



Snoqualmie Valley

Public Schools

8001 Silva Avenue S.E., P.O. Box 400, Snoqualmie, WA 98065
Phone (425) 831-8100 ♦ Fax (425) 831-8040 ♦ www.svsd410.org

May 16, 2022

Mr. Jim Chan, Director
King County Permitting & Environmental Review
35030 SE Douglas Street, Suite 210
Snoqualmie, WA 98065

RECEIVED
MAY 16 2022
KC DLS/PERMITS

Dear Mr. Chan:

Enclosed are the Determination of Non-Significance ("DNS") and the Environmental Checklist for the adoption of the Snoqualmie Valley School District #410 2022 Six Year Capital Facilities Plan.

The comment period on the DNS expires at 12:00 p.m. on June 2, 2022.

Sincerely,

Ryan Stokes
Assistant Superintendent of Finance & Operations

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

The help links in this checklist are intended to assist users in accessing guidance on the checklist questions. Links are provided to the specific sections of the guidance applicable to the questions. However, the links may not work correctly on all devices. If the links do not work on your device, open the guidance at www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sepa/apguide/EnvChecklistGuidance.html and navigate to the appropriate section.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

This is a nonproject action related to the adoption of the Snoqualmie Valley School District's 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan ("Capital Facilities Plan") for the purposes of planning for the District's facilities needs and establishing eligibility and a request for school impact fees. The Capital Facilities Plan has been prepared in compliance with the Growth Management Act and the provisions of relevant local ordinances. King County and the cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend will consider incorporating the District's Capital Facilities Plan into their Comprehensive Plans. A copy of the District's Plan is available for review in the District's offices.

2. Name of applicant:

Snoqualmie Valley School District #410

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

*Snoqualmie Valley School District #410
8001 Silva Avenue SE
PO Box 400
Snoqualmie, WA 98065
(425) 831-8000*

4. Date checklist prepared:

May 11, 2022

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Snoqualmie Valley School District #410 is the lead agency pursuant to WAC 197-11-965 and District Policy No. 6890.

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

A draft of the Snoqualmie Valley School District's 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan is available. The draft Capital Facilities Plan will be forwarded to the King County School Technical Review Committee for review. The Snoqualmie Valley School District Board of Directors will consider approval on or about June 2, 2022. The adopted plan will be submitted to King County and the cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend for inclusion in each jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan. The District will continue to update the Capital Facilities Plan annually. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan either have been will be subject to project-specific environmental review when project details are known and able to be analyzed..

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The Capital Facilities Plan sets forth the capital improvement projects that the District plans to

implement over the next six years. The District anticipates constructing new elementary school capacity, adding a preschool facility, increasing transportation yard capacity, and adding portables throughout the District. The District recently completed the rebuild and expansion of Mount Si High School. The Mount Si High School project and preschool facility are funded through a 2015 approved Capital Bond. Other projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan are subject to future funding.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The Mount Si High School project was issued a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance by the City of Snoqualmie on July 13, 2016. Other projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will undergo additional environmental review, when appropriate, as they are developed.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

Not applicable. This is a nonproject action.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The District anticipates that King County and the cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend will amend their Comprehensive Plans to adopt this Capital Facilities Plan.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This is a non-project action. This proposal involves the adoption of the Snoqualmie Valley School District's 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan for the purpose of planning the District's facilities needs. The District's Capital Facilities Plan will be incorporated into the respective Comprehensive Plans for King County and the cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be subject to project-specific environmental review. A copy of the Capital Facilities Plan may be viewed at the District's offices.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The Capital Facilities Plan will affect the Snoqualmie Valley School District. The District includes an area of approximately 400 square miles. The cities of Sammamish, North Bend and Snoqualmie and parts of unincorporated King County fall within the District's boundaries. A detailed map of the District's boundaries can be viewed at the District's offices.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

The Snoqualmie Valley School District is comprised of a variety of topographic land forms and gradients. Specific topographic characteristics of the sites at which the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan are located have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Specific slope characteristics at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Specific soil types found at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Project-level environmental review for any projects identified in the Capital Facilities Plan will include identification of any agricultural soils and/or prime farmland.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Unstable soils may exist within the Snoqualmie Valley School District. Specific soil limitations on individual project sites have been or will be identified at the time of project-level environmental review when appropriate.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject, when appropriate, to project-specific environmental review and local approval at the time of proposal. Proposed grading projects, as well as the purpose, type, quantity, and source of any fill materials to be used have been or will be identified at that time.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

It is possible that erosion could occur as a result of the construction projects currently proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan. The erosion impacts of the individual projects will be evaluated

on a site-specific basis at the time of project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Individual projects have been or will be subject to local approval processes.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The proposed new school facilities included in the Capital Facilities Plan have required or will require the construction of impervious surfaces. The extent of any impervious cover constructed will vary with each capital facilities project included in the Capital Facilities Plan. This issue has been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

The erosion potential of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan and appropriate control measures have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Relevant erosion reduction and control requirements have been or will be met

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Various emissions, many construction-related, may result from the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan. The air-quality impacts of each project have been or will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

The individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to project-specific environmental review when appropriate and relevant local approval processes. The District has been or will be required to comply with all applicable air regulations and air permit requirements. Proposed measures specific to the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

There is a network of surface water bodies within the Snoqualmie Valley School District. The surface water bodies that are in the immediate vicinity of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. When necessary, the surface water regimes and flow patterns have been or will be researched and incorporated into the designs of the individual projects.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may require work near the surface waters located within the Snoqualmie Valley School District. Applicable local approval requirements have been or will be satisfied.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Information with respect to the placement or removal of fill and dredge material as a component of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be provided during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Applicable local regulations have been or will be satisfied.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Any surface water withdrawals or diversions required in connection with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Each project included in the Capital Facilities Plan, if located in a floodplain area, has been or will be required to meet applicable local regulations for flood areas.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Specific information regarding the discharge of waste materials that may be required as a result of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be provided during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may impact groundwater resources. The impact of the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on groundwater resources has been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to applicable local regulations. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

The discharges of waste material that may take place in connection with the projects included in the Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may have storm water runoff consequences. Specific information regarding the storm water impacts of each project has been or will be provided during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to applicable local storm water regulations.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may result in the discharge of waste materials into ground or surface waters. The specific impacts of each project on ground and surface waters have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Each project will be subject to all applicable regulations regarding the discharge of waste materials into ground and surface waters. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns. The impact of the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on drainage patterns has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Each project has been or will be subject to applicable local regulations. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Specific measures to reduce or control runoff impacts associated with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

4. Plants

- a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

A variety of vegetative zones are located within the Snoqualmie Valley School District. Inventories of the vegetation located on the sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be developed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Some of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may require the removal or alteration of vegetation. The specific impacts on vegetation of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The specific impacts to these species from the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Measures to preserve or enhance vegetation at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Each project is or will be subject to applicable local landscaping requirements.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Inventories of noxious weeds and invasive species located on or near sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

5. Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

An inventory of species that have been observed on or near the sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be developed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Inventories of threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be developed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on migration routes have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Appropriate measures to preserve or enhance wildlife have been or will be determined during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

Inventories of invasive animal species located on or near sites of the projects proposed in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction requires the completion of a life-cycle cost analysis of all heating, lighting, and insulation systems before it will permit specific school projects to proceed. The energy needs of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined at the time of specific engineering and site design planning when appropriate.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
If so, generally describe.

The impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on the solar potential of adjacent projects have been and will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Energy conservation measures proposed in connection with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be considered during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?
If so, describe.

Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

Known or possible contamination on sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

Hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect the project development and design on sites intended for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

Toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the development, construction, or operation of any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan comply or will comply with all current codes, standards, rules, and regulations. Individual projects have been or will be subject to project-specific environmental review and local approval at the time they are developed when appropriate.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

A variety of noises from traffic, construction, residential, commercial and industrial areas exists within the Snoqualmie Valley School District. The specific noise sources that may affect the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may create normal construction noises that will exist on a short-term basis only. The construction projects could increase traffic around the construction sites on a short-term basis. Because the construction of additional capacity at the high school and elementary grade levels will increase the capacity of the District's facilities, they may create a slight increase in traffic-related or operations-related noise on a long-term basis. The placement of portables at school sites will increase the capacity of school facilities and may create a slight increase in traffic-related or operations-related noise. Neither of these potential increases is expected to be significant. Please see the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

The projected noise impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be evaluated and mitigated during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Each project will be subject to applicable local regulations.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

There are a variety of land uses within the Snoqualmie Valley School District, including residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, utility, open space, recreational, etc. There are a variety of land uses in the District, including residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, utility, open space, recreational, etc. Impacts of projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on land uses on nearby

or adjacent properties have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

The known sites for the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have not been used recently for agriculture and do not involve any conversion to nonfarm or nonforest use. Project level environmental review will identify and describe, as appropriate, whether any subsequently identified sites were used as working farmlands or forest lands and any related conversion

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

Any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be analyzed during project-level environmental review when appropriate to determine if the proposal will affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest lands.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

Any structures located on the proposed sites for the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

Any structures that will be demolished as a result of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan, have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? *The sites that are covered under the Capital Facilities Plan have a variety of zoning classifications under the applicable zoning codes. Site-specific zoning information has been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Development of a school project on any site will require zoning that permits the school project.*

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Inventories of the comprehensive plan designations for the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be completed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Development of a school project on any site will require a comprehensive plan designation that supports the school project.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Shoreline master program designations of the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

Any critical area affected by any of the projects included in the Plan have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

The Snoqualmie Valley School District currently serves approximately 6,875 students. The student population is expected to increase to approximately 6,952 by the 2027-28 school year. The District employs approximately 900 people.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

Any displacement of people caused by the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. The Mount Si High School project did involve displacement of residents in five single family homes as a result of condemnation or in lieu of condemnation acquisition related to the project. However, it is not anticipated that the Capital Facilities Plan, or any of the other projects contained therein, will displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to project-specific environmental review and local approval when appropriate. Proposed mitigating measures have been or will be proposed at that time, if necessary. The condemnation/in lieu of condemnation actions related to the Mount Si High School project included fair market value payments to property owners.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The compatibility of the specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan with existing uses and plans has been or will be assessed as part of the comprehensive planning process and during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

The compatibility of the specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance has been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing units would be provided in connection with the completion of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

With the exception of the condemnation/in lieu of condemnation acquisitions described in Section 8 above, it is not anticipated that the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will eliminate any housing units. The impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan on existing housing have been or will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Measures to reduce or control any housing impacts caused by the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The aesthetic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

The aesthetic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Appropriate measures to reduce or control the aesthetic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be determined on a project-specific basis when appropriate.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

The light or glare impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

The light or glare impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Off-site sources of light or glare that may affect the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be evaluated during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Proposed measures to mitigate light and glare impacts have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

There are a variety of formal and informal recreational facilities within the Snoqualmie Valley School District.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

The recreational impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan, including proposed new school facilities, may enhance recreational opportunities and uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Adverse recreational effects of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be subject to mitigation during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. A school site usually provides recreational facilities to the community in the form of play fields and gymnasiums

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

There are no known places or objects listed on, or proposed for, such registers for the project sites included in the Capital Facilities Plan. The existence of historic and cultural resources on or next to the sites has been or will be addressed in more detail during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

An inventory of historical sites at or near the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be developed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Appropriate measures will be proposed on a project-specific basis when appropriate.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

Any needed relevant measures proposed to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources, including necessary plans and permits, for any projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be identified and described during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The impact on public streets and highways of the individual projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The relationship between the specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan and public transit has been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

An inventory of parking spaces located at the sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan and the impacts of specific projects on parking availability have been or will be conducted during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The need for new streets or roads, or improvements to existing streets and roads has been will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Use of water, rail, or air transportation has been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The traffic impacts of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

Any potential impacts of the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads and streets in the area on the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

The mitigation of traffic impacts associated with the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan has been or will be addressed during project-level environmental review when appropriate.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The District does not anticipate that the projects identified in the Capital Facilities Plan will significantly increase the need for public services.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

New school facilities have been or will be built with automatic security systems, fire alarms, smoke alarms, heat sensors, and sprinkler systems.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,
other _____

Electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, and sewer are or can be made available at the known sites of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan. The types of utilities available at specific project sites will be addressed in more detail during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Utility revisions and construction needs have been or will be identified during project-specific environmental review when appropriate.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Name of signee: **Ryan Stokes**

Position and Agency/Organization: **Assistant Superintendent – Snoqualmie Valley School District**

Date Submitted: May 11, 2022

D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

To the extent the Capital Facilities Plan makes it more likely that school facilities will be constructed and/or renovated and remodeled, some of these environmental impacts will be more likely. Additional impermeable surfaces, such as roofs, parking lots, sidewalks, access roads, and playgrounds could increase storm water runoff, which could enter surface or ground waters. Heating systems, emergency generators, and other school equipment that is installed pursuant to the Capital Facilities Plan could result in air emissions. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan should not require the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances, with the possible exception of the storage of diesel fuel or gasoline for emergency generating equipment. The District does not anticipate a significant increase in the production of noise from its facilities, although the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will increase the District's student capacities.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Proposed measures to mitigate any such increases described above have been or will be addressed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Stormwater detention and runoff will meet applicable County and/or City requirements and may be subject to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permitting requirements. Discharges to air will meet applicable air pollution control requirements. Fuel oil will be stored in accordance with local and state requirements.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The Capital Facilities Plan itself will have no impact on these elements of the environment. The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may require clearing plants off of the relevant sites and a loss to animal habitat. These impacts have been or will be addressed in more detail during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. The projects included in the Plan are not likely to generate severe impacts on fish or marine life.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Specific measures to protect and conserve plants, animals, and fish cannot be identified at this time. Specific mitigation proposals will be identified, however, during project-specific environmental review when appropriate

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The construction of the projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will require the consumption of energy.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

The projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan will be constructed in accordance with applicable energy efficiency standards.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The Capital Facilities Plan and individual projects contained therein should have no impact on these resources.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Appropriate measures have been or will be proposed during project-specific environmental review when appropriate. Updates of this Plan will be coordinated with King County and the cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend as part of the Growth Management Act process, one of the purposes of which is to protect environmentally sensitive areas. To the extent the District's facilities planning process is part of the overall growth management planning process, these resources are more likely to be protected.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The Capital Facilities Plan will not have any impact on land or shoreline use that is incompatible with existing comprehensive plans, land use codes, or shoreline management plans. The District does not anticipate that the Capital Facilities Plan or the projects contained therein will directly affect land and shoreline uses in the area served by the District.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

No measures to avoid or reduce land use impacts resulting from the Capital Facilities Plan or the projects contained therein are proposed at this time.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The construction projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan may create temporary increases in the District's need for public services and utilities. The new school facilities will increase the District's demands on transportation and utilities. These increases are not expected to be significant

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

No measures to reduce or respond to such demands are proposed at this time.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The Capital Facilities Plan will not conflict with any laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. Specific projects included in the Capital Facilities Plan have been or will be reviewed under project-level environmental review requirements. The Washington Growth Management Act (the GMA) outlines 13 broad goals, including adequate provision of necessary public facilities and services. Schools are among these necessary facilities and services. The Capital Facilities Plan satisfies the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070, and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in the District.

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Issued with a 14 Day comment period

Description of Proposal:

This threshold determination analyzes the environmental impacts associated with the following actions, which are so closely related to each other that they are in effect a single action:

1. The adoption of the Snoqualmie Valley School District's 2022 Six Year Capital Facilities Plan by the Snoqualmie Valley School District for the purposes of planning for the facilities needs of the District.
2. The amendment of the King County Comprehensive Plan by King County to include the Snoqualmie Valley School District's 2022 Capital Facilities Plan as part of the Capital Facilities Plan Element of the King County Comprehensive Plan.
3. The amendment of the Comprehensive Plans of the cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend to incorporate the Snoqualmie Valley School District's 2022 Capital Facilities Plan into the Capital Facilities Element of each jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan.

Proponent: Snoqualmie Valley School District No. 410

Location of the Proposal:

The Snoqualmie Valley School District includes an area of approximately 400 square miles. The cities of Sammamish, Snoqualmie and North Bend fall within the District's boundaries, as do parts of unincorporated King County.

Lead Agency: Snoqualmie Valley School District is the lead agency pursuant to WAC 197-11-926.

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal does not have a probable significant adverse environmental impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after a review of the completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public upon request.

This Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date of issue. Comments must be submitted by 12:00 p.m., June 2, 2022. The responsible official will reconsider the DNS based on timely comments and may retain, modify, or, if significant adverse impacts are likely,

withdraw the DNS. If the DNS is retained, it will be final after the expiration of the comment deadline.

Responsible Official:

Lance Gibbon, Superintendent

Telephone: Snoqualmie Valley School District #410
(425)-831-8000
Address: 8001 Silva Ave SE, P.O. Box 400
Snoqualmie, WA. 98065

Date: May 11, 2022

Signature: _____



Date of Issue: May 11, 2022
Date Published: May 16, 2022 & May 27, 2022
(Daily Journal of Commerce)
&
May 20, 2022 & May 27, 2022
(Valley Record)