

Policy	Type of Change	Executive's Rationale	Executive's Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
RP-101 King County shall strive to provide a high quality of life for all of its residents by: working with cities, special purpose districts, and residents to develop attractive, safe, and accessible communities at appropriate urban and rural service levels; <del>((retain)) retaining</del> rural character and rural neighborhoods; planning for and accommodating housing affordable to all income levels; <del>((support)) supporting</del> economic development; <del>((promote)) promoting</del> equity and racial and social justice; <del>((preserve and maintain)) preserving and maintaining</del> resource and open space lands; <del>((preserve)) preserving</del> the natural environment; and <del>((protect)) protecting</del> significant cultural and historic resources.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect 2022 <a href="#">House Bill 1220</a> and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates  Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent	County actions support development of, and access to, housing affordable to all incomes	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Regulatory (K.C.C)</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand voluntary inclusionary housing program to all urban unincorporated areas and two rural towns.</li> <li>Streamline permitting processes for, and incentivize development of, middle housing.</li> <li>Streamline permitting processes for emergency housing.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Anticipated resource need: none identified</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Added language is consistent with recent changes in state and countywide requirements for affordable housing planning.</li> <li>The policy format could be clarified by numbering the subsections.</li> </ul>
RP-102 In its planning processes, including the development, update, and implementation of King County plans, <del>((King)) the</del> County shall use equitable engagement strategies to actively solicit public participation from a wide variety of sources, particularly from populations historically underrepresented or excluded from planning processes <del>((in its planning processes, including the development, update, and implementation of its plans)).</del>	Substantive change	To improve equitable planning framework and address Countywide Planning Policies about prioritizing needs of underrepresented communities in access to services/process. To move beyond public participation, to not only engagement, but equitable engagement.	Improved engagement with priority populations, which can result in more equitable outcomes for those populations in County plans	Countywide Planning Policies, FW-6 and FW-8  Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: Yes</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified. There is a work plan action (#2) in Chapter 12 related to the changes in this policy. As noted in the Executive's information here and in the Equity Analysis, additional resources would be needed to implement this policy.</li> </ul>
RP103 King County shall <del>((seek comment from))</del> coordinate with Indian tribes during its planning processes in a manner that respects their sovereign status, promotes tribal self-determination and self-governance, and honors past and present agreements.	Substantive change	Policy amendment for consistency with 2022 <a href="#">House Bill 1717</a> , and to clarify the manner in which the County will coordinate with Indian tribes consistent with existing practices.	Improved coordination with Indian tribes	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified. Exec staff note that the new language was in the Public Review Draft and the Tulalip, Squamish, and Snoqualmie Tribes were individually emailed about the release of the PRD.</li> </ul>
<del>((R-102))</del> RP-103a King County <del>((will))</del> shall continue to support the diversity and richness of its rural communities and their distinct character by working with its rural constituencies through its Community Service Areas program to sustain and enhance the rural character of Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
RP-104 King County's planning <del>((should))</del> shall include multicounty, countywide, and subarea levels of planning. Working with planning partners, such as residents, special purpose districts <del>((and))</del> , cities, and Indian tribes <del>((as planning partners))</del> , the <del>((e))</del> County shall strive to balance the differing needs identified across or within plans at these geographic levels.	Substantive change	Reflects current mandates for, and scope of, County land use planning.  Reoriented to a "such as" list, as this may not be the full range and it may vary in certain instances.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a policy choice to change the "should" to a "shall." The change is consistent with current practice, as well as state and regional planning requirements.</li> </ul>

Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning  
2/7/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive's Rationale	Executive's Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
		Including Indian tribes to align with RP-103			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	
RP-105 King County <del>((should))</del> shall work with the Growth Management Planning Council to adopt Countywide Planning Policies that support <del>((annual ratifications to))</del> allocated housing and employment growth targets for cities and the county, <u>approve designations of countywide centers, and address other countywide planning topics.</u>	Substantive change	Reflects current practice	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a policy choice to change the "should" to a "shall." The change is consistent with current practice.</li> <li>According to Exec staff, "other countywide planning topics" could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CPP or UGA amendments that are not related to growth targets;</li> <li>coordination with PSRC on regional planning issues;</li> <li>review and recommendation on urban growth area amendments;</li> <li>reporting and coordination on implementing the school siting policies; and</li> <li>involvement in review of city comprehensive plan housing-related provisions.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>These other topics are consistent with the CPPs and the GMPC is the appropriate venue for addressing these topics.</p>
RP-106 <del>((Except for Four-to-One proposals,))</del> King County shall not amend the Urban Growth Area prior to the Growth Management Planning Council taking action on the proposed amendment to the Urban Growth Area.	Substantive change	To align with existing mandates in the Countywide Planning Policies, current practice, and Growth Management Planning Council Four-to-One program review recommendations	No change; reflects existing practice and requirements	Countywide Planning Policy DP-16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> <li>Flag for Four-to-One Program review.</li> </ul>
RP-107 King County shall not forward to the Growth Management Planning Council for its recommendation any proposed amendment to the Urban Growth Area unless the proposal was: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Included in the scoping motion for a King County Comprehensive Plan update;</li> <li><del>((An))</del> Subject to area zoning study <del>((of the proposal))</del> that was included in the public review draft of a proposed King County Comprehensive Plan update; or</li> <li>Subjected to the hearing examiner process for site specific map amendments as <del>((contemplated))</del> <u>established</u> by the King County Code.</li> </ol>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edits for clarity	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> <li>Flag for Four-to-One Program review.</li> </ul>
RP-108 King County shall implement the Countywide Planning Policies through its Comprehensive Plan and through Potential Annexation Area, preannexation, and other interlocal agreements with <del>((its))</del> cities.	Clarification of existing policy intent	"Its" inaccurately implies ownership of/jurisdiction over the cities by the County	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
RP-109 King County should establish and/or participate in regional and subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan <del>((, such as:))</del>	Substantive change	List is not necessary and is also not reflective of all the [policy] areas the County does this, which could result in a very long list. As noted in the narrative following this	No change; the "should" directive in this	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is unclear what the underlying policy adds to the comprehensive plan that is not required or not covered by another policy.</li> </ul>

Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning  
2/7/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive's Rationale	Executive's Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<p><del>a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate change,</del></p> <p><del>b. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transit Oriented Development Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and hubs,</del></p> <p><del>c. The Eastside Rail Corridor Regional Advisory Council, or successor groups, to support a vision that includes dual use (recreation trail and public transportation) and multiple objectives, consistent with its federal railbanked status, and</del></p> <p><del>d. The Regional Code Collaboration to collaborate on development of and updates to green building codes.))</del></p>		policy, these issues are addressed throughout the Comp Plan.	policy will continue		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	Councilmembers could consider deleting this policy.
<p>RP-109a Upon notification from a city that abuts the Rural Area or Natural Resource lands regarding proposed large, mixed-use developments, King County shall coordinate the city to ensure that the development review process mitigates impacts on the surrounding Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands.</p>	New policy	Addresses Countywide Planning Policy change concerning rural-adjacent cities with proposed large developments adjacent to rural area need to coordinate to mitigate impacts.	Help to ensure that development impacts on the rural area and natural resource lands are considered during the development review process.	Countywide Planning Policy DP-11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: 2025</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CPP DP-11 puts the burden on permitting cities to collaborate with the County during the review process. The language proposed is different in scope than CPP DP-11 and the County does not have jurisdiction over other cities' development review processes. Councilmembers may want to consider a revision to more accurately reflect the policy direction from the CPP.</li> </ul>
<p>RP-110 King County's planning should strengthen communities by addressing all the elements, resources and needs that make a community whole, <del>((including:))</del> such as housing affordable to all income levels, economic growth and the built environment, environmental sustainability, regional and local mobility, health and human potential, and justice and safety.</p>	Substantive change	<p>Updated to reflect 2022 House Bill 1220 and Countywide Planning Policy housing mandates</p> <p>Clarifying edits to reflect existing intent</p>	County actions support development of and access to housing affordable to all	Countywide Planning Policies H-1, H-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p><del>((RP-112 King County shall incorporate approaches to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and prepare for the impacts of climate change into its land use and transportation planning, economic development efforts, and natural resource management.))</del></p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Redundant to other policies, including new climate change Guiding Principle in RP-207	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>RP-113 The King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map is adopted as part of <del>((this))</del> the Comprehensive Plan. <del>((#))</del> The Land Use Map shall depict<del>((s))</del> the <del>((Urban Growth Area,))</del> Urban Growth Area <del>((B))</del> boundary<del>((,))</del>; land use designations for unincorporated urban areas, the Rural Area, and Natural Resource Lands; and other <del>((land uses))</del> appropriate information. The official Land Use Map shall be maintained in the King County Geographic Information System, and the Land Use Map at the end of this chapter generally represents the official <del>((Comprehensive Plan Land Use M))</del> map.</p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Edited for accuracy and to reflect policy direction, as these are currently written as statements.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>RP-114 When updating the Comprehensive Plan, King County shall <del>((continue its process of reviewing county regulatory and administrative actions))</del> review proposals so as to avoid unconstitutional takings of private property.</p>	Substantive change	To reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed change would limit the scope of when the County considers takings to only comprehensive plan updates, rather than all updates and actions. Further, this is a constitutional requirement, and this</li> </ul>

Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning  
2/7/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive's Rationale	Executive's Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
						policy is unnecessary and could be deleted.
<p>RP-115 (<del>Subarea plans, including area zoning studies, provide detailed land use plans for local geographic areas.</del>) Subarea plans implement and shall be elements of the King County Comprehensive Plan and shall be consistent with the Plan's policies, development regulations, and Land Use Map. <del>(The s)</del>Subarea plans should be consistent with functional plans' facility and service standards. <del>(The s)</del>Subarea plans may include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>a. Identification of policies in the Comprehensive Plan that apply to the subarea;</p> <p>b. Review and update of applicable <del>(e)</del>Community Service Area Subarea <del>(p)</del>Plan policies;</p> <p>c. Specific land uses and implementing zoning, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan;</p> <p>d. Identification of the boundaries of Unincorporated Activity Centers, <u>countywide centers</u>, and Rural Towns;</p> <p>e. Recommendations for the establishment of new Unincorporated Activity Centers<del>(r)</del> and <u>Regional</u>, Community, and Neighborhood Business Centers, if appropriate;</p> <p>f. Recommendations for additional Open Space designations and park sites;</p> <p>g. Recommendations for capital improvements<del>(, the means and schedule for providing them and amendments to functional plans)</del> to support planned land uses <u>and community priorities</u>;</p> <p>h. Resolution of land use and service issues in Potential Annexation Areas;</p> <p>i. Identification of new issues that need resolution at a countywide level;</p> <p>j. Identification of all necessary implementing measures needed to carry out the plan;</p> <p>k. Specific land uses and zoning that encourage healthy, livable communities by promoting physical activity of walking and bicycling; and</p> <p>l. Identification of locations and conditions for special overlay districts.</p>	Substantive change	<p>Countywide centers is added to the "may be included in subarea plans:" in sub-d to reflect the Countywide Planning Policies states that the center should be identified in the comprehensive plan, and that planning for the center can be, but does not have to be, a part of a subarea or center plan, or as a part of the comprehensive plan.</p> <p>Includes clarifying edits to reflect existing intent and to remove a statement that is redundant to the narrative.</p>	Allows for subarea plans, including but not limited to, Community Service Area subarea plans, to consider planning supportive of potential countywide center designations in the Countywide Planning Policies	Countywide Planning Policies Appendix 6 (Part 2.A.1 and Part 2.B.1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Right before the subsections (subs), the sentence could be changed to provide policy direction, by changing "may", to "should".</li> <li>In sub e. "Regional" could be removed. King County has a regional business zone, but not a regional business center. There are no corresponding code changes to implement this change.</li> <li>In sub j., the CSA subarea plans do not identify all implementation measures. This bullet could be reworded to reflect the implementation approach currently in the CSA subarea plans, or could be deleted. The subs are "should/may" statements, and while technically there could be another type of subarea plan (outside the CSA planning program) that could include implementation measures, one has not been done for over a decade, so this sub could be misleading as currently written.</li> <li>In sub l., P-suffix conditions and demonstration project areas could be added.</li> </ul>
<p>RP-117 Functional plans for facilities and services (<del>should</del>):</p> <p>a. <del>Shall ((B))</del>be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and subarea <del>(and neighborhood)</del> plans;</p> <p>b. <del>((Define required))</del> <u>Shall be consistent with</u> service levels that are appropriate for the Urban Growth Area, Rural Area, and Natural Resource Lands;</p> <p>c. <u>May ((P))</u>provide standards for location, design, and operation of public facilities and services;</p> <p>d. <u>Should ((S))</u>specify adequate, stable, and equitable methods of pay for public facilities and services;</p> <p>e. <u>May ((B))</u>be the basis for scheduling needed facilities and services through capital improvement programs; and</p> <p>f. <u>Should ((P))</u>plan for maintenance of existing facilities.</p>	Substantive change	Updated to reflect nuance of what the current adopted plans do and what possible future plans might do	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a policy choice for Councilmembers to retain "should" in sub a and b. or make the change to "shall."</li> </ul>
<p><del>((RP-118 Existing functional plans that have not been adopted as part of this Comprehensive Plan shall remain in effect and continue as official county policy until reviewed and revised to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, or until repealed or replaced. In case of conflict or inconsistency between applicable</del></p>	Clarification of existing policy intent	The County reviewed all functional plans and have updated the Code to reflect current applicability. Given this, this policy is no longer needed.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>

Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning  
2/7/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive's Rationale	Executive's Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
<del>policies in existing community and functional plans and the Comprehensive Plan, the Comprehensive Plan shall govern.</del>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	
<del>RP-119 King County shall prepare functional plans to identify countywide facility and service needs and define ways to fund these consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan. Independent special purpose districts and other public agencies also prepare functional plans that should be considered by King County.)</del>	Substantive change	This is not current/applicable to the current functional planning framework. This was based on a robust functional planning approach in the 1994 Comprehensive Plan. The County now only has two functional plans, and instead has other non-functional plans that address the intent of this policy. But those are implementation/ strategic plans and are not formally part of the Comprehensive Plan. See new "other planning" subsection in Chapter 1.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<del>RP-120 King County will measure and assess agency performance and the achievement of Countywide Planning Policies and Comprehensive Plan goals.</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Duplicative of I-301	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<del>RP-121 Using best management practices, King County shall develop assessment and review tools to ensure that health, equity, social, and environmental justice impacts are considered in the development, implementation and funding of county projects and programs.</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	These tools have been developed. The policy is no longer needed	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<del>RP-122 Planning in King County shall be consistent with the King County Strategic Plan by: a. Encouraging vibrant, economically thriving and sustainable communities; b. Enhancing the county's natural resources and the environment; c. Supporting safe communities; and d. Providing equitable opportunities for all individuals.)</del>	Clarification of existing policy intent	Global edit throughout the Comprehensive Plan to remove King County Strategic Plan references, consistent with Ordinance 19540.	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> n/a</li> <li>• <u>Anticipated timeline:</u> n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues identified.</li> </ul>
RP-201 In its policies and regulations, King County shall <del>((strive to))</del> promote sustainable and equitable neighborhoods and communities. King County shall seek to ensure that the benefits and impacts of the <del>((e))</del> County's activities are equitably distributed among all segments of the population.	Substantive change	Updated to reflect current practice and equity goals	Improved equitable outcomes for priority populations, including more accessible housing	Countywide Planning Polices H-18, H-19  King County Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planned implementation of proposal:</u> Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>• <u>Description of proposed regulations:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Expand voluntary inclusionary housing program to all urban unincorporated areas and two rural towns.</li> <li>○ Streamline permitting processes for and incentivize development of middle housing.</li> <li>○ Streamline permitting processes for emergency housing.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <u>Anticipated resource need:</u> No</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is a policy choice to remove "strive to." The change would strengthen the policy and is consistent with the CPPs, county equity goals, and other policies in the KCCP.</li> <li>• Councilmembers may wish to define equitable neighborhoods and communities in the glossary.</li> </ul>

Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning  
2/7/24

Policy	Type of Change	Executive's Rationale	Executive's Anticipated outcome	Consistent with other plans	Executive's Planned Implementation	Policy Staff Comments
RP-203 King County shall continue to <del>((support the reduction of))</del> limit sprawl by focusing growth and future development in the Urban Growth Area, consistent with adopted growth targets.	Clarification of existing policy intent	Streamlining and to reflect current practice	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a policy choice to remove "support the reduction of." This change would strengthen the policy from "supporting reduction" to "limit." This change is consistent with the language in CPP DP-17.</li> </ul>
RP-205 King County <del>((will))</del> shall, when implementing and evaluating its land use policies, programs, investments and practices, <del>((seek to reduce health inequities))</del> identify and proactively address issues of equity <del>((;))</del> ; racial, social, and environmental justice; disparate health outcomes; and physical, economic, and cultural displacement <del>((when evaluating and implementing its land use policies, programs, and practices))</del> .	Substantive change	<p>Reflects current practice of evaluating equity and racial and social justice impacts, and Countywide Planning Policies about community investment strategies, engagement activities and planning, and addressing health disparities through land use strategies.</p> <p>Additional edits for clarity, and to reflect that "will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p>	Ensure impacts are more fully considered in planning practices, which can result in more equitable outcomes for priority populations	<p>Countywide Planning Policy DP-6</p> <p>King County Equity and Social Justice Strategic Plan.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Councilmembers may wish to define "displacement" in the glossary. The CPPs have a definition of displacement that includes physical, economic, and cultural components.</li> </ul>
RP-206 King County <del>((will))</del> shall protect, restore and enhance its natural resources and environment <del>((;))</del> and encourage sustainable agriculture and forestry <del>((, reduce climate pollution and prepare for the effects of climate change, including consideration of the inequities and disparities that may be caused by climate change))</del> .	Clarification of existing policy intent	<p>Climate elements addressed in new Guiding Principle RP-207</p> <p>"Will" is predictive but "shall" is directive; policies should be directive, not statements of what is anticipated to happen</p>	n/a	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: n/a</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: n/a</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: n/a</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues identified.</li> </ul>
<p>RP-207 King County shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions, advance climate equity, and prepare for climate change impacts. The following principles shall guide and be integrated in County climate action:</p> <p>a. Act with urgency and intention;</p> <p>b. Lead with racial justice and equity;</p> <p>c. Respond to community needs and priorities;</p> <p>d. Use and develop a comprehensive and data- and science-driven approach;</p> <p>e. Seek systemic solutions;</p> <p>f. Build partnerships;</p> <p>g. Lead through local action;</p> <p>h. Prioritize health and co-benefits; and</p> <p>i. Be transparent and accountable.</p>	New policy	<p>Consolidates climate elements from RP-206, with updates to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>align with the three main sections and guiding principles of the Strategic Climate Action Plan;</li> <li>reflect new climate change Growth Management Act planning goal; and</li> <li>address directives of the Countywide Planning Policies.</li> </ul>	Advances the County's work to establish a framework for its climate change-related work and to improve implementation and related community outcomes.	<p>Countywide Planning Policies DP-5, DP-6, EN-5, and EN-28</p> <p>Strategic Climate Action Plan</p> <p>2023 <a href="#">House Bill 1181</a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planned implementation of proposal: Programmatic and Regulatory</li> <li>Description of proposed regulations: Recent updates to county green building standards in K.C.C. Title 18</li> <li>Anticipated resource need: No</li> <li>Anticipated timeline: Ongoing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Climate change impacts are already occurring. "Prepare for" could be changed to "Respond to". The 2020 SCAP uses "prepare for," so a change here may also lead to a change in the 2025 SCAP.</li> <li>The subs to this policy are strategies, not policy intent. These could be moved to the lead-in text or deleted.</li> </ul>