3

20 – 2 – 02539 – 34 ORGMT 48 Order Granting Motion Petition 11679262



SUPERIOR COURT THURSTON COUNTY, WA 2022 JAN 13 PM 3: 11

LINDA MYHRE ENLOW THURSTON COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY

CITY OF TACOMA, BIRCH BAY WATER AND SEWER DISTRICT, KITSAP COUNTY, SOUTHWEST SUBURBAN SEWER DISTRICT, and ALDERWOOD WATER & WASTEWATER DISTRICT, Municipal Corporations and Political Subdivisions of the State of Washington,

Petitioners,

Case No. 20-2-02539-34

ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

٧.

STATE WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Respondent.

13 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on November 19, 2021 on a Petition for Judicial Review and Declaratory Judgment.

16

15

The Court considered the following pleadings in addition to the agency record below and any supplements:

17

y supplements.

18 19

2. First Amended Petition for Judicial Review and Declaratory Judgment

1. Petition for Judicial Review and Declaratory Judgment with Attachments

20

 Respondent State of Washington, Department of Ecology's Answer to First Amended Petition for Judicial Review and Declaratory Judgment

22

4. Petitioner's Opening Brief

23

5. Respondent Department of Ecology's Brief

24

6. Petitioner's Reply Brief

25

ORDER ON PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1 of 3

THURSTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 2000 Lakeridge Dr. S.W., Bldg. 2 Olympia, WA 98502 (360) 786-5560 4

7

8

10

15 16

> 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 7. Petitioner's Reply Brief-Cited Portions of Administrative Record
- 8. Respondent Department of Ecology's Statement of Additional Authority
- 9. Petitioner's Supplemental Briefing

The Court having considered the certified record, the briefs and pleadings filed by the parties and the authorities therein, the argument made by the parties at the hearing, and the briefs filed in response to the published opinion in *Northwest Pulp & Paper Ass'n v. Dep't of Ecology*, No. 55164-I-II 2021 WL 5898141 (Div. II, Dec. 14, 2021) this Court hereby GRANTS RELIEF as follows:

- The Court declares INVALID the Dissolved Oxygen (DO) standard Rule at page 20 together with any related pages in the January 15, 2019 Boundary Scenarios Report as the adoption of a rule in violation of the procedural requirements for rulemaking under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA.)
- The Court declares INVALID the DO Impairment Rule at pages 12, 60-62 together
 with any related pages of the January 15, 2019 Bounding Scenarios Report as the
 adoption of rules in violation of the procedural requirements for rulemaking under
 the APA.
- The Court declares INVALID the "total inorganic nitrogen" (TIN) Cap Letter as the adoption of a rule in violation of the procedural requirements for rulemaking under the APA.
- The Court declares INVALID the DO Standard Rule because it violates applicable federal and state law and thus exceeds Ecology's statutory authority and is arbitrary and capricious on that basis.
- The Court declares INVALID the DO Impairment Rule because it violates applicable federal and state law and thus exceeds Ecology's statutory authority and is arbitration and capricious on that basis.

2 of 3

24

25

- The Court declares INVALID the TIN Cap Letter because it violates applicable federal and state law and thus exceeds Ecology's statutory authority and is arbitrary and capricious on that basis.
- The Court declares that Ecology may not use annual TIN loading limits on all municipal wastewater treatment plants discharging to Puget Sound in reviewing and conditioning general or individual National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits without complying with statutory rulemaking procedures.
- Ecology is authorized to implement temporary procedures subject to finalization under proper rulemaking authority. As in *Hillis v. State, Dept. of Ecology,* 131 Wash.2d 373, 932 P.2d 139 (1997) "[w]hat priorities and procedures Ecology uses is within its discretion, after rule making has occurred." (RCW 34.05.350).

Decision

The Court agrees with the approach in *Hillis* and thus REMANDS to Ecology for consideration of the immediate adoption of temporary emergency rules while regular rule-making proceeds.

DATED: January 13, 2022

ISLAMANIA DA AMAMILO