281 could be consolidated and streamlined into one policy.</li> </ul>
F-279a <u>When scoping and designing flood risk reduction, fish passage, park, trails, and habitat restoration projects, the County should actively review and pursue opportunities to support stormwater retrofit projects.</u>	New policy	Most of King County's urban infrastructure was built out before effective stormwater control and treatment mechanisms were required by regulations, and those same areas are responsible for generating polluted stormwater runoff that makes its way untreated to local waterways. The primary means of treating that runoff in those areas is to retrofit stormwater treatment	Improved stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy could be strengthened by changing "should" to "shall."</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
		options (structural stormwater controls) where they have not previously existed. Stormwater retrofits improve the water quality and flow control in areas where those structures were previously lacking.				
F-281 King County should work with residential and commercial developers to incorporate state-of-the art stormwater management techniques, such as <u>low impact development</u> , that protect native vegetation and soils, restore disturbed soils by increasing the use of compost, facilitate reuse of resources such as recycled or harvested water, reduce the carbon footprint of the project, and minimize impervious surfaces.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policies F-274, F-278, F-279, and F-281 could be consolidated and streamlined into one policy.</li> </ul>
F-282 When King County provides technical assistance and incentives for the use of state-of-the art stormwater management techniques, it shall be at no cost to any private sector development.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive staff indicate that the policy language is out of date and could be deleted.</li> </ul>
<u>F-282a King County should continue to implement and support innovative stormwater management programs, such as the RainScapes Green Stormwater Infrastructure Incentive program for private landowners.</u>	New policy	Scientific modeling tells us that regional stormwater treatment facilities, including stormwater parks, provide the most effective treatment for stormwater and improve local water quality, but that doesn't totally address the regional need. Green Stormwater Infrastructure, while smaller scale, provides distributed stormwater treatment across the landscape to enhance the benefits at scale and in concert with regional stormwater facilities.	Improved stormwater management outcomes	Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy could be streamlined and consolidated with the other policies (F-274, F-278, F-279, and F-281) related to stormwater.</li> </ul>
<u>F-282b King County should work with regional partners to identify locations for stormwater parks, focusing first on communities with the most polluted water that lack easy access to green space.</u>	New policy	Supports development of stormwater parks, which can help address equity when built in communities without access to open space and recreational opportunities, they build resilience to climate change by increasing green space and stormwater management, and provide the opportunity to add recreational amenities. Trails, benches, art and wildlife viewing areas are common amenities added to renovated stormwater facilities. Regional facilities, such as stormwater parks, have been found to be the most cost-effective way to treat stormwater. By helping to improve water quality and fish habitat,	Improved stormwater management outcomes; collaboration to identify locations for stormwater parks, prioritizing underserved communities	Regional Stormwater Investment Planning Initiative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stormwater parks are a newer approach to stormwater management. Council may wish to add language to the lead-in text that describes these facilities and their role in regional stormwater management to support the addition of this policy.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
		stormwater parks support Indian tribal treaty rights.				
F-283 King County ((shall)) <u>should</u> identify and evaluate potential changes to land use development regulations and building codes to support and promote state-of-the art stormwater management techniques.	Substantive change	Reads like a Work Program action; updated to reflect more appropriate level of commitment; this happens on an ongoing, as needed basis rather than as a single point in time mandate	Code is updated when appropriate and in alignment with available resources	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy is weakened by changing "shall" to "should." This is a policy choice.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-284 King County should work cooperatively with other jurisdictions to develop and implement plans and programs that address the appropriate recycling, reuse, reclamation and disposal of the materials generated from maintenance of stormwater infrastructure.))</del>	Substantive change	Not a current or planned body of work	No effect; reflects current practice	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-287 King County shall include equity <u>and racial</u> and social justice principles in ((planning)) <u>updating</u> and implementing the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan to <del>((assure floodplain property owners and residents are given equitable access to flood risk reduction services. Outreach should consider vulnerable populations that may face barriers to accessing services and programs based on age, income, disability, English language proficiency, race and ethnicity, or other factors.))</del> <u>assess flood risks, evaluate impacts of risk reduction actions, and work in partnership with the most impacted communities to develop and prioritize future actions.</u>	Substantive change	Edits to streamline and align with current practice and terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-289 King County should continue to assess and revise current flood warning phases based on the most current data on hydrology and climate change <del>((predictions))</del> <u>projections</u> and modify the King County Flood Warning Program, as needed, to reflect these revised flood phases.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Modified for technical accuracy	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-290 King County should assess the most appropriate level of service for flood risk reduction along river segments based on existing and predicted development density, land use, and hydrologic conditions, <u>inclusive of climate change.</u>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Added climate change to be more explicit about related impacts (already embedded within concept of hydrologic conditions, but this makes it clear), consistent with current practice	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-291 King County <del>((will))</del> <u>shall</u> review available information on the potential impacts of climate change on <del>((winter floods))</del> <u>flooding</u> conditions and other natural hazards that may affect flooding, and consider those potential impacts when updating the flood risk reduction policies and capital improvement projects for the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  To align with Flood Hazard Management Plan: refers to assessing impacts of climate change on flooding conditions generally, without assigning seasonality; contains the reference to "other natural hazards..." due to connection of	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
		things like burn scars to flooding and potential for increased landslide risk that could have flooding implications.				
<p><del>((F-293 King County shall continue to work with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Puget Sound Partnership, and other regional partners to develop a science-based vegetation management framework that provides for safe and effective levees, functional riparian habitat, and cost-effective use of limited resources.</del></p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current context' the Corps' 2014 interim policy on eligibility determination addresses the vegetation issue, and there has not been any recent discussion to develop a regional vegetation management framework.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-294 King County <del>((will)) shall</del> <del>((assess participation)) participate</del> in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Public Law 84-99 Program <del>((to ensure))</del> on a case-by-case basis, provided compliance with the National Marine Fisheries Services Biological Opinion on the Federal Emergency Management Agency National Flood Insurance Program standards for levee vegetation, as well as cost-effective maintenance and repair of levees, is achieved.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p> <p>Other edits to reflect current practice</p>	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-295 King County <del>((will)) shall</del> maintain compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program by:</p> <p>a. Assessing the projects and programmatic actions recommended in the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan for compliance with the Biological Opinion prepared for the Program; and</p> <p>b. Making necessary amendments to the Plan and its implementing development regulations.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p>	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-296 King County <del>((will)) shall</del> work cooperatively with the King County Flood Control District, cities and other <del>((stakeholders))</del> partners to implement the <u>integrated approaches to floodplain management outlined in the Flood Hazard Management Plan, or successor plans</u>, to protect public safety, prevent property damage, and help protect the greater King County economy, consistent with the Growth Management Act. <u>Actions should advance King County's equity and racial and social justice goals, promote resiliency to the potential impacts of climate change, and provide multiple benefits for the residents of King County.</u></p>	Substantive change	<p>To align with Countywide Planning Policies and King County flood program and equity goals</p> <p>Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p>	Additional improved outcomes when protecting, restoring and enhancing floodplains, especially for priority populations	<p>Countywide Planning Policy EN-9</p> <p>Flood Hazard Management Plan Guiding Principle 1.3.2, Policies G-3, G-9, PROJ-6</p> <p>Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Preparedness section</p> <p>Clean Water Healthy Habitat Strategic Plan</p> <p>Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-297 Consistent with guidance from Federal Emergency Management Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, King County's flood risk reduction strategies should focus first on risk avoidance, followed by actions intended to reduce vulnerability in at risk areas. New levees and other flood facilities should be the last rather than the first line-of-defense.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edit for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy could be streamlined by removing the guidance language.</li> </ul>
F-298 King County shall continue to <del>((promote))</del> encourage the purchase of flood insurance to <del>((businesses))</del> owners and tenants of properties located within <del>((the floodplain))</del> flood hazard areas, including those <del>((businesses))</del> properties located behind accredited levees, to protect the <del>((economic))</del> value of the <del>((business))</del> properties and reduce the economic vulnerability <del>((to the region's economic activity from a larger but less frequent))</del> from flood events.	Clarification of existing policy intent	To align with current practice; the goals of this policy also applicable more broadly than just businesses. These changes better reflect the full range of the County's efforts on this issue.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-299 King County should continue to discourage new <del>((, at-risk))</del> development in mapped flood hazard areas.	Clarification of existing policy intent	All development in floodplains is at risk for flooding, consistent with input from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-301 Energy providers' resource and facility plans should be consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan and should provide for a reliable source of energy in the event of natural disaster or other potential threats of disruption to service.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy gives direction to outside entities rather than King County. It could be reoriented to policy direction for the County.</li> </ul>
F-303 King County <del>((should))</del> shall encourage land uses and development that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions through the improvement of energy efficiency, and should support the expansion of renewable energy resources through development regulations <del>((, prudent variances))</del> and active incentive programs when the benefits of doing so outweigh the costs.	Substantive change	<p>Strengthened to reflect current practice;</p> <p>Clarifying edits to reflect: why energy efficiency is needed; and "variances" is necessary to state as they are addressed in development regulations earlier in the policy</p>	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy somewhat duplicates, and somewhat conflicts with, E-210 and E-214, which state that the county "should" ensure that its land use policies, development regulations, etc. support energy efficiency and fossil fuel reduction and transition etc. Whatever language is chosen, the policy could be removed here and retained with the rest of the GHG reduction policies in Chapter 5.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p><u>F-303a Results from an equity impact review shall be used as an important consideration to identify impacts and opportunities of adding, expanding, or upgrading transmission and distribution lines; siting new gas or hazardous liquid transmission pipelines; or new modified, or expanded fossil fuel facilities. The County's equity and racial and social justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.</u></p>	<p>New policy</p>	<p>Consolidates existing directives in F-325a, F-332a, and F-344g</p> <p>Reflects current terminology: "equity impact review" is proposed to be defined in the Comprehensive Plan and provide flexibility for how the current Equity Impact Review Tool might change over time</p> <p>Note that F-235a and F-332a were outstanding 2016 Work Plan Action 5 "Implementation Needs" items, which has related code changes proposed in K.C.C. Title 21A to implement policy amendments adopted in 2016</p>	<p>Improved equitable outcomes for priority populations</p>	<p>Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: Commensurate changes to K.C.C. Title 21A</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy would consolidate three existing policies that required equity impact review for three types of uses. The language used was slightly different each in each policy, and the differences in language have been copied here. For transmission and distribution lines, equity impact review would be used when "adding, expanding, or upgrading." For gas and hazardous liquid transmission pipelines, the review would be required when "siting new" facilities. For fossil fuel facilities, it would be required for "new, modified, or expanded" facilities. Council may wish to align what type of development project triggers equity impact review across these use categories.</li> <li>There are associated code changes to require equity impact review for each of these uses, as well as for non-hydroelectric generation facilities not associated with waste management processes. As these non-hydroelectric generation facilities are called out in the Code but not here, the Council may wish to add them here to align the two.</li> </ul>
<p>F-304 All King County departments and divisions shall use the Strategic Climate Action Plan, or successor plans, as the basis for strategic energy planning and direction.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>To make policy more timeless, in case the name of the referenced plan changes</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed new policy E-200 states that "The 2020 Strategic Climate Action Plan, or successor plans, should guide the planning, development, and implementation of greenhouse gas reduction goals and actions..." As renewable energy and energy efficiency are identified in other policies as greenhouse gas reduction actions, F-304 policy could potentially be deleted as duplicative.</li> <li>Alternatively, because many of the following policies directly mirror the SCAP, they could be removed as duplicative to this policy.</li> <li>The SCAP is only adopted by motion and therefore does not carry the force of law. Although this is existing policy, referencing the SCAP in a shall policy elevates implementing it to a requirement.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-305 King County shall plan for further reduction in its energy use from government operations by setting near and long term energy use and fossil fuel use reductions, consistent with its long term goals of working to continuously reduce operating costs and environmental impacts, maximizing energy efficiency and minimizing waste.</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>To make reduction in fossil fuel use explicit goal of facility energy use</p>	<p>Progress towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment.</p>	<p>Strategic Climate Action Plan Strategy 3.16</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: The planning does not necessitate new resources, but implementation of the planning would</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Per Strategic Climate Action Plan, reduce fossil fuel use by 20% by 2030</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-306 King County shall maximize the ((production)) capture, use and marketing of renewable energy at its wastewater treatment plants and Cedar Hills Landfill, to the extent feasible and consistent with other County goals, and pursue other renewable energy generation projects where cost-effective.</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>To reflect current context and practice</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-307 King County should foster the development and increased use of clean, renewable and alternative fuel and energy technologies, such as anaerobic digestion and co-digestion of organic material to create energy sources that result in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, with a particular emphasis on creating renewable natural gas.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>To clarify the types of technologies KC is focusing on, consistent with current practice</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((F-308 King County shall: a. Continue to increase the energy efficiency of county buses and vehicles, through adoption and promotion of innovative technology vehicles and greenhouse gas reducing fuels with a focus on electric vehicles, all-electric battery buses and associated infrastructure, where appropriate; and b. Consistent with policy E-203, collaborate with other local governments regionally, nationally and internationally to develop a common approach to accounting for the greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the operation of its public transportation system, for offering carbon offsets or other environmental attributes for purchase and for claiming rights to any greenhouse gas emissions reduction attributes associated with its operation.))</del></p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>This is an outdated policy; these standards now exist and are recognized.  Generation of carbon offsets from public transit is no longer recommended, consistent with Ordinance 18106, which updated Ordinance 17971 based on a report it required, which concluded that offsets were not financially feasible for Metro, and Department of Natural Resources and Parks should consider but not be required to buy offsets from Metro. Since then, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks has met their carbon neutral goals through other means.</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-308a Consistent with Ordinance ((17974)) 18106, King County Metro Transit ((should implement a strategy to sell transit carbon offsets and other environmental attributes to)) shall make carbon offsets or environmental attributes available for purchase by individuals, public entities, and private entities, if doing so is likely to be financially beneficial to the department. ((To reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, the King County wastewater treatment division and solid waste division should consider purchasing transit carbon offsets from King County Metro Transit.)) Revenue from the sale of carbon offsets or environmental attributed shall be used by the department solely for the purposes of reducing greenhouse gas emissions through mobility services or investments that reduce greenhouse emissions from transit operations.</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>Updates to reflect Ordinance 18106, which updated Ordinance 17971 based on a report it required, which concluded that offsets were not financially feasible for Metro, and Department of Natural Resources and Parks should consider but not be required to buy offsets from Metro. Since then, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks has met their carbon neutral goals through other means. This ordinance is still relevant as Metro and other fleet owning dept will sell environmental attributes from electrification of fleet.</p> <p>Broadens language to apply to all environmental attribute sales; this is relevant in current state policy programs such as Clean Fuel Standard. Broadens guidance on how revenues to be spent from transit service to mobility services.</p>	<p>Ensures that any funds generated must be reinvested in greenhouse gas reducing activities</p>	<p>Strategic Climate Action Plan Transportation and Land Use goals</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: 2024-2034</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language starting with "solely for the purposes" does not match the language in K.C.C 28.30.030.F. Either the Code or this policy should be updated for consistency. Executive staff note that the policy change is more up-to-date than the Code.</li> <li>The Council could also consider moving the carbon offset regulations from Title 28 to Title 18 to be with the rest of the County's environmental programs regulations.</li> </ul>
<p>F-309 King County shall maximize practical applications of renewable natural gas (such as from wastewater or landfill gas), renewable electricity, and renewable heat production ((from renewable resources)).</p>	<p>Substantive change</p>	<p>To reflect other renewable energy sources, and other edits for clarity</p>	<p>Allows use of broader renewable energy sources</p>	<p>Strategic Climate Action Plan Building Energy Operations priority actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: Possibly; additional resources could be needed if additional renewable energy installation is out of scope of existing capital project</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-310 King County shall support the conversion of renewable resources and service by-products to energy for beneficial use ((consistent with E-208)). King County shall claim and/or generate economic benefit for any and all renewable energy and greenhouse gas reduction attributes resulting from renewable energy generation.</p>	<p>Clarification of existing policy intent</p>	<p>Reflects removal of E-208 (which was duplicative of this policy)</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p>F-311 King County should encourage its energy utilities to provide energy efficiency services <del>((and))</del>, renewable energy options, and fossil fuel use reduction strategies to all their customers. Additionally, the County should encourage the state and energy utilities to mitigate the environmental and greenhouse gas emissions impacts of energy and, as conservation and <del>((alternative energy))</del> no- and low-carbon sources demonstrate capacity to address energy needs, phase out existing coal and other fossil fuel based power plants, and replace such facilities with resource efficiency and renewable generation sources.</p>	Substantive change	To support moving towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment, such as a utility providing rebates for natural gas-using equipment (e.g. a more efficient natural gas furnace)	Expands areas where King County is advocating with utilities, which can improve environmental outcomes	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Action GHG 3.4.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-312 King County shall develop and adopt strategic energy management, efficiency, and conservation programs in its own operations, including:</p> <p>a. Consolidated energy accounting of <del>((e))</del> County facilities to establish baseline energy performance for the <del>((e))</del> County, benchmarking of facilities against comparable best practices where possible, setting goals for facility efficiency improvements, and measuring and reporting progress toward <del>((e))</del> County energy goals;</p> <p>b. <u>Fossil fuel elimination action plans;</u></p> <p>c. <u>Purchase of 100 percent greenhouse gas neutral electricity for operations;</u></p> <p>d. <u>Energy efficiency audits of all <del>((e))</del> County facilities over 20,000 square feet and the creation of action plans for reducing energy use at such facilities;</u></p> <p><del>((e-))</del> e. Energy management plans for energy-intensive or special-purpose <del>((e))</del> County facilities such as wastewater treatment plants, correctional facilities, and transit bases that focus on least-cost management and that include specific approaches for each facility's use, as well as the production and sale of energy where appropriate;</p> <p>f. <u>Capital portfolios managed to maximize greenhouse gas emissions reductions, including no new natural gas or fossil fuel powered equipment installed, with minor exceptions allowed;</u></p> <p><del>((d-))</del> g. Mandatory energy efficiency and resource use guidelines for operation and maintenance of all <del>((e))</del> County-occupied facilities, while recognizing the unique operating requirements of specialty facilities;</p> <p><del>((e-))</del> h. Programs to encourage employees to implement energy conserving measures at work; and</p> <p><del>((f-))</del> i. Incentives, including retaining a portion of energy cost savings, to <del>((e))</del> County agencies and departments for achieving energy efficiency.</p>	Substantive change	Edits to support moving towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment and to support priorities in the Strategic Climate Action Plan	Reduced fossil fuel emissions from facilities	Strategic Climate Action Plan Priority Actions GHG 3.16.1, GHG 3.16.2, and GHG 3.17.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need:</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Per Strategic Climate Action Plan, reduce fossil fuel use by 20% by 2030</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Councilmembers may wish to consider whether this level of detail is necessary in a comprehensive plan. the items in the list are addressed in the SCAP, which policy F-304 already requires departments to use as the basis for their energy planning. The list could potentially be removed.</li> </ul>
<p>F-313 King County should benchmark all applicable <del>((e))</del> County buildings as a basis for measuring energy efficiency improvements, using the Environmental Protection Agency Portfolio Manager Tool, where applicable.</p>	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>F-315 King County shall use its Resource Life Cycle Cost Assessment calculator to evaluate energy projects to determine if the operations and maintenance cost savings over the life of an energy project's assets exceed the implementation costs, taking into</p>	Policy staff flag					Executive staff indicate that "energy project" in this policy is intended to apply to all projects that involve energy-using equipment, not just projects where energy is the primary

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
account all identified costs associated with energy efficiency and renewable energy projects.						focus. Council may wish to add clarifying language.
F-316 Efficient energy consumption, conservation, the use of renewable technologies, and energy responsible land use decisions should be a priority in King County. King County promotes the maximum use of energy conservation and renewable energy resources now, while leaving options for increasing conservation and renewable technologies in the future.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy is superseded by F-309 with regards to renewable energy, and duplicative of E-210 through E-215 in Chapter 5 with regards to energy efficiency, so this policy could be deleted.</li> </ul>
F-317 King County should pursue district energy opportunities to maximize resource recovery efforts, in ways that can offer economic and environmental benefits to the county and community at large. This <del>((will))</del> shall be done by pursuing opportunities such as encouraging the use of wastewater for heat extraction and other forms of energy generation in the <del>((e))</del> County's wastewater conveyance system.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen  Other edits for grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council may wish to consolidate F-317 and F-318.</li> </ul>
F-318 King County should pursue combined heat and power district energy opportunities in its own facilities, as well as in partnership with other public and private entities, that result in reduced energy consumption, greenhouse gas reductions, and financial savings to the <del>((e))</del> County.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council may wish to consolidate F-317 and F-318.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-319 To implement the Countywide Planning Policy of aggressive conservation and promotion of regional air quality, King County should:  a. Effectively enforce the energy code as part of the general permit process;  b. Provide density incentives through the zoning code for energy efficient developments;  c. Continue to improve the fuel efficiency and emissions of the county owned fleet of motor vehicles;  d. Work with utilities to become a model of energy efficiency in facilities owned or operated by Metropolitan King County; and  e. Seek cost-effective ways to capture energy from county operations which other wise would be lost, such as methane gas from landfills and sewage treatment.</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	These issues are currently generally spread across different Countywide Planning Policies and addressed via various Comprehensive Plan policies, such as F-312	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-320 King County should support new energy resources and technologies that reduce energy use, decarbonize energy sources, and electrify energy use in the building and transportation sectors. In supporting these efforts, King County should: a. Allow for siting of distributed energy resources, while considering appropriate use of land and associate impacts, including protection of designated Natural Resource Lands and open spaces; b. Accommodate the use of distributed energy resources in new and redeveloped properties; c. Reduce barriers to new and upgraded substations, transmission facilities, and the distribution system, for infrastructure that is needed to achieve County greenhouse gas reductions targets; d. Encourage and support the integration of new technologies and fuel sources; e. Minimize negative impacts on and maximize benefits for frontline communities resulting from related projects; f. Support equitable engagement strategies during project planning to actively solicit public participation and input from impacted frontline communities; g. Support equitable opportunities for frontline communities to participate in distributed energy resources; h. Support efforts by utilities and other entities to advance these outcomes; and i. Review and update development regulations periodically to ensure that they appropriately support new energy resources and technologies and mitigate for associated impacts.	New policy	New policy to support implementation of new energy resources and technologies that advance the goal of eliminating fossil fuel use in the built environment	Ensures comprehensive review of regulations and actions supporting new energy resources and technologies, consistent with other planning goals	Strategic Climate Action Plan Building Energy Countywide and Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities – Energy Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Regulatory and Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy is very similar to E-210, Council may wish to consolidate the policies.</li> </ul>
F-321 King County encourages the: a. <del>((the u))</del> Use of solar energy; b. <del>((the s))</del> Siting of roads, lots, landscaping and buildings for improved solar orientation; c. <del>((the u))</del> Use of passive solar design and active solar technologies; <del>((and))</del> d. <del>((the p))</del> Protection of solar access; and e. The pursuit and allocation of funds to support access to solar energy for frontline communities.	Substantive change	To integrate equity goals and long-term needs. There's funding of solar energy access in the 2023-2024 County budget, but not continued programs and funding sources for solar installations, especially for households with low incomes.	Creates support for future funding (grants, state or local budget, etc) for equitable renewable energy access	Strategic Climate Action Plan Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities Focus Area 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sub e. is duplicative of E-220e and F-323, which call for a focus on frontline communities to happen for all types of renewable energy. Council may wish to remove sub e. for clarity. If sub e. is retained, Council may wish to clarify that this is about pursuing outside funding, rather than the County's budget.</li> <li>This policy lacks direction. "Should" or "shall" could be added to provide direction.</li> </ul>
F-323 King County should expand the availability of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and fossil fuel use reduction and transition measures to <del>((low-income residents))</del> frontline communities most likely to be disproportionately impacted by climate change.	Substantive change	To support moving towards elimination of fossil fuel use in the built environment, and broadens priority populations	Improves equitable access to greenhouse gas reducing programs and actions	Strategic Climate Action Plan – Building Energy Countywide and Sustainable & Resilient Frontline Communities – Energy Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy overlaps with E-220 in Chapter 5. They could be consolidated.</li> </ul>
F-325 King County <del>((and the))</del> should work with utilities <del>((should))</del> to identify and preserve corridors, consistent with the Growth Management Act goal of focusing growth within the Urban Growth	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and alignment with appropriate County role	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities  
3/1/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
Area, to accommodate future electric power transmission and distribution lines. Corridor designation should include: a. Identification of appropriate shared uses and recognition of the values provided by nonutility uses, such as recreation; b. Recognition of county roads as utility corridors; and c. Evaluation of proposed facility plans on a system-wide basis, rather than project-by-project.					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	
<del>((F-325a Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify and assess impacts and opportunities of adding, expanding or upgrading transmission and distribution lines and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-303a	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-327 New electrical distribution lines should be installed underground where reasonably feasible and not a health or safety concern. <del>((The county should encourage underground placement of existing distribution lines through such tools as local improvement districts.))</del>	Substantive change	To reflect current practice	No effect; this is not occurring currently	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> Programmatic</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> No</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<del>F-328 King County will monitor scientific research on potential human health effects of extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields. If federal or state agencies promulgate rules to reduce exposure to extremely low level electric and magnetic fields through changes in the use of appliances, construction practices, the location of electrical infrastructure or other activities the county shall inform its residents, in adherence with the Executive Order and other applicable policies on written language and translation processes, and take appropriate actions.</del>	Substantive change	Monitoring this is not current nor planned County work; informing residents of federal or state regulatory changes is not the County's role; and requiring the County to "take appropriate actions" in response to federal or state regulatory changes is unnecessary to state, as the County would be required to align with new legal mandates regardless.	No effect; this is not occurring currently	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> No</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-330 King County <del>((will))</del> shall provide leadership in and promotion of the use of renewable natural gas to minimize climate change impacts, including that from its own sources, as a substitute for fossil-sourced natural gas where practical, <u>while discouraging the use of human food feedstocks for the creation of renewable natural gas.</u>	Substantive change	To help ensure that any feedstock use is from waste products and not crops that could otherwise be used for human consumption  Clarifying edit: "Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen.	Protects usable food for people from diversion for renewable energy use	Strategic Climate Action Plan GHG Priority Action 5.1.1 ("recycled" feedstock)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> Programmatic</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> No</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• F-307 says that the county "should" foster the development and use of renewable fuel technologies, with an emphasis on natural gas. This policy says that King County "shall" provide leadership in promotion of the use of renewable natural gas. Council may wish to consider aligning these policies.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-332a Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool will be used as an important consideration to identify impacts and opportunities of siting new gas or hazardous liquid transmission pipelines and the county's Equity and Social Justice principles should be used to improve residents' access to the determinants of equity.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-303a	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-333 New hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines should be located away from high-density residential zones, Urban Activity Centers, <del>((and B))</del> business <del>((C))</del> centers, <del>((E))</del> office	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
((P))parks, sports fields, schools and day care centers or other land uses where large numbers of people would assemble.					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	
((F-334 When new, expanded or upgraded hazardous liquid or gas transmission pipelines are required, use of existing corridors should be evaluated first. King County should facilitate appropriate corridor sharing among different utility types and owners.))	Substantive change	Not consistent with current regulations nor a planned body of work	No effect; reflects current practice	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-336 King County should map the location of existing and new hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines. Maps shall not substitute the one-call locating system and shall not be used for any construction or maintenance activity.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No County-created maps currently exist or are planned. Executive staff state that the U.S. Department of Transportation maintains maps for this purpose. This policy could potentially be removed.</li> </ul>
F-337 Structures designed for human occupancy shall not be located within hazardous liquid or gas transmission pipeline rights-of-way and should be set back from the pipeline to protect public health, safety and property. No structures shall be located over the pipeline.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• While this policy strictly prohibits any structures designed for human occupancy within hazardous liquid and gas transmission right-of-way, K.C.C. 21A.12.140 allows human-occupied structures that are not "normally" occupied within pipeline setbacks within regional utility corridors, and also allows any human-occupied structures to potentially locate there if meeting certain conditions. That code section is further contradicted by 21A.14.225, which states first that utility structures not "normally" occupied are allowed, and then states that structures designed for human occupancy are never allowed.</li> <li>• Council may wish to change the policy to "should," or to amend the Code to eliminate the allowances therein. This policy could also be removed if the policy intent is covered in the Code.</li> <li>• Council may also wish to combine policies F-337 and F-338 for clarity.</li> </ul>
F-338 Land uses shall be restricted within hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipeline rights-of-way. Passive recreational uses, such as hiking trails, may be allowed if the risk to life and property is assessed and determined to be minimal.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council may wish to combine policies F-337 and F-338 for clarity.</li> </ul>
F-339 King County should promote the safety and reliability of the hazardous liquid and natural gas transmission pipeline systems by requiring developers, contractors, and excavators to notify the state, pipeline operators and utilities through the one-number locator service, before beginning excavation or construction.))	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a requirement in state law and does not need to be a policy; see RCW 19.122.030	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-340 In the interest of safety and reliability of the hazardous liquid and natural gas interstate transmission pipeline systems, the ((e))County should take steps to protect and preserve the signs that mark pipelines.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive staff are not aware of any County actions relating to this policy, and the WAC has provisions addressing gas pipeline markers. This policy could potentially be removed.</li> </ul>
F-341 King County ((recognizes that the)) shall use franchise agreements process to require gas distribution ((system is primarily located in road rights-of-way)) utilities comply with county, state, and federal safety and health regulations.	Substantive change	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction, consistent with current practice	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Though described as clarification of existing policy intent, the proposed change is essentially a new policy as the subject matter (franchise agreements and safety and health regulations) is different from that of the underlying policy (recognition that gas distribution is primarily located in road rights of way).</li> <li>Utilities are already required to comply with county, state, and federal health and safety regulations, regardless of what is in a franchise agreement. Executive staff state that inclusion here strengthens the County's position when authorizing utility development. It is a policy choice to include F-341.</li> </ul>
F-342 In the interest of safety and reliability of the natural gas distribution pipeline systems, the ((e))County should take steps to protect and preserve the signs that mark pipelines.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As with F-340, Executive staff are not aware of any County actions relating to this policy, and the WAC has provisions addressing gas pipeline markers. This policy could potentially be removed.</li> </ul>
F-343 Structures designed for human occupancy shall not be located within gas distribution pipeline rights-of-way and should be set back from the pipeline to protect public health, safety, and property. No structures shall be located over the pipeline.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While regulations like this exist for hazardous gas pipelines (see F-337 and K.C.C. 21A.12.140), there are no corresponding regulations for gas distribution systems. As this is a "shall" policy, either regulations should be adopted, the policy should be changed to "should," or the policy should be removed.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-344 Permit requirements shall require excavators to ensure adequate protection of any facilities that are encountered during their work. This shall include but not be limited to adhering to the foreign facility owners requirements for separation and backfill, developing joint plans when drilling or boring parallel to foreign facilities, and potholing all facilities that will be crossed by drilling or boring.</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	This is a code requirement and does not need to be a policy; see K.C.C. 16.82.185	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-344a King County ((Office of Emergency Management)) shall convene local emergency managers, first responders, railroads and others to prepare for and mitigate the increasing risk of oil spills, fire, and explosions posed by oil transport by rail, truck, and vessel. This work should consider potential risks from related fossil fuel facilities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Specific agency is not necessary to be called out here, consistent with level of detail throughout Comprehensive Plan	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
F-344c King County should collaborate with local and <u>Indian</u> tribal governments to jointly advocate for stronger federal and state disclosure requirements for hazardous materials being transported by rail, safety requirements and speed limits for tank cars, minimum liability coverage for railroads and oil shippers, and financial support for increased local emergency planning and response to oil spills, fires, and explosions.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-344d King County land use policies, development regulations, and permitting and environmental review processes related to fossil fuel facilities shall be designed to: a. <del>((p))</del> Protect public health, safety, and welfare; b. <del>((m))</del> Mitigate and prepare for disasters; c. <del>((p))</del> Protect and preserve natural systems; d. <del>((m))</del> Manage impacts on public services and infrastructure; and e. <del>((f))</del> Reduce impacts of climate change.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-344f When reviewing proposals for new, modified or expanded fossil fuel facilities, King County shall require comprehensive environmental assessment, and early and continuous public notice and comment opportunities. King County shall only approve proposals for new, modified, or expanded facilities when: a. The proposed facility can confine or mitigate all operational impacts; b. The facility can adequately mitigate conflicts with adjacent land uses; c. The full scope of environmental impacts, including life cycle greenhouse gas emissions and public health, have been evaluated and appropriately conditioned or mitigated as necessary, consistent with the County's substantive State Environmental Policy Act authority; d. The applicant <del>((must comply))</del> <u>has complied</u> with applicable federal and state regulations, including the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, and Endangered Species Act; e. The applicant has demonstrated early, meaningful, and robust consultation with the public, surrounding property owners, and with Indian tribes to assess impacts to <u>tribal</u> treaty-protected cultural and fisheries resources; and f. Risks to public health and public safety can be mitigated.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is detailed guidance for approval of a permit. Council may wish to add this language to the Code if the intent is to ensure that proposals comply with each of these terms.</li> </ul>
<del>((F-344g Results from the King County Equity Impact Review Tool shall be used as an important consideration to identify and mitigate impacts of new, modified, or expanded fossil fuel facilities. The Equity Impact Review should take into consideration the potential effects of a new, modified or expanded fossil fuel facility on the health of a population, and how those effects may be different within a population.))</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Consolidated in F-303a	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-344h King County shall <del>((establish))</del> <u>implement</u> a periodic review process for fossil fuel facilities. The periodic review shall be a part of King County's ongoing enforcement and inspections of fossil fuel facilities, and to assure compliance with applicable conditions, mitigations, and the most up-to-date safety and public health standards. The periodic review process should, subject to applicable law: a. Provide opportunities for public review and comment;	Clarification of existing policy intent	To reflect current status (this has been established, and the County is now continuing to implement)	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The underlying policy direction, to establish a periodic review process, has been completed, and this the requirements here are now included in K.C.C. Title 21A. Councilmembers may wish to delete this policy as the initial intent is accomplished and the revised language duplicates code.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
b. Evaluate whether the facility is in compliance with current federal, state, and County regulations and implementation of industry-standard best management practices; and c. Allow King County to modify, add, or remove permit conditions to address new circumstances and/or unanticipated fossil fuel facility-generated impacts.						
F-344i Local distribution companies that convey fossil fuels in King County shall prepare and submit a greenhouse gas impact analysis when applying for a new utility franchise agreement or an extension or renewal of an existing utility franchise agreement.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council may wish to rephrase this policy to state what King County shall do (require GHG analyses) rather than what Local Distribution Companies shall do (submit them).</li> </ul>
F-345 Telecommunication services <del>((are to))</del> shall be encouraged <del>((as a means))</del> to mitigate the transportation impact of development and growth, including <del>((G))</del> greenhouse <del>((G))</del> gas <del>((E))</del> emissions.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity and grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy could be revised to focus on what the County should do, rather than what a telecommunication service should do.</li> </ul>
F-346 King County <del>should</del> encourage <del>((s the))</del> telecommunication service providers to engage in long-term planning for telecommunications construction, reconstruction, and facility upgrades, including provisions to ensure that the system's capacity, design, and equipment will allow users to take advantage of innovative uses, services, and technology.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented from a statement to policy direction	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-347 Telecommunication companies and the <del>((e))</del> County should coordinate activities when facilities are being installed or road construction projects are scheduled.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-348 <del>((Long-term planning for telecommunications systems by the))</del> King County regulations should encourage telecommunication service providers <del>((should allow))</del> to plan and provide for uninterrupted service during natural disasters.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Reoriented to reflect King County role, consistent with underlying goals	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-349 Co-location of telecommunication facilities is encouraged to reduce the unnecessary proliferation of individual, single-user towers. Co-location shall be required unless an applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the <del>((e))</del> County that collocation on an existing tower is not feasible and not consistent with service quality and access.	Technical change	Grammar	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-352 Long-term planning for cable systems should include service to all areas of the county <del>((which))</del> that meets the minimum density established in the cable company's franchise agreement and <del>((the county's Cable Television Ordinance))</del> King County Code Chapter 6.27A.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Updated to current code reference	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This policy duplicates code requirements, and F-354, and could be deleted.</li> </ul>
F-354 Cable companies should take proactive steps to ensure that there is widespread availability of and equitable access to cable service. Cable companies should ensure information is culturally <del>((-))</del> appropriate and made available to <del>((residents of the county,</del>	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council may wish to rephrase this policy to state what King County should do rather than what cable companies should do.</li> </ul>

**Chapter 9 Facilities, Services, and Utilities**  
**3/1/24**

Policy	Type of Change	Executive Rationale	Executive Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<del>especially low-income and limited-English proficient communities)) county residents, especially those with low incomes and/or that speak a language(s) other than English.</del>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	
F-355 <del>((The goal of it))</del> Long-term cable planning should <del>((be))</del> support: a. <del>((a))</del> A high-capacity, state-of-the-art system <del>((-));</del> b. <del>Installation and activation of ((T))two-way capacity ((should be installed and activated.))</del> c. <del>Interconnection of ((G))cable systems ((should be interconnected)) to other communications systems((- They should be designed to be)); and</del> d. <del>(("open"; that is, the systems should be))</del> Open systems, usable by many, for a variety of purposes.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Restructured for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-357 Developers should collaborate with major employers to create developments that facilitate and encourage telecommuting by installing high-speed internet lines during construction of the project.	Policy staff flag					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive staff indicate that the intent was to remove F-357 and F-358 in favor of the new proposed F-358a, which more closely reflects the County's role. This policy could be deleted.</li> </ul>
F-358 Builders and architects should work with the telecommunication industry to design and retrofit state-of-the-art cable-ready homes and offices <del>((and)), as well as</del> community centers, social service agencies, community health clinics, and other buildings that serve low-income residents.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive staff indicate that the intent was to remove F-357 and F-358 in favor of the new proposed F-358a, which more closely reflects the County's role. This policy could be deleted.</li> </ul>
<u>F-358a King County should explore opportunities to increase access to broadband internet services to underserved and unserved areas, such as expanding the I-Net network, pursuing grant funding to support infrastructure investments, partnering with service providers to expand coverage, supporting fiber optic cable installation in the Eastrail corridor, and marketing to underserved communities.</u>	New policy	In response to findings of the 2020 King County Broadband Access Study, consistent with current and planned work	Improved access to broadband internet services	2020 King County Broadband Access Study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<u>F-358b King County should increase wireless capabilities at County-owned facilities and sites, such as County parks, for public access, where appropriate.</u>	New policy	To reflect current and planned work	Improved public internet access	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Capital Projects</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
F-359 King County encourages public and private organizations to create wireless internet connections where the public can access the Internet, including in community centers, social service agencies, community health clinics, libraries, schools, and other buildings that serve <del>((low-income))</del> residents with low incomes.	Technical change	Current terminology	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council may wish to rephrase this policy to state what King County should do rather than what wireless companies should do.</li> </ul>