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## INTRODUCTION

The Transportation Needs Report (TNR) is a long-term, comprehensive list of recommended improvements to serve unincorporated King County's transportation needs. In determining King County's needs, the Road Services Division uses professional engineering standards, safety records, adopted service levels and citizen comments. Defining transportation needs also takes into account projects and current studies in cities, adjacent counties, and on state highways. The transportation needs are those currently known (existing) as well as those that are forecast due to regionally-adopted targets for growth and development.

The Roads Service Division has recently completed Phase I of the Roads Operational Master Plan (ROMP), which will guide how the Division will build, operate and maintain the road system now and in the future. ROMP is anticipated to significantly change the way transportation needs are prioritized. In recommendations for the Division's service areas and deliverables, ROMP calls for these prioritized outcomes:

1. Preservation of the existing roadway facilities network
2. Managing and enhancing mobility through system efficiencies
3. Addressing concurrency-driven roadway capacity needs

In the accomplishment of these prioritized outcomes, enhancing the safety of the users of King County's roadways while meeting local, state and federal mandates is inherent in all of the Road Services Division's program areas and deliverables.

Another ROMP recommendation is to "Prioritize Asset Life Cycle in Rural Areas". The Roads Services Division will be undertaking increased activities to preserve the road system by investing in road reconstruction at an earlier timeframe before the road is allowed to significantly deteriorate (and cost a greater amount of money to fix).

The TNR is a functional plan of the King County Comprehensive Plan. Together with the Roads SixYear CIP and the Roads annual budget, it fulfills the requirement of growth management legislation (RCW 36.70A.070) for a transportation capital facilities plan element of the King County comprehensive plan. The TNR was prepared consistent with all requirements of growth management legislation including:

1. It is based on the land use element of the comprehensive plan.
2. Its list of transportation needs and recommended improvements was developed using travel demand forecasts that are based on the regionally-adopted growth targets.
3. It includes a financial analysis that reflects the most recent land use changes, project amendments, costs, and financial revenue assumptions.

The TNR horizon year is 2022, which is consistent with regionally-adopted targets for population and employment growth.

The schedule for updating the TNR has been changed to coordinate with major updates to the Comprehensive Plan. Starting with the major Comprehensive Plan update of 2004, the TNR will be updated every four-years, with an optional technical update submitted in the second year between Comprehensive Plan updates. The TNR was last adopted in 2008, and this document will serve as a technical update to the TNR 2008.

## PURPOSE

The TNR serves the following purposes:
Relationship to King County Comprehensive Plan 2008: A primary purpose of the TNR is to fulfill certain requirements of state growth management legislation for comprehensive planning. These requirements as outlined in state legislation (RCW 36.70A. 070 (6)) are:

1. Specific actions and requirements for bringing into compliance locally-owned transportation facilities or services that are below an established level of service standard;
2. Forecasts of traffic for at least ten years based on the adopted growth targets and land use plan to provide information on the location, timing, and capacity needs of future growth;
3. Identification of state and local system needs to meet current and future demands;
4. An analysis of funding capability to judge needs against probable funding resources;
5. A multiyear financing plan based on the needs identified;

The TNR needs list and financial analyses fulfill these requirements. The needs list was developed using forecasts of traffic for the 2022 horizon year based on regionally-adopted growth targets and the land use element of the King County Comprehensive Plan 2008.

Transportation Planning and Funding: The TNR helps King County make decisions on planning and funding of transportation improvements. It provides guidance based on policies, strategies, and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan. It follows established processes linking land use planning with transportation needs.

The TNR plays a significant role in evaluating the difference between identified transportation needs and future expected revenues for King County. This analysis assesses the County's ability to keep pace with the demands of growth and assists in developing financial strategies to deal with unmet needs.

Recently the TNR has been used to assess the feasibility of areas proposed to annex into nearby cities (Potential Annexation Areas, or PAAs) or incorporate into new cities. The cities can use the TNR to see the future projects identified for the area and the potential future transportation cost that they might incur.

Coordination: The TNR helps to coordinate transportation improvements connecting King County with other jurisdictions including the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), adjacent cities, and counties. It also helps coordination between different divisions of the King County Department of Transportation. By clearly showing the location and scope of intended transportation improvements as well as the priority of these improvements, the TNR provides other jurisdictions with information to use in appropriately coordinating project implementation. Additionally, the private sector
development community can use the TNR to identify areas where future growth could be accommodated by improved facilities.

Development Review: The TNR serves as a major source of information in the review of proposed land developments and in determining appropriate mitigation measures required as a condition of new development approval.

Mitigation Payment System: King County has established a Mitigation Payment System (MPS) to charge developments for the transportation costs of their impacts. The MPS uses the TNR to identify growth-related projects that will be part of the impact fee system and receive the MPS fees.

Road Vacation: Property owners can petition King County to have portions of the County's unused road rights-of-way sold to them if the property is not needed for current or future transportation purposes. The TNR is used to indicate the location of future projects on the road system in this road vacation process.

## Role Within the Road Services Division

The development of the TNR is part of a comprehensive planning process that is guided by state growth management legislation. This process, as depicted in the flow diagram below, links the guidance of the King County Comprehensive Plan and the Roads Strategic Plan with the development of the TNR, the six-year Roads Capital Improvement Program, and the Roads annual budget. The MPS program, which is authorized by growth management legislation and required by King County ordinance, is used to collect impact fees to help build growth-related road projects. The concurrency program identifies areas and roadways that are not meeting the County's level-of-service standard for traffic congestion, and this information on road deficiencies feeds into both the Transportation Needs Report and the Capital Improvement Program.


## DEVELOPMENT OF THE TNR 2010

As the King County Comprehensive Plan undergoes a major update each four years, a major update to the TNR will occur at the same time. In the two year mid period, the TNR will be limited to technical updates which typically recognize recent project completions or new analysis which calls for new projects. As with the King County Comprehensive Plan, the two-year update will not include changes to transportation policies, growth targets or the horizon year of the plan.

For this update to the TNR, the following major changes were incorporated into the TNR 2010.

## Countywide Guardrail Program

Following a technical analysis, several dozen guardrail corridors were eliminated from the TNR as no longer meeting guardrail warrants. Other locations were merged into existing corridors. The individual guardrail corridor changes are identified in the Change Report.

## Annexations

Cities continue to annex portions of unincorporated King County, and when the annexed properties include TNR project locations, they are removed from the County's TNR. The major annexations occurring since the TNR 2008 were located in the south White Center area (to the City of Burien), east North Bend (to the City of North Bend) and the Panther Lake area of Soos Creek (to the City of Kent). The City of Kirkland has recently voted to annex the Juanita, Finn Hill and Kingsgate areas, but these project changes will not be reflected until the TNR 2012.

## Capital Project Completions

Numerous capital projects were completed since the adoption of the Transportation Needs Report 2008, and these completed projects will be deleted from the needs list.

## High Accident Locations (HAL) and High Accident Road Segments (HARS)

Following the publishing of the Transportation Needs Report 2008, the Road Services Division completed the High Accident Locations and Road Segments Analysis (Road Safety Audits), which identifies the locations that meet the criteria for a high number of collisions. These locations were determined from accident records which had a minimum of nine accidents per location over a three year period.
Recommended solutions to the accident problems were developed and project costs and priorities were calculated. These were added to the TNR.

## Signal Warrant Priority Array

The latest analysis of intersections was completed in January, 2009. Intersections which met at least one traffic warrant for a traffic signal were added to the TNR with the scope of the project as "Intersection Operational Improvement". When the highest priority locations receive funding, they will be evaluated for traffic signals, roundabouts, turn channels or other treatments.

## Operational Intersection Improvements (OP-INT-**)

In an effort to streamline the recommendations for intersections, a number of locations which represented operational improvements have now been combined with the signal warrants needs for the same location. The improvement could cover a range of treatments, which will be decided upon further study. The previous TNR list had one recommendation for a traffic signal and a separate recommendation for possible turn lanes in the same intersection.

## Prioritization Processes -- Healthscape

King County has been active in promoting the "Healthscape" initiative. Healthscape is a program which attempts to tie together the factors of land use, transportation, air quality and health to maximize the closely-correlated benefits of each. The County worked with a consultant in 2007 to develop a "Transportation Programming Tool" which evaluates the effectiveness of pedestrian projects and their potential for increasing pedestrian accessibility.

All pedestrian projects were evaluated with the new Transportation Programming Tool and assigned high, medium and low priorities. The priority list was further stratified into urban and rural projects.

A more detailed description of the Healthscape Transportation Programming Tool is found in the Appendix C of this document and also at the following internet location.

> http://www.kingcounty.gov/sites/transportation/healthscape/tools.aspx

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND SHORTFALL

A financial analysis was developed to balance projected needs with anticipated revenue. Revenues were projected to the horizon year for the Road Fund, Federal, State, and MPS revenues. Revenues were adjusted to take into account the recent annexations of Panther Lake and the southern portion of White Center.

Projected needs were expressed in constant 2010 dollars and were totaled for the TNR program through the year 2022. The shortfall is calculated by subtracting the total projected needs by total projected revenues for the TNR time period.

Comparing projected revenues with projected needs reveals a shortfall of $\$ 736,761$ million to the year 2022. Summary cost and revenue estimates are included in Appendix D of this document. Different revenue assumptions for each edition of the TNR as well as different plan horizon years make a yearly trend line of the shortfall difficult to develop, but generally show a trend of increasing growth of the financial shortfall:

Much of the financial shortfall is comprised of project costs in the designated Urban area which will eventually become annexed into cities. The following table shows the breakdown of Rural project costs and Urban Potential Annexation Area (PAA) project costs.

## Project Costs - Urban and Rural Areas

In thousands of dollars

| URBAN AREA | Project Costs |
| :--- | ---: |
| Urban - East Federal Way PAA | $\$ 68,479$ |
| Urban - East Renton PAA | $\$ 17,518$ |
| Urban - Eastgate PAA | $\$ 5,878$ |
| Urban - Fairwood PAA | $\$ 21,790$ |
| Urban - Federal Way PAA | $\$ 1,654$ |
| Urban - Issaquah PAA | $\$ 26,768$ |
| Urban - Kent NE PAA <br> (annexation effective July, 2010. Cost includes a <br> project partially within city) | $\$ 4,192$ |
| Urban - Kirkland PAA <br> (annexation effective July, 2011) | $\$ 81,992$ |
| Urban - North Highline PAA <br> (\$149,065 attributed to South Park Bridge) | $\$ 171,651$ |
| Urban - Not in primary PAAs | $\$ 27,599$ |
| Urban - West Hill PAA | $\$ 16,214$ |
|  | $\$ 443,735$ |
| Total URBAN Costs | $\$ 688,572$ |
| Total RURAL Costs |  |

The financial shortfall is an indication of King County's ability (or lack of ability) to serve the unincorporated area. This shortfall must be addressed by delaying improvements or by finding new sources of revenue or by some combination of the two strategies.

There are several methods available to address this shortfall. Additional revenue sources could be pursued. Implementation of needed improvements could be phased or delayed. Future development
could be delayed, phased, or scaled back to assure the timely availability of needed infrastructure. These and perhaps other strategies will be employed and incorporated into future TNRs, CIPs, and budgets to balance needs with available revenues.


## NEEDS LIST for the Transportation Needs Report 2010

Needs are divided into chapters based on sub-areas of King County, in the following order:

1) Bear Creek
2) East King County
3) East Sammamish
4) Enumclaw
5) Federal Way
6) North Highline / West Hill
7) Newcastle
8) Northshore
9) Snoqualmie Valley
10) Soos Creek
11) Tahoma/Raven Heights
12) Vashon Island

## LEGEND for Needs List

Number - Unique identifier for project PAA - Potential Annexation Area (urban locations) Location - Where project is located
Need - The primary purpose of the proposed project

## PRIORITIES - determined by individual programs Other data fields -

ITS - Intelligent Transportation Systems Equestrian - "X" indicates the Safety - HAL HARS Signal programs location within the designated Bridge - Bridge and structure priorities Reconst. - Major roadway maintenance
"Equestrian Communities" of Rural King County.
Guardrail - Guardrail installation and repair
Oper. - Traffic-oriented operational improvements
Capacity - Road Widening
Nonmotorized - Sidewalks and Walkways

TBD- Priority To Be Determined as future work program item

Cost-000 - Future cost to King County Road Services Division to complete the proposed project (2010 dollars in thousands)

Comments - Preliminary elements of the proposed project.

| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{巾} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \frac{1}{\grave{\circ}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\bar{D}} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{3}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 쥬 웅 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{+}{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: Bear Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Avondale Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CP-13 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road NE Ph II From NE 155th St to NE 168th St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | X | \$5,765 | Widen roadway to 3 lanes including 2 eight foot shoulders and a walkway. |
| HAL-26 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road NE \& Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$8,838 | See intersection project CP16 |
| OP-RD-8 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road Phase III From NE 133rd St To NE 155th St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$15,447 | Widen To Three Lanes-Construct Bridge |
| HAL-38 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road NE \& NE 165th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,500 | Add NB \& SB left turn lanes. |
| ITS-3 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road ITS <br> Phase 2 From NE 132nd St to WoodinvilleDuvall Road | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$6,096 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include synchronized signals; cameras; vehicle detection; fiber connection |
| 100408 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road ITS Phase 1 From Novelty Hill Rd to NE 132nd St | ITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CP-16 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& Avondale Rd NE | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | X | \$7,650 | Widen the intersection for additional turn lanes, signal improvements, illumination, curb, gutter, sidewalks, bike lanes |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋. } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathbf{U}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-99 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Road \& NE 165th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$735 | Provide North and South bound Left Turn Lanes |
| SW-90 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Avondale Rd \& Bear Creek Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| 100209 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Bridge \#480A On NE 116th St Crossing Bear Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100508 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mink Rd From Bear <br> Creek Rd To <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$460 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-RD-45 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 232nd Ave NE From NE 142 St To Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | X | \$3,713 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| OP-INT-71 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Rd \& Mink Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$1,744 | Improve Sight Distance-Realign Intersection |
| NM-5067 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Rd From Mink Rd To NE 133 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$459 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5001 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Paradise Lake Rd From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To County Line | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$573 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| GR-115 | Rural - N/O I-90 | East Ames Lake Dr NE From W Ames Lake Dr NE to W Ames Lake Dr NE | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 몸 } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5066 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Rd From Avondale Rd To Mink Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$200 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CORRIDOR: NE 124 - NE 128 - NE 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-82 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 124th St \& 162 Pl NE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$521 | Turn Channels All Legs |
| OP-RD-52 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 132nd St / NE 128th St From 184 Ave NE to 196 Ave NE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | X | \$8,165 | Widen NE 128 St for RT lane and shoulder. Widen Avondale Rd and add RT lane. Modify signals at NE 132 St and NE 128 St. Widen NE 132 St. New sigal at Bear Creek Rd. |
| NM-5026 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 172nd Ave NE From Redmond City Limits To NE 138 St | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$417 | Construct Neighborhood Pathway |
| BR-240A | Rural - N/O I-90 | Cottage Lake Creek <br> Bridge \#240A On Bear <br> Creek Rd Crossing <br> Cottage Lake Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$3,178 | Replace Bridge |
| 100114 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Bear Creek Bridge \#333A On NE 133rd St Crossing Bear Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$616 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-82 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 162 Pl NE \& NE 124 Way | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{0}} \underset{\gtrless}{\stackrel{0}{*}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 芳 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ò } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-16 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 124th St. ITS Ph II From SR 202 to Avondale Road NE | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$2,725 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; fiber optic communications; vehicle detection; flood detection |
| CORRIDOR: NE 165 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-7 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 165th St From 179 Pl NE To 183 Ave NE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$4,269 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| 100309 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#52B On NE 165th St Crossing Cottage Lake Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: NE Union Hill Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-11 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Road ITS Ph II From 238th Ave NE to Ames Lake Rd. | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$166 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communications; cameras; speed warning; vehicle detection |
| RC-51 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From <br> 229 Ave NE to 238 Ave NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$2,117 | 20 ft wall |
| RC-44 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 196 Ave NE to 206 Pl NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$155 | 10 ft tall wall. Complete sections not covered by CIP \# 100709. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { か } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{巾} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  | 2 <br> Z <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> O <br> N <br> D |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { N. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 100112 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd ITS From 196 Ave NE to 238 Ave NE | ITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,819 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-116 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 238 Ave NE To 258 Ave NE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,422 | Reconstruct roadway 1.5 miles |
| OP-RD-5 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 208 Ave NE To 238 Ave NE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$5,868 | Widen Travel Lanes--Pave <br> Shoulders--Provide <br> Equestrian Facility |
| 101101 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 238th Ave NE \& Union Hill Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| BR-952A | Rural - N/O I-90 | Evans Creek Bridge \#952A On NE Union Hill Rd Crossing Evans Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,093 | Replace Bridge |
| NM-5004 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Union Hill Rd From 238 <br> Ave NE To Ames LakeCarnation Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$1,760 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| SW-51 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 238th Ave NE \& NE 63rd PL | Operations |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |

## CORRIDOR: Novelty Hill Rd

| SW-86 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 214 Ave NE \& NE <br> Novelty Hill Rd | Safety | Low | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { か } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100992 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Rd From Redmond C/L to 244 Ave NE | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | X | \$61,486 | The EIS preferred alternative comprises three roads: Novelty Hill Road to 196th Avenue NE, at which point, the corridor continues southward to NE Union Hill Road. At the intersection of 196th Avenue NE and NE Union Hill Road, the project corridor extends to its western terminus of 192 nd Avenue NE and NE Union Hill Road. See the CIP website for detailed project description. |
| 100901 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Road From Avondale Road to Remond C/L | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-113 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 208th Ave NE \& NE <br> Union Hill Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | X | \$735 | Provide Southbound Right Turn Lane |
| 100909 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Road ITS, Ph I From 208th Ave NE to West Snoqualmie Road | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-87 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 218 Ave NE \& NE <br> Novelty Hill Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| 100308 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Rd \& Redmond Rd | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{0}} \underset{\gtrless}{\mathbb{O}}$ |  | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathbf{0}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्र } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-50 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Novelty Hill Road \& Redmond Road | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | X | \$735 | Evaluate for turn lanes or roundabout |
| CORRIDOR: Woodinville-Duvall Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101404 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 212th Ave NE | Safety |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-97 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 176 Ave NE | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CP-12 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd From 171st Ave NE to Avondale Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | x | \$9,851 | Widen roadway to increase capacity. |
| HAL-35 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 194th Ave NE \& Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | \$1,031 | EB left turn lane. |
| ITS-13 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase II From 212th Ave NE to SR-203 | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$4,001 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; road weather information; data stations; dynamic message signs |
| NM-5002 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd <br> From Avondale Rd To SR-203 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$14,892 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-RD-9 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd From WoodinvilleDuvall Rd To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | X | \$4,540 | Reconstruct Roadway |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { 흘 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 罰 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-43 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Old WoodinvilleDuvall Rd to W. Snoqualmie Valley Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$482 | Walls both sides 10 ft tall |
| ITS-6 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase I From 168th Ave NE to 212th Ave NE | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$4,001 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include synchronized signals; cameras; vehicle detection; fiber optic communications; dynamic message signs. |
| 100109 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 194th Ave NE | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | \$1,492 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋 } \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{W}}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: East King County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-8 | Rural - N/O I-90 | North Fork Road Shoulder Repair | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$123 | Long Term Fix which includes rebuilding of shoulder and perhaps installing nails is expensive. Drainage part of job needs done by Fall 2004. |
| BR-999X | Rural - N/O I-90 | Cascade Scenic <br> Highway Bridge \#999X <br> On Cascade Scenic <br> Highway Crossing <br> Miller River Slough | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| BR-3050A | Rural - S/O I-90 | Greenwater River Bridge \#3050A SE 496th Pl Crossing Packard Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 訔 } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즁 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: East Sammamish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Issaquah-Fall City Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-32 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Issaquah } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Issaquah Fall City Rd \& Klahanie Dr SE | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,928 | Protected-only EB left turn phasing. Will require extension of left turn lane. |
| 200309 | Urban - Issaquah PAA | Issaquah-Fall City Rd From 247th Ave SE to Klahanie Dr SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-RD-11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Issaquah } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Issaquah-Fall City <br> Rd/Duthie Hill Rd <br> From Klahanie Blvd To <br> 272 Pl SE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$6,781 | Provide Left Turn Lane |
| CP-17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Issaquah } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Issaquah-Fall City Rd Ph III | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | X | \$18,059 | Widen roadway to 5 lanes with curb, gutter and sidewalks |
| 200108 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Patterson Creek Bridge \#180L On SE 28 St Crossing Patterson Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,521 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-31 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Issaquah Fall City Rd ITS From Issaquah-Pine Lake Rd to SR-202 | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$5,335 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include interconnected signals; fiber optic cable; vehicle detection; pavement sensors, cameras |
| SW-92 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Duthie Hill Rd \& Issaquah-Fall City Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prio | ties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{0}{\oplus}}$ | 믈 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| OP-INT-75 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Issaquah-Beaver Lake Rd \& Duthie Hill Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$360 | Traffic Signal |
| COR | RIDOR: N | 50 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-35 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 50th St From 214 Ave NE to SR-202 | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$69 | Armor Shoulders @ $100 /$ cyd |
| NM-9917 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 50th St From 192 Pl NE to Sahalee Way NE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$1,334 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |

## County Subarea: Enumclaw

CORRIDOR: 212 Ave SE

| OP-INT-74 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 218th Ave SE \& Green Valley Rd | Operations | Medium |  | \$187 | Reconstruct Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM-5009 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 212th Ave SE From SE 384 St To SE 358 St | Nonmotorized |  | Low | \$3,154 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |

CORRIDOR: 244 Ave SE

| NM-5012 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE From SR164 To SE 400 St | Nonmotorized |  | High | X | \$9,797 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM-5006 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 244th Ave SE From SR164 To SE 456 St | Nonmotorized |  | High |  | \$301 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-INT-73 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 448th St \& 244 Ave SE | Operations | Medium |  |  | \$131 | Turn Channels - East \& West Legs |
| NM-0015 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 448th St From 244 <br> Ave SE to Enumclaw City Limits | Nonmotorized |  | High |  | \$283 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |

## CORRIDOR: 284 Ave SE

| GR-86 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 284th Ave SE From Mud Mountain Dam Rd To SR-164 | Safety |  | Low |  | X | \$417 | Construct Guardrail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR-3049 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 284th Ave SE Bridge \#3049 284th Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | Bridge | Medium |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| NM-5013 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 284th Ave SE From SE 416 St To SR-410 | Nonmotorized |  |  | High | X | \$804 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋ٌ } \\ & \stackrel{\#}{0} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\stackrel{1}{0}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BR-3052 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Boise Creek Bridge \#3052 268th Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| NM-9983 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 200th Ave SE From SE 400 St to 0.17 miles north | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$491 | Construct gravel shouler (West Side) |
| GR-47 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Mud Mountain Rd <br> From SR-410 To SR-410 | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$1,175 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR-3030 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 380 St Bridge \#3030 SE 308th St Crossing slough | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| GR-96 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 456th Way From 196th Ave SE To 228th Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$360 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-5010 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 400th Way From SE 400 St To SE 392 St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | dium |  |  | X | \$1,671 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| NM-5011 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Enumclaw-Franklin Rd From FranklinCumberland To SR-169 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$3,090 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| BR-3051 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Boise Creek Bridge <br> \#3051 On 276th Ave <br> SE Crossing Boise Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| GR-104 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 196th Ave SE From SE 400th St To SE 456th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$15 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-92 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 228th Ave SE From SE 400th St To SE 452ND St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$552 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR-3060 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 208th Ave SE Bridge \#3060 208th Ave SE Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{*} \end{gathered}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\text { In }}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: SE 432 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5008 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 432nd St From 284 Ave SE To 268 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$804 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| GR-103 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 432nd St From <br> 268th Ave SE To 284th <br> Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$161 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: Federal Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 51 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300311 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | 51st Ave S \& S 288th St. | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$918 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 300411 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | 51st Ave S \& S 316th St. | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,377 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-74 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 51 Ave S \& S 298 St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CORRIDOR: Military Rd S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-66 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S \& S Star Lake Rd | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| 300408 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | Military Rd \& S 342nd St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,997 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-5014 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S From Peasley Canyon Way S To SR-161 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$8,018 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { か } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { !i } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { N. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ח } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\bar{T}} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{7}{7} \\ & \frac{91}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즁 } \\ & \text { 울 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | Q 를 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-105 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S \& S 374 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$735 | Provide Two Way Left Turn Lane |
| OP-RD-3 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S From S 340 St to S 342 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$735 | Provide Two Way Left Turn Lane: Left Turn Lane at S 342 St |
| OP-INT-116 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd \& S 320th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$468 | Add eastbound right turn lane |
| CP-5 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S From I-5 to S 272 St | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | \$5,837 | Widen to Four/Five lanes-Construct Curb, Gutter, Sidewalk--Construct Bike Lane |
| HAL-48 | Urban - Federal Way PAA | Military Rd S \& S 342nd St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$632 | Northbound left turn lane. |
| HAL-2 | Urban - Federal Way PAA | Military RdS \& S 320th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$508 | EB right turn lane (Developer project). Advance EB Signal Head by county |
| SW-57 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | Military Rd \& S 360th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-9976 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 38th Ave S From S 344 <br> St to Fishing Access Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$204 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| NM-4042 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 38th Ave S From S 304 <br> St to S 307 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$99 | Pave shoulders (East Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-24 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 304th St From 32nd Ave S To 37th Ave S | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$200 | Armor Shoulders |
| OP-INT-100 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 321st St \& Peasley Canyon Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$735 | Reconstruct approaches to meet Road Standards; Lengthen Turn Lanes |
| GR-71 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 28th Ave S From S <br> 348th St To SR 161 | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$18 | Construct Guardrail |
| 300110 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | Star Lake Rd From <br> Military Rd S to 42 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-49 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 58th Place S./56th Place S. From West Valley Rd to West Valley Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,950 | Major Roadwork Needed, Possible Re-alignement |
| NM-9970 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 34th Ave S From S 288 St to S 298 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$503 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |
| NM-9971 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | 36th Pl S/ S 294 St/ 45 <br> Pl S From S 298 St to S 288 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$769 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |
| OP-INT-115 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Orillia Road S \& S 204th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$735 | Evaluate for Turn lanes |
| NM-4066 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 28th Ave S From S 349 <br> St to S360 ST | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$268 | Construct walkway |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \frac{1}{\grave{\circ}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 읎 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  | Q 을 으․ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-4067 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 32nd Ave S From S 360 St to S 368 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$268 | Construct walkway |
| CP-1 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 312th St Study From 28th Ave S to 51st Ave S (Federal Way Lead) | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$0 | The City of Federal Way's Center Access Project has been closed, but the city still retains this road construction project in its plans. |
| CP-2 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 32nd Ave S Study <br> From S 312th St to Military Road (Federal Way Lead) | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  | \$0 | The City of Federal Way's Center Access Project has been closed, but the city still retains this road construction project in its plans. |
| SW-73 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 46 Pl S \& S 321 St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CORRIDOR: Peasley Canyon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-42 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | Peasley Canyon Way S From S. Peasely Canyon Rd to Military Rd. S | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$551 | Retaining wall 10 ' high |
| HAL-3 | Urban - Federal Way PAA | Peasley Canyon Rd \& S 321st St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$514 | WB right turn lane. WB advanced signal head. |
| 300308 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Peasley Canyon Rd S \& Peasley Canyon Way S | Operations |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-8 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | Peasley Canyon Road From Military Rd to West Valley Highway | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,383 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include coordinated signals; cameras; vehicle detection |
| CORRIDOR: S 277 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300108 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | S 277th St - ITS From West Valley Hwy to Military Rd S | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-120 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way <br> PAA | 40th Ave S \& S 272nd St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$290 | Add turn lanes on S 272nd St |
| 300508 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | SE 277th St Bridge \#3126 On SE 277th St Crossing Slough | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,198 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 300407 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | S 272nd Way \& 55th Ave S. | Safety |  | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: S 288 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300611 | Urban - E. <br> Federal Way PAA | 48th Ave S \& S 288th St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$861 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | To <br> O <br> O <br> $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: Newcastle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 156 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-19 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE ITS <br> From SE 128th St to SR 169 | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$197 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; pavement sensors; speed warning system |
| NM-5031 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE From SE 128 St To SE 133 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$501 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-RD-25 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 154th Pl SE / SE 142 Pl From SE Jones Rd To 156 Ave SE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$2,794 | Realign Roadway--Widen Roadway |
| CORRIDOR: Allen Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5030 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Eastgate } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Allen Rd (148 SE) North Side From 146 Ave SE To SE 36 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$120 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-9918 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Eastgate } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Allen Rd From 13800 block (city limit) to 146 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$498 | Construct sidewalk (North Side) |
| CORRIDOR: May Valley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-54 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& May Valley Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| OP-RD-24 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Rd From Coal Creek Parkway To SR-900 | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | X | \$16,517 | Widen Travel Lanes |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즁 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-29 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Rd \& SE 128th Way | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| 200308 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Creek Bridge \#5005 \& May Valley Rd over May Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | x | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| BR-593C | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | May Creek Bridge \#593C | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| BR-72A | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | May Creek Bridge \#72A On 148th Ave SE Crossing May Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| OP-INT-83 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Coal Creek Parkway \& May Valley Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$706 | Provide Left Turn Lane |
| ITS-29 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Road ITS From SR 900 to Issaquah Hobart Rd | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | \$287 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection; cameras; road weather info system |
| OP-RD-26 | Rural - S/O I-90 | May Valley Road From SR-900 To SE 128 WY | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$6,470 | Reconstruct/Spot Pave Shoulders--Improve Sight Distance |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-34 | Urban - East Renton PAA | 164th Ave SE ITS <br> From SE 128th St. to SE <br> May Valley Rd. | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,524 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; vehicle detection |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{2 0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{1}{*}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 옴 } \\ & \text { 유룰 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-0109 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | 154th Ave SE From SE 39 St to SE 42 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$350 | Construct sidewalke (West Side) |
| 400313 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 204th Ave SE / SE <br> 159th St From SE 156 <br> St to 205 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$326 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Newport Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-4009 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way From 13800 block(Bell. C/L) to 153 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$123 | Improve pathway -- North Side and South Side |
| 200211 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way at 16630 | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,035 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-84 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way \& 164 Ave SE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$1,117 | Traffic Signal--Turn Channels All Legs |
| OP-RD-20 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way From 138 Ave SE To Eastgate Park Entrance | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$2,512 | Provide Left Turn Lane |
| NM-4010 | Urban - Eastgate PAA | Newport Way From 152 Ave SE to 161 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$123 | Improve pathway (South Side) |
| CORRIDOR: SE 128 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-42 | Urban - East Renton PAA | 156th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,303 | Left turn lane from 156th Ave SE to 164th Ave SE. Combine with HAL 61. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | 品 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뀨 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-43 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 164th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,821 | Eastbound Dual Lefts and Protected-Only phasing. |
| SW-85 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 196 Ave SE \& SE 128 St/Way | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| SW-83 | Urban - East Renton PAA | 175 Ave SE \& SE 128 St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| OP-INT-119 | Urban - East Renton PAA | 168th Ave SE \& SE 128 th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$451 | Add turn lanes on SE 128th St |
| HAL-61 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | 160th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,150 | Preliminary suggested scope - Add left-turn lane in the WB/EB directions. |
| OP-RD-21 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | SE 128th St From 168 Ave SE To E OF 169 Ave SE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$1,229 | Improve Sight Distance-- <br> Turn Channels |
| ITS-28 | Urban - East <br> Renton PAA | SE 128th St. ITS From 148th Ave SE to May Valley Road | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$4,382 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; vehicle detection; synchronize signals; communications |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뀨 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: North Highline / West Hill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 1 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-0110 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 1st Ave S From S 102 <br> St to S 108 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$418 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| ITS-26 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 1st Ave S./Myers Way ITS From SW 100th St. to SW 112th St. | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$952 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include synchronized signals; transit signal priority; cameras; fiber optic communications |
| CORRIDOR: 16 Ave SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5016 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 104 St From 17 <br> Ave SW To 28 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$506 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 300710 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 17th Ave SW From SW 100th St to SW 104th St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-78 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 16th Ave SW \& SW 106 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$255 | Provide Left Turn Lane-- <br> Pedestrian Crossing Signals |
| HAL-16 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 16th Ave SW \& SW Roxbury St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$166 | Review timing and phasing, consider prohibiting NB lefts or closing NW approach. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathscr{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{\mathbb{0}} \\ \gtrless \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { !i } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { ㄹㅡㅜㅄ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \frac{0}{\bar{D}} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ष } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ | Q 를 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300210 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 16th Ave SW From SW Roxbury to SW 116th St. | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-5017 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 102 St From 11AVE SW To 17 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$140 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5018 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 104 St From 15 Ave SW To 17 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$59 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |

## CORRIDOR: 76 Ave S

| NM-9939 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 76th Ave S From S 120 St to S 124 St | Nonmotorized | Medium | \$209 | Construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM-5021 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 76th Ave S From S 124 St To S 128 St | Nonmotorized | High | \$108 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-0004 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 76th Ave S From S 115 St to S 116 St | Nonmotorized | Medium | \$74 | Construct AC walkway |

## CORRIDOR: 78 Ave S

| OP-RD-13 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 78th Ave S From S 112 <br> St To Renton Ave S | Capacity Minor | High |  | \$1,392 | Construct Curb, Gutter, Sidewalk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM-9938 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 78th Ave S From S 120 St to S 124 St | Nonmotorized |  | Low | \$204 | Construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| CORRIDOR: 8 Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5020 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 8th Ave SW From SW 108 St To SW Roxbury St | Nonmotorized |  | High | \$2,299 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 지 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-12 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 8th Ave S From S <br> Seatlle City Limit To Glendale Way S/S 112 St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$3,162 | Widen Roadway |

CORRIDOR: Meyers Wy-1 Ave S

| OP-RD-50 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 1st Ave S. \& Seattle C/L to Burien C/L | Operations | TBD |  | \$6,955 | Provide curb, gutter, sidewalk, drainage and landscaping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OP-RD-14 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 6th Ave S From Glendale Way/S112 St To Myers Way (1 Ave S) | Capacity Minor | Low |  | \$2,320 | Widen Roadway |
| NM-0302 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 1st Ave S From SW 108 St to SW 112 St | Nonmotorized |  | High | \$80 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |

## CORRIDOR: Misc

| NM-4012 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 80th Ave S From S 114 St to S 118 St | Nonmotorized |  | TBD | \$31 | Improve and widen shoulder (West Side) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OP-INT-79 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 87th Ave S \& S 124 St | Operations | Low |  | \$299 | Realign Intersection |
| GR-58 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 107th St From 22nd Ave SW To 12th Ave SW | Safety | Medium |  | \$13 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-9937 | Urban - West Hill PAA | S 120th St From 76 Ave S to 80 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  | Medium | \$204 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| NM-5022 | Urban - West Hill PAA | S 124th St From 76 Ave SW To Skyway Park | Nonmotorized |  | High | \$297 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-9930 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 112th St From 1 <br> Ave S to 4 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  | High | \$135 | Construct sidewalk (North Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{*} \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-9928 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 11th Ave SW From SW 102 St to SW 106 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$253 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| NM-9922 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 112th St From 16 Ave SW to 26 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$467 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| 300406 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 28th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW 112 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-9920 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 28th Ave SW From SW <br> Roxbury St to SW 102 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$178 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| 300410 | Urban - West Hill PAA | S 133 St From MLK Way to S 134th St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-75 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 64 Ave S \& S 129 St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| NM-9936 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 75th Ave S / S 122 St From Renton Ave S to 80 Ave S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$332 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| 300197 | Urban - North Highline PAA | South Park Bridge \#3179 RTID \& 14th/16th Ave S. | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131,548 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-9945 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 69th Ave S / S 125 St <br> From S 128 St to 70 Pl S | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$154 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{W}}{\mathbb{N}} \end{aligned}$ | 믐 | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 뀨 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5019 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 15 Ave SW - east side From SW 106 St To SW 107 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$49 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| GR-48 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Beacon Coal Mine Rd From S 129th St To S 138th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | \$17 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-41 | Urban - West Hill PAA | 68th Ave S From Martin Luther King Way to Renton City Limits | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,182 | Walls both sides 20 ft tall @ $\$ 30 / \mathrm{psf}$ |
| 300610 | Urban - North Highline PAA | South Park Bridge Demolition | Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$17,517 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| SW-72 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 4 Ave SW \& SW 102 St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| OP-RD-2 | Urban - North Highline PAA | Roxbury St From 4th Ave SW to 30th Ave SW | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$2,142 | Widen from 4 to 5 Lanes; Improve Sight Distance |
| NM-4071 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 22nd Place S From Des Moines Mem. Dr. S to Burien City Limits | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$214 | Improve walkway |
| NM-4077 | Urban - North Highline PAA | SW 112th St From Ambaum Blvd SW to 10 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$214 | Improve walkway |
| NM-4063 | Urban - North Highline PAA | 14th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW 116 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  | \$214 | Improve walkway |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 밈 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Rainier Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-55 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Rainier Ave S \& Lakeridge Dr S | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| ITS-33 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Rainier Ave S ITS <br> From Seattle City Limits to Renton City Limits | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,286 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include synchronize signals; vehicle detection; cameras; transit signal priority |
| CORRIDOR: Renton Ave S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-47 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Renton Ave S From 68th Ave S to S 132nd St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | \$107 | Construct Bus Pull-outs |
| ITS-12 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Renton Ave S ITS From Rainier Ave S to Rainier Ave N | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,764 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include synchronized signals; vehicle detection; cameras; transit signal priority |
| OP-INT-76 | Urban - West Hill PAA | Renton Ave S \& 76 Ave S | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$764 | Turn Channels - North \& South Legs |
| CORRIDOR: SW 98 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300607 | Urban - West Hill PAA | SW 98th Street From 11 Ave SW to 16 Ave SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{D}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | © 을 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: |  | Northshore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 100 Ave NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-76 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100 Ave NE \& NE 140 St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| HAL-20 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& Simonds Rd | Safety |  | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$150 | Regrade hill north of intersection to improve sight distance |
| SW-38 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE \& NE 140th PL | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CP-10 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE From NE139 St to NE 145th St | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | \$4,764 | Widen roadway to 5 lanes. |
| 100410 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 100th Ave NE From 132th Ave NE to 138th Ave NE | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$525 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |

## CORRIDOR: 124 Ave NE

| SW-78 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 124 Ave NE \& NE 140 St | Safety | Low | \$660 | Install traffic signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITS-21 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 124th Ave NE ITS <br> From NE 132nd St to <br> NE 160th St. | ITS | Medium | \$2,286 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; vehicle detection; fiber optic communications |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 묵 } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 잉 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 울 } \end{aligned}$ | 22을00$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$N.D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \frac{0}{\bar{D}} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \text { ㅍ․ } \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | 0 0 0 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ | Q 를 응․ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 146-156-160 PL NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-81 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 146th Pl \& 155 Ave NE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$748 | Reconstruct Intersection-Improve Sight Distance-Provide Equestrian Facility |
| NM-9913 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 168th Ave NE From NE 143 St to NE 145 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$283 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| RC-48 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 146th Pl NE From SR202 to 155 Ave NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$115 | 15 ft tall wall |
| NM-5029 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 168th Ave NE From NE 143 Pl To NE 140 St | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$174 | Construct Neighborhood Pathway |
| NM-0111 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 145th St From 160 Pl NE to 168 Ave NE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$424 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| CORRIDOR: 84 Ave NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-80 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | 84th Ave NE \& NE 138 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$586 | Provide Left Turn Lane-Provide Right Turn Lane-Construct Curb, Gutter, Sidewalk |
| NM-5023 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | NE 122nd Pl / NE 123 St / 84 Ave N From Juanita Drive To NE 125 Pl | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$252 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-0301 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | NE 141st St From east of 84 Ave NE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$123 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Holmes Pt Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-52 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Holmes Point Drive NE <br> From NE 118 St to NE $116 \mathrm{St}$ | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,033 | Walls both sides 10 ft tall |
| Needs List for the Transportation Needs Report 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Needs List - Page 34 of 66 |  |  |  |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathbb{D}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 므․ } \\ & \frac{1}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { 잉 } \\ & \text { 웂 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\bar{D}} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-9906 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Holmes Point Dr From Denny Pk (N entrance) to NE 135 PL | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$836 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| RC-46 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Holmes Point Drive NE at 144 Ave NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$172 | Wall on downhill side 10 ft tall |

## CORRIDOR: Juanita-Woodinville Way

| CP-4 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112 Ave NE to I-405 | Capacity Major | High | \$3,909 | HOV highway access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CP-11 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112th Ave NE to NE 145th St | Capacity Minor | High | \$4,837 | Widen the existing road from NE 145th St to 112th Ave NE. Provide curb, gutter, and sidewalk, street lighting, and a traffic signal at NE 145th St. |

## CORRIDOR: Misc

| GR-91 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 72nd Ave NE From Juanita Drive NE To end of route | Safety | Low |  | \$157 | Construct Guardrail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CP-18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | Willows Road Extension From NE 124 St to NE 145 St | Capacity Major | TBD |  | \$20,353 | Construct missing arterial link |
| ITS-17 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | NE 144th St. ITS From 124th Ave NE to 148th Ave NE | ITS Medium |  |  | \$2,478 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection; cameras; traveler information |
| NM-0107 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 178th Ave NE From <br> NE 131 St to NE 136 St | Nonmotorized |  | Low | \$67 | Construct sidewalk (West Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | 戸 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { N. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 <br> Z <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> O <br> N <br> D |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ष } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{?}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-10 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | NE 132nd St From 100th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE | ITS | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,668 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communications; synchronize signals; Transit signal priority; cameras; vehicle detection; fiber optic communications |
| NM-9901 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 88th Ave NE From NE 198 St to NE 205 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$671 | Construct AC shoulder (East Side) |
| NM-5028 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 176th Ave NE From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To NE 195 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$206 | Construct Neighborhood Pathway |
| OP-RD-18 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 175 / NE 172 Pl <br> From 155 Pl NE To Du <br> Rocher Rd (174 NE) | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$5,167 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| NM-5024 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | 108/112 Pl NE From <br> East Riverside Dr To NE 164 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$361 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5027 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Du Rocher Rd From 172 Pl NE To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$482 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5025 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | NE 140th St AND / OR <br> NE 145 St Crossing I- $405$ | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$536 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| CP-3 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Lakepointe $\mathrm{Dr}-175$ th St \& 64th-68th/SR-522 | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | \$1,229 | King County participation in Road Improvement District (RID) |
| NM-9904 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 148th Ave NE From NE 154 St to NE 167 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$375 | Construct gravel shoulder (East Side) |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 亏 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋 } \\ & \stackrel{\tilde{W}}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ग <br> O <br> O- <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAL-49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 108th Ave NE \& NE 132nd St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,850 | Five lane section from 100th NE to I-405 overpass |
| OP-INT-103 | Urban - Kirkland PAA | Juanita Drive \& NE 80th St/112th Ave NE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$735 | Provide North and Southbound Left Turn Lanes |
| OP-RD-16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | NE 145th St From 100 Ave NE TO JuanitaWoodinville Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$4,274 | Turn channels at major intersections |
| 100213 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kirkland } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 90th Ave NE From NE 136 St To NE 138 PL | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$300 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-9903 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 152nd Pl NE / 158 Ave NE From NE 160 St to NE 165 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$178 | Construct gravel shoulder (West Side) |

## County Subarea: Snoqualmic Valley

## CORRIDOR: 308 Ave SE

| GR-66 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 308th Ave SE From SE <br> 87th Pl To SE 64th St | Safety | Medium |  | X | \$31 | Construct Guardrail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM-9941 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 308th Ave SE From SE 64 St to SE 87 Pl | Nonmotorized |  | Medium | X | \$1,229 | Construct gravel shoulder (East Side) |

## CORRIDOR: 428 Ave SE-Reinig Rd

| GR-67 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To 428th Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  | X | \$42 | Construct Guardrail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM-5041 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mill Pond Rd From SR202 To Reinig Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  | High | X | \$1,609 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| RC-37 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mill Pond Rd From SE Stearns Rd to SE Reinig Rd | Preservation | Medium |  |  |  | \$502 | Armor Shoulders @ $\$ 100 /$ cyd |
| RC-16 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To 396th Dr SE | Preservation | Medium |  |  | X | \$315 | Armor Shoulders |
| NM-9942 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 428th Ave SE From SE Reinig Rd to SE 108 St | Nonmotorized |  |  | Medium | X | \$1,334 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| COR | DOR: | lar Falls Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-38 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 436 Ave SE/Cedar Falls <br> Rd From I-90 To <br> Wilderness Rim | Capacity Minor |  | Medium |  | X | \$8,203 | Realign Roadway |
| NM-9958 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 149th St / 442 Ave SE From 437 Pl SE to 443 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  | Low |  | \$516 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| Needs List for the Transportation Needs Report 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Needs List - Page 38 of 66 |  |  |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्ण } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { N⿳⺈⿴囗⿰丨丨⿹勹巳刂} \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM－9968 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Cedar Falls Rd SE <br> From near Rattlesnake <br> Lake | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \＄738 | Construct AC shoulder （West Side） |
| CORRIDOR：Fay Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200411 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Fay Road From SR－203 to 302nd Way NE | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \＄518 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| GR－111 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Fay Road | Safety |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | X | \＄96 | Construct Guardrail |
| CORRIDOR：Middle Fork Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR－78 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Middle Fork Rd From North Bend city limits To 496th Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \＄13 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC－45 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Lake Dorothy Rd At SE Middle Fork Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \＄14，046 | Walls both sides 10 ft tall |
| CORRIDOR：Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR－28 | Rural－N／O I－90 | David Powell Rd From Preston－Fall City Rd SE To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \＄184 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR－61B | Rural－N／O I－90 | Fish Hatchery Bridge \＃61B SE Fish Hatchery Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄765 | Construct short－span bridge |
| OP－RD－4 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Ames Lake Rd From Union Hill To SR－202 | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \＄8，282 | Realign Roadway－－Widen <br> Travel Lanes－－Pave Shoulders |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { が } \\ & \stackrel{W}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-34 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 284th Ave NE From NE 100 St to NE Carnation Farm Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$179 | Armor Shoulders @ $100 /$ cyd |
| 200315 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Coal Creek Bridge <br> \#1086B On 378th Ave <br> SE Crossing Coal Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$172 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-9915 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Big Rock Rd From Batten Rd NE to 296 Ave NE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$418 | Construct AC shoulder (North Side) |
| OP-RD-37 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge To 500' WEST OF SR-203 | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | \$1,478 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| NM-5042 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Carnation Farm Rd From NE 80 St To SR203 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$7,531 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| GR-98 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Fish Hatchery Rd From SR-202 To SR-202 | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$301 | Construct Guardrail |
| OP-RD-54 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Middle Fork <br> Snoqualmie River Rd From 476 Ave SE to 496 Ave SE | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,182 | Provide safety improvements within the couplet portion of the roadway, keeping the width 18 to 20 feet. There will be no vertical curve corrections or major drainage improvements. |
| 200215 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Tate Creek Bridge \#122N On SE 73RD St Crossing TATE Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$172 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { が } \\ & \stackrel{W}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC－38 | Rural－N／O I－90 | NE 100 St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd to 284 Ave NE | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \＄585 | Armor Shoulders ＠$\$ 100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |
| GR－82 | Rural－N／O I－90 | 384th Ave SE From SE 92ND St To North Bend Way | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \＄13 | Construct Guardrail |
| OP－RD－46 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Stossell Creek Way From Swan Mill Road to the Snohomish County Line | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \＄458 | Environmental improvements to road to improve habitat and reduce maintenance costs |
| RC－55 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Money Creek Rd at Money Creek | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \＄689 | 20 ft tall wall |
| RC－57 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Old Cascade Highway at Miller River | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \＄4，590 | Overflow is working as designed |
| 200214 | Rural－N／O I－90 | Lake Joy Bridge \＃5034A | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| GR－94 | Rural－N／O I－90 | NE 124th St From SR 203 To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \＄272 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR－359C | Rural－N／O I－90 | Lake Dorothy Overflow Bridge \＃359C SE Lake Dorothy Rd Crossing Overflow | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄2，000 | Construct short－span bridge |
| 200115 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Clough Creek（Kimball Creek）Bridge \＃909B SE 141st St Crossing Clough Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄172 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { İ잉 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | F |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-19 | Rural - N/O I-90 | North Fork Rd SE From Wagners Bridge To Wagners Bridge | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$86 | Construct 10ft wall |
| CORRIDOR: Mt. Si Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-75 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mt Si Rd From North Bend city limits To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$13 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-5064 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mt Si Rd From North Bend city limits To Mt. Si Trail | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$1,000 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5065 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mt Si Rd From Mt. Si Trail To NW Corner of Section 8 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$2,622 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| OP-RD-39 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Mt Si Rd From 452 Ave SE To 800' E | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$416 | Realign Roadway |
| CORRIDOR: NE 80 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-RD-40 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd To Ames Lake Rd | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$3,877 | Reconstruct Roadway |
| RC-36 | Rural - N/O I-90 | NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd to Ames Lake-Carnation Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,307 | Armor Shoulders @ $100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |
| CORRIDOR: NE Cherry Valley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200114 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Kelly Rd Bridge \#5007 On Kelly Rd NE Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{0}{*}} \stackrel{+}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\gtrless}} \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5045 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Kelly Rd From Cherry Valley Rd To Big Rock Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$2,111 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-9916 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 322nd Ave NE From <br> NE Big Rock Rd to NE 130 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$491 | Construct gravel shoulder (West Side) |
| CORRIDOR: Neal Rd SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-7 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Neal Rd SE Sinkhole Repair | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$307 | Work with WSDOT to realign road. Other possiblity includes vacating road. |
| 200112 | Rural - N/O I-90 | C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249B On C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 200212 | Rural - N/O I-90 | C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249C On C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-40 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Neal Rd SE From SR203 to SR-203 | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,101 | Armor Shoulders @ $\$ 100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |
| CORRIDOR: Preston-Fall City Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5061 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Preston-Fall City Rd <br> From I-90 to Regional <br> Trail Crossing | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$9,105 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5060 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Preston-Fall City Rd <br> From Regional Trail Crossing to SR-202 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  | \$9,105 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\text { D }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { !i } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { N. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  | © 을 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR-186J | Rural - N/O I-90 | Fire Station Bridge \#186J On Preston-Fall City Rd Crossing Unimproved undercrossing | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,000 | Construct short-span bridge |
| GR-13 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 316th Pl SE From SE 86th St To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$51 | Construct Guardrail |
| 200209 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Preston-Fall City / High Pt Way \& SE 82nd St | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,205 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-14 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Preston Fall City Rd ITS From I-90 to SR 202 | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,525 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include cameras; weather monitoring; vehicle detection |
| OP-INT-88 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Preston-Fall City Rd \& SE 43 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$650 | Realign Intersection |
| 200310 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Preston-Fall City RD SE Slide Repair | Reconstruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,443 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Upper Preston Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-109 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Upper Preston Road | Safety |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  |  | \$32 | Construct Guardrail |
| 200512 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Upper Preston Rd From SE 97th St to SE 97th St | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,142 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | $\overline{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~ } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P/ }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 음 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\bar{D}} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{3}{7} \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q 를 를 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: W Snoqualmie River Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR-916A | Rural - N/O I-90 | West Snoqualmie River Rd Bridge \#916A West Snoqualmie River Rd Crossing slough | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| 200412 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 312th Ave SE Bridge \#228F On West Snoqualmie River Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| RC-18 | Rural - N/O I-90 | West Snoqualmie River Rd From NE Tolt Hill Rd To SE 24th St | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,122 | Armor Shoulders |
| RC-17 | Rural - N/O I-90 | SE 24th St From 309th <br> Ave SE To W. <br> Snoqualmie River Rd | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$319 | Armor Shoulders |
| RC-32 | Rural - N/O I-90 | Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge to SR-203 | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$110 | Armor Shoulders @ $\$ 100 / \mathrm{cyd}$ |
| GR-80 | Rural - N/O I-90 | West Snoqualmie River Rd From SE 24th St To Tolt Hill Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | \$85 | Construct Guardrail |
| ITS-25 | Rural - N/O I-90 | West Snoqualmie River Road/Tolt Hill Road ITS From WSRR from SE 24th St to Tolt Hill and Tolt from SR-203 to SWRR | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$432 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include vehicle detection; cameras; pavement condition sensors |
| GR-44 | Rural - N/O I-90 | 308th Ave SE From SR 202 To SE 40th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  | X | \$36 | Construct Guardrail |




| Number | PAA | Location | Need | ज |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 芳 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즁 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: Soos Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 132-140 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-91 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | 140 Ave SE \& SE 184 <br> St (Carriage Crest <br> Elementary School) | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$660 | Install traffic signal |
| SW-81 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | 140 Ave SE \& SE 200 St | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| 400113 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Lake Youngs Way Bridge \#3109B SE Lake Youngs Way Crossing Soos Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| ITS-23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urban - Kent NE } \\ & \text { PAA } \end{aligned}$ | 140th Ave SE/132nd Ave SE ITS From SE 240th St. to SE 192nd St. | ITS | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,192 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include fiber optic communications; synchronized signals; cameras; vehicle detection |
| CORRIDOR: 148 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP-INT-102 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 308th St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$735 | Improve Sight Distance |
| SW-17 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 208th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | = | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~ } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \frac{\square}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 읎 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { 끌 } \\ & \text { ㄹ. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Lk Holm Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS-30 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Lake Holm Rd ITS <br> From 148th Ave SE to Auburn Black Diamond Rd. | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$49 | Provide Intelligent Transportation System improvements which could include a speed warning system |
| OP-RD-44 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Lake Holm Rd From Near Lake Holm (east) | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$871 | Widen Roadway |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-9966 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | Lake Youngs Pipeline Pathway From vicinity of 155 Pl SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$36 | Construct AC walkway |
| OP-INT-90 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 196th Ave SE \& SE 192 St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$1,843 | Reconstruct Intersection-Improve Sight Distance-Turn Channels |
| NM-9965 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | SE 183rd St From 142 Ave SE to 147 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$235 | Construct sidewalk (South Side) |
| RC-50 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 196th Ave SE From SE 161 St to SE 170 St | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | \$930 | Retaining wall $10^{\prime}$ high |
| GR-88 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 156th Ave SE From SE 240th St To CITY <br> LIMIT | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$13 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-5036 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148 Ave SE From SE 296 St To S.I.R. | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  | \$2,671 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5015 | Urban - Not in primary PAAs | Green River Rd SE <br> From S 258 St To SE $277 \mathrm{St}$ | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  | \$8,796 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  | 믐 | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ <br> O <br> O <br> $\stackrel{0}{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 300313 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Soos Creek Bridge \#3109 On SE 224th St Crossing Soos Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| 300810 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Alvord T Bridge \#3130 | Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,048 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-RD-27 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 248 St | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \$134 | Pave Shoulders |
| NM-5038 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 208th St From 132th Ave SE To 148th Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$301 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 300213 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Soos Creek Bridge \#3109A SE 216th St Crossing Soos Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Petrovitsky Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-13 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Petrovitsky Rd \& Sweeney Rd | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| OP-INT-106 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | Petrovitsky Rd \& SE 192nd St | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | X | \$735 | Provide SE Bound Left Turn Lane |
| CP-15 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | 140th Ave SE \& Petrovitsky Rd | Capacity Major |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | \$14,442 | Widen all legs of intersection to increase capacity |
| OP-INT-85 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Petrovitsky Rd SE \& SE 184 St Crossing | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$392 | Pedestrian Crossing Signals |
| Needs List for the Transportation Needs Report 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Needs List - Page 50 of 66 |  |  |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 잉 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्0 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400409 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Petrovitsky \& 162nd Pl SE | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| HAL-14 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | 140th Ave SE \& SE Petrovitsky Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 | Widen all legs of intersection to increase capacity. For project cost, see CP-15 |
| HAL-59 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | SE 176th St \& SE Petrovitsky Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,821 | Eastbound dual lefts and PO phasing |
| RC-3 | Urban - <br> Fairwood PAA | Petrovitsky Rd From 134 Ave SE to 143 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,466 | Road Reconstruction |
| CORRIDOR: SE 224 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5033 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 224th St From 132 <br> Ave SE To 148 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$602 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 400109 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 148th Ave SE \& SE 224th St | Operations |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$912 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-5071 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 232 St From 196 <br> Ave SE St To SR-18 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$1,068 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-4036 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 224th St From 172 Ave SE to 180 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$49 | Widen walkway |
| NM-5070 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Peter Grubb Rd / SE <br> 232 St From SE 224 St <br> To 196 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | x | \$500 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 힝 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ग <br> O <br> O <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: SE 240 St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW-56 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Pl SE \& SE 240th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| NM-5032 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 240th St From 196 <br> Ave SE To SR-18 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$1,809 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-4041 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 240th St From 156 Ave SE to 172 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$24 | Widen walkway |
| NM-4033 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Ave SE From SE 224 St to SE 240 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$86 | Widen pathway and improve lighting |
| NM-5068 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 240th St From 148 Ave SE (south side) To 164 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$603 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5039 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 196th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 232 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$402 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5069 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 240th St From 164 Ave SE To 180 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$603 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\gtrless} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 몰 } \\ & \text { 묨 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: Tahoma/Raven Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: 276 Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-4065 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276th Ave SE From SE 231 ST to 300' north | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$54 | Construct pathway (West Side) |
| RC-126 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276 Ave SE From SE 200 St To SE 216 St | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,258 | Reconstruct roadway 1.0 mile |
| RC-125 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276 Ave SE From SR 18 To SE 200 St | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,088 | Reconstruct roadway 1.18 mile |
| RC-127 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276 Ave SE From SE 216 St To SE Summit Landsburg Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$3,547 | Reconstruct roadway 2.59 miles |
| SW-45 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 276th Ave SE \& SE 216th St | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CORRIDOR: Auburn-Black Diamond Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-138 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn Black Diamond <br> Rd From SE Green Valley Rd To SE Lake Holm Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$253 | Reconstruct roadway . 23 mile |
| RC-137 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn Black Diamond Rd From SR 18 To SE Green Valley Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \$227 | Reconstruct roadway . 18 mile |
| RC-139 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Auburn-Black Diamond Rd From SE Lake Holm Rd To 148 Way SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$3,338 | Reconstruct roadway 2.18 miles |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{⿳ 亠 丷 冖 巾} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믈 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR－1384A | Rural－S／O I－90 | Fifteen Mile Creek <br> Bridge \＃1384A On <br> Issaquah－Hobart Rd <br> Over Fifteen Mile Creek | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄5，102 | Conduct Feasibility／Needs Study－－Replace Bridge |
| CORRIDOR：Kent－Black Diamond Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－INT－97 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Thomas Rd \＆Kent－ Black Diamond Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \＄756 | Realign Intersection |
| 400511 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Covington Creek Bridge \＃3082 Auburn－Black Diamond Road Crossing Covington Creek | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| NM－5035 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Black Diamond Rd From SR－18 To SE Lake Holm Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \＄2，012 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 400600 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Berrydale Overcrossing \＃3086OX \＆290th | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄3，456 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| 400211 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Covington Creek Bridge \＃3084 | Bridge |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| CORRIDOR：Kent－Kangley Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－INT－108 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Kent－Kangley Rd \＆ Ravensdale Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \＄735 | Provide Turn <br> Channelization：Signal or Roundabout |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\text { D }}{\gtrless} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { Dİㅁ } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 읎 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 400107 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kent Kangley Rd \& Landsburg Rd SE | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-92 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kent-Kangley Rd \& Kanaskat-Retreat Rd | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  | X | \$1,622 | Realign Intersection--Turn Channels |
| NM-5051 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Black Diamond- <br> Ravensdale Rd From <br> SR-169 To Kent- <br> Kangley Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$2,172 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| RC-133 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kent Kangley Rd From Landsburg Rd SE To Retreat Kanaskat Rd SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,896 | Reconstruct roadway 1.18 miles |
| RC-132 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kent Kangley Rd From <br> City Limit To <br> Landsburg Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,881 | Reconstruct roadway 1.14 miles |
| OP-INT-121 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Kent-Kangley Rd \& Landsburg Rd | Operations |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \$660 | Traffic Signal or roundabout |

## CORRIDOR: Lake Sawyer Rd

| SW-58 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 164th Pl SE \& SE <br> Covington-Sawyer Rd | Safety | Medium |  |  | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RC-6 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Lake Sawyer Rd From Covington C/L to 216 Ave SE | Reconstruction | High |  | X | \$1,171 | Road Rehabilitation |
| 400508 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Sawyer Rd From 164 Pl SE to 180 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  | Low | X | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | $\overline{\text { F }} \quad \stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{\omega}{*}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 쥬 } \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text { ⿳亠丷厂彡⿱丆贝刂 } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP－RD－41 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Covington－Lake Sawyer Rd From Thomas Rd To 216 Ave SE | Capacity Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  | X | \＄8，284 | Realign Roadway |
| SW－59 | Rural－S／O I－90 | 180th／181st Ave SE （Thomas Rd）\＆SE Covington－Sawyer Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄1，395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| NM－9974 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Covington－Sawyer Rd From east of 181 Ave SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \＄191 | Construct AC shoulder （North Side） |
| SW－84 | Rural－S／O I－90 | 181 Ave SE \＆SE Covington－Sawyer Rd | Safety |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄1，395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| CORRIDOR：Lk Holm Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW－27 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Auburn－Black Diamond \＆Green Valley Rd | Safety |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄1，395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| RC－140 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Lake Holm Rd From Auburn Black Diamond Rd To 147 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \＄1，741 | Reconstruct roadway 1.64 miles |
| CORRIDOR：Maxwell Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR－3099 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Maxwell Rd Bridge \＃3099 225th Ave SE Crossing Gem Creek | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄765 | Construct short－span bridge |
| BR－3202 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Maxwell Rd Bridge \＃3202 225th Ave SE Crossing cattle UX | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄765 | Construct short－span bridge |



| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ~ } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\text { P }}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 今 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-5034 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 168th Way (Ave) SE <br> From Kent-Black <br> Diamond Rd To Auburn- <br> Black Diamond Rd | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$724 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-5050 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Sweeney Rd SE From 196 Ave SE To SE 232 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$1,005 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| 400311 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3020 SE Greeen Valley Rd Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| OP-INT-98 | Rural - S/O I-90 | SE 235th Pl \& 244 Ave SE | Operations |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | \$434 | Improve Sight Distance |
| GR-52 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Summit-Landsburg Rd <br> From Landsburg Rd SE To Kent-Kangley Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$63 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-4054 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Covington-Sawyer Rd From 188 Ave SE to 192 Pl SE | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | X | \$161 | Construct walkway (North Side) |
| NM-9980 | Rural - S/O I-90 | 168th Way SE \& Covington Creek | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$55 | Widen bridge and construct sidewalk (East Side) |
| GR-54 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Lake Francis Rd From Cedar Grove Rd To SE 192nd St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$17 | Construct Guardrail |
| BR-3097 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Dorre Don Way Bridge \#3097 Dorre Don Way Crossing drainage ditch | Bridge |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 | Construct short-span bridge |
| RC-128 | Rural - S/O I-90 | Landsburg Rd SE From SE Summit Landsburg Rd To SE Kent Kangley Rd | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$1,547 | Reconstruct roadway 1.27 miles |
| Needs List for the Transportation Needs Report 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Needs List - Page 59 of 66 |  |  |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | Priorities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\#} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underline{\underline{0}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Cost－000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { D⿳⺈⿴囗⿰丨丨⿹勹巳刂丶 } \\ & \text { ㄹ. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR－110 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 248th Street | Safety |  |  |  |  | TBD |  |  |  | X | \＄64 | Construct Guardrail |
| 400309 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Summit－Landsburg Rd From City Limit To Landsburg Rd SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \＄8，747 | See King County Capital Improvement Program（CIP） document or website for detailed project description including scope． |
| NM－5047 | Rural－S／O I－90 | 244th Ave SE From SR－ 18 To SE 196 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | x | \＄514 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| ITS－27 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Auburn－Black Diamond ITS From At Kent－ Black Diamond Rd and SE Lake Holm Rd | ITS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | \＄145 | Provide Intelligent <br> Transportation System improvements which could include advanced intersection warning system；slide detection |
| GR－57 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE 208th St From 276th Ave SE To ENDTRE | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \＄383 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC－135 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Black Diamond <br> Ravensdale From SE <br> Kent Kangley Rd To <br> 268 Ave SE | Reconstruction |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \＄640 | Reconstruct roadway ． 6 mile |
| RC－142 | Rural－S／O I－90 | SE Green Valley Rd From 243 Ave SE To SR－169 | Reconstruction |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  | \＄1，524 | Reconstruct roadway 1.3 miles |
| CORRIDOR：Petrovitsky Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW－93 | Rural－S／O I－90 | Petrovitsky Rd \＆SE $232 \mathrm{St}$ | Safety |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄1，395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |




| Number | PAA | Location | Need | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \gtrless \end{aligned}$ | $$ | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { 플 } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Subarea: Vashon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRIDOR: Misc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM-9959 | Rural - Vashon | 107th Ave SW From SW 228 St to SW 232 St | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medium | X | \$276 | Construct AC shoulder (West Side) |
| RC-58 | Rural - Vashon | Cresent Dr SW From West Side Highway to SW Cove Road | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$574 | Rebuild Roadway with New Base |
| GR-106 | Rural - Vashon | SW 156th St From 91st Ave SW To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$13 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-5053 | Rural - Vashon | SW 240th St / Bay View DR From Vashon Highway SW To Burton Acres Park Entrance | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$885 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| RC-54 | Rural - Vashon | Govenor's Lane From 99 Ave SW to 96 Ave SW | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$2,783 | Replace seawall @\$2500/ft |
| NM-9975 | Rural - Vashon | Tahlequah Rd From near Tahlequah Ferry Dock | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low | X | \$184 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| RC-15 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway Seawall From 115th Ave SW To SW 240th Pl | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$15,606 | Perform feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, environmental documents, design and construct a solution to the major vulnerabilities of the Vashon Highway. In particular a solution to the 3200 linier feet of failing seawalls along Quartermaster Harbor will be part of this project. |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 戸 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 渞 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ग्रे } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300208 | Rural - Vashon | Dockton Road <br> Preservation - Seawall From SW Ellisport Road to Portage Way SW | Preservation |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  | X | \$31,285 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| NM-4079 | Rural - Vashon | Cemetery Rd From <br> Beall Rd SW to \# 9303 | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD | x | \$80 | Improve pathway (South Side) |
| GR-70 | Rural - Vashon | Beall Rd SW From SW <br> Cemetery Rd To SW <br> Bank Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | x | \$18 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-83 | Rural - Vashon | Point Robinson Rd From Dockton Rd SW To End of route | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$421 | Construct Guardrail |
| RC-27 | Rural - Vashon | Quartermaster Drive <br> Seawall From 1/4 mi. <br> east of Monument Rd <br> SW To Dockton Rd SW | Preservation |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  |  | X | \$379 | Replace seawall |
| GR-65 | Rural - Vashon | Cove Road From Westside Highway SW To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$22 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-69 | Rural - Vashon | Wax Orchard Rd SW From SW 220th St To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | X | \$545 | Construct Guardrail |
| NM-0106 | Rural - Vashon | Bank Rd From 97 Pl SW to Beall Rd SW | Nonmotorized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | X | \$584 | Construct AC shoulder (South Side) |
| GR-79 | Rural - Vashon | Cemetery Rd From <br> Westside Highway SW <br> To Vashon Highway SW | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | x | \$13 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-97 | Rural - Vashon | 91st Ave SW From SW 156th St To Gorsuch Rd | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$13 | Construct Guardrail |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज | $\stackrel{\mathscr{N}}{\stackrel{\sim}{0}} \underset{\gtrless}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 밀. } \\ & \text { 융 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { OM } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { ㄹ. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\text { In }}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| RC-59 | Rural - Vashon | Kingsbury Beach Rd From SW 234 St to 80 Ave SW | Preservation |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  | X | \$574 | Rebuild Roadway with New Base |

## CORRIDOR: Vashon Island Highway-N

| SW-2 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway \& SW Bank Rd | Safety | High |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW-96 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway \& SW Cemetery Rd | Safety | High |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| NM-5054 | Rural - Vashon | Bank Rd From 107 Ave SW To Vashon Highway | Nonmotorized |  |  | High | X | \$602 | Provide Nonmotorized Facility |
| NM-4080 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Island Hwy From \#20120 to Metro bus stop | Nonmotorized |  |  | TBD | X | \$80 | Construct separated pathway (East Side) |
| SW-95 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Highway \& SW 178 St | Safety | Medium |  |  | X | \$1,395 | Intersection Operational Improvement |
| NM-0203 | Rural - Vashon | Vashon Hwy SW / SW Bank Rd From SW 177 St to 98 Pl SW | Nonmotorized |  |  | High | X | \$80 | Construct sidewalk (East and South Sides) |
| 300708 | Rural - Vashon | Judd Creek Bridge \#3184 - Redeck On Vashon Highway SW From SW 225 St to SW 227 St | Bridge |  |  |  |  | \$0 | See King County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) document or website for detailed project description including scope. |
| CORRIDOR: Westside Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RC-56 | Rural - Vashon | Westside Highway SW From Cresent Dr SW to Cresent Dr SW | Preservation |  | Low |  | X | \$458 | Rebuild Roadway with New Base |


| Number | PAA | Location | Need |  |  |  | Priorities |  |  |  |  |  | Cost-000 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ज |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믐 } \\ & \text { 高 } \end{aligned}$ | ग <br> O <br> O <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR-76 | Rural - Vashon | Westside Highway SW From SW 220th St To SW 196th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | X | \$32 | Construct Guardrail |
| GR-73 | Rural - Vashon | Westside Highway SW From SW 144th St To SW 196th St | Safety |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | x | \$103 | Construct Guardrail |
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# TNR <br> Project <br> Indexes 

Page Numbers by Project Number
Page Numbers by Project Name

Project Index
Page Number by Project Number

| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100109 | 9 |
| 100112 | 6 |
| 100114 | 4 |
| 100209 | 3 |
| 100213 | 37 |
| 100308 | 7 |
| 100309 | 5 |
| 100408 | 2 |
| 100410 | 33 |
| 100508 | 3 |
| 100901 | 7 |
| 100909 | 7 |
| 100992 | 7 |
| 101101 | 6 |
| 101404 | 8 |
| 200108 | 11 |
| 200112 | 43 |
| 200113 | 46 |
| 200114 | 42 |
| 200115 | 41 |
| 200209 | 44 |
| 200211 | 25 |
| 200212 | 43 |
| 200213 | 46 |
| 200214 | 41 |
| 200215 | 40 |
| 200308 | 24 |
| 200309 | 11 |
| 200310 | 44 |
| 200311 | 46 |
| 200315 | 40 |
| 200408 | 47 |
| 200411 | 39 |
| 200412 | 45 |
| 200512 | 44 |
| 300108 | 21 |
| 300109 | 22 |
| 300110 | 19 |
| 300197 | 30 |
| 300208 | 64 |
| 300209 | 22 |
| 300210 | 28 |
|  |  |
| 2 |  |


| Project Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 300213 | 50 |
| 300308 | 20 |
| 300311 | 17 |
| 300313 | 50 |
| 300406 | 30 |
| 300407 | 21 |
| 300408 | 17 |
| 300410 | 30 |
| 300411 | 17 |
| 300508 | 21 |
| 300607 | 32 |
| 300610 | 31 |
| 300611 | 21 |
| 300708 | 65 |
| 300710 | 27 |
| 300810 | 50 |
| 400107 | 56 |
| 400109 | 51 |
| 400113 | 48 |
| 400210 | 14 |
| 400211 | 55 |
| 400309 | 60 |
| 400310 | 14 |
| 400311 | 59 |
| 400313 | 25 |
| 400409 | 51 |
| 400410 | 14 |
| 400411 | 58 |
| 400508 | 56 |
| 400511 | 55 |
| 400600 | 55 |
| 400610 | 58 |
| BR-1384A | 55 |
| BR-186J | 44 |
| BR-240A | 4 |
| BR-3030 | 15 |
| BR-3049 | 13 |
| BR-3050A | 10 |
| BR-3051 | 15 |
| BR-3052 | 15 |
| BR-3056A | 14 |
| BR-3060 | 15 |


| Project <br> Number | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| BR-3066 | 14 |
| BR-3097 | 59 |
| BR-3099 | 57 |
| BR-3202 | 57 |
| BR-359C | 41 |
| BR-5009B | 46 |
| BR-593C | 24 |
| BR-61B | 39 |
| BR-72A | 24 |
| BR-916A | 45 |
| BR-952A | 6 |
| BR-999X | 10 |
| CP-1 | 20 |
| CP-10 | 33 |
| CP-11 | 35 |
| CP-12 | 8 |
| CP-13 | 2 |
| CP-15 | 50 |
| CP-16 | 2 |
| CP-17 | 11 |
| CP-18 | 35 |
| CP-2 | 20 |
| CP-3 | 36 |
| CP-4 | 35 |
| CP-5 | 18 |
| GR-103 | 16 |
| GR-104 | 15 |
| GR-106 | 63 |
| GR-109 | 44 |
| GR-11 | 61 |
| GR-110 | 60 |
| GR-111 | 39 |
| GR-112 | 58 |
| GR-113 | 58 |
| GR-115 | 3 |
| GR-13 | 44 |
| GR-28 | 39 |
| GR-44 | 45 |
| GR-45 | 58 |
| GR-47 | 15 |
| GR-48 | 31 |
| GR-52 | 59 |

## Project Index <br> Page Number by Project Number

| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| GR-54 | 59 |
| GR-57 | 60 |
| GR-58 | 29 |
| GR-63 | 61 |
| GR-65 | 64 |
| GR-66 | 38 |
| GR-67 | 38 |
| GR-69 | 64 |
| GR-70 | 64 |
| GR-71 | 19 |
| GR-73 | 66 |
| GR-75 | 42 |
| GR-76 | 66 |
| GR-78 | 39 |
| GR-79 | 64 |
| GR-80 | 45 |
| GR-82 | 41 |
| GR-83 | 64 |
| GR-84 | 14 |
| GR-86 | 13 |
| GR-87 | 58 |
| GR-88 | 49 |
| GR-91 | 35 |
| GR-92 | 15 |
| GR-93 | 58 |
| GR-94 | 41 |
| GR-95 | 58 |
| GR-96 | 15 |
| GR-97 | 64 |
| GR-98 | 40 |
| HAL-11 | 47 |
| HAL-14 | 51 |
| HAL-16 | 27 |
| HAL-2 | 18 |
| HAL-20 | 33 |
| HAL-26 | 2 |
| HAL-3 | 20 |
| HAL-32 | 11 |
| HAL-35 | 8 |
| HAL-38 | 2 |
| HAL-42 | 25 |
| HAL-43 | 26 |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| HAL-48 | 18 |
| HAL-49 | 37 |
| HAL-59 | 51 |
| HAL-61 | 26 |
| ITS-10 | 36 |
| ITS-11 | 5 |
| ITS-12 | 32 |
| ITS-13 | 8 |
| ITS-14 | 44 |
| ITS-15 | 54 |
| ITS-16 | 5 |
| ITS-17 | 35 |
| ITS-18 | 47 |
| ITS-19 | 23 |
| ITS-21 | 33 |
| ITS-23 | 48 |
| ITS-24 | 61 |
| ITS-25 | 45 |
| ITS-26 | 27 |
| ITS-27 | 60 |
| ITS-28 | 26 |
| ITS-29 | 24 |
| ITS-3 | 2 |
| ITS-30 | 49 |
| ITS-31 | 11 |
| ITS-33 | 32 |
| ITS-34 | 24 |
| ITS-6 | 9 |
| ITS-8 | 21 |
| NM-0004 | 28 |
| NM-0015 | 13 |
| NM-0106 | 64 |
| NM-0107 | 35 |
| NM-0109 | 25 |
| NM-0110 | 27 |
| NM-0111 | 34 |
| NM-0202 | 58 |
| NM-0203 | 65 |
| NM-0301 | 34 |
| NM-0302 | 29 |
| NM-4009 | 25 |
|  | 25 |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| NM-4012 | 29 |
| NM-4033 | 52 |
| NM-4036 | 51 |
| NM-4041 | 52 |
| NM-4042 | 18 |
| NM-4054 | 59 |
| NM-4063 | 31 |
| NM-4065 | 53 |
| NM-4066 | 19 |
| NM-4067 | 20 |
| NM-4071 | 31 |
| NM-4077 | 31 |
| NM-4079 | 64 |
| NM-4080 | 65 |
| NM-5001 | 3 |
| NM-5002 | 8 |
| NM-5003 | 47 |
| NM-5004 | 6 |
| NM-5006 | 13 |
| NM-5007 | 14 |
| NM-5008 | 16 |
| NM-5009 | 13 |
| NM-5010 | 15 |
| NM-5011 | 15 |
| NM-5012 | 13 |
| NM-5013 | 13 |
| NM-5014 | 17 |
| NM-5015 | 49 |
| NM-5016 | 27 |
| NM-5017 | 28 |
| NM-5018 | 28 |
| NM-5019 | 31 |
| NM-5020 | 28 |
| NM-5021 | 28 |
| NM-5022 | 29 |
| NM-5023 | 34 |
| NM-5024 | 36 |
| NM-5025 | 36 |
| NM-5026 | 4 |
| NM-5027 | 36 |
| 3029 | 34 |

Project Index
Page Number by Project Number

| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| NM-5030 | 23 |
| NM-5031 | 23 |
| NM-5032 | 52 |
| NM-5033 | 51 |
| NM-5034 | 59 |
| NM-5035 | 55 |
| NM-5036 | 49 |
| NM-5038 | 50 |
| NM-5039 | 52 |
| NM-5041 | 38 |
| NM-5042 | 40 |
| NM-5045 | 43 |
| NM-5047 | 60 |
| NM-5049 | 62 |
| NM-5050 | 59 |
| NM-5051 | 56 |
| NM-5052 | 61 |
| NM-5053 | 63 |
| NM-5054 | 65 |
| NM-5060 | 43 |
| NM-5061 | 43 |
| NM-5062 | 47 |
| NM-5063 | 47 |
| NM-5064 | 42 |
| NM-5065 | 42 |
| NM-5066 | 4 |
| NM-5067 | 3 |
| NM-5068 | 52 |
| NM-5069 | 52 |
| NM-5070 | 51 |
| NM-5071 | 51 |
| NM-9901 | 36 |
| NM-9903 | 37 |
| NM-9904 | 36 |
| NM-9906 | 35 |
| NM-9913 | 34 |
| NM-9915 | 40 |
| NM-9916 | 43 |
| NM-9917 | 12 |
| NM-9918 | 23 |
| NM-9920 | 30 |
| NM-9922 | 30 |
|  |  |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| NM-9928 | 30 |
| NM-9930 | 29 |
| NM-9936 | 30 |
| NM-9937 | 29 |
| NM-9938 | 28 |
| NM-9939 | 28 |
| NM-9941 | 38 |
| NM-9942 | 38 |
| NM-9945 | 30 |
| NM-9958 | 38 |
| NM-9959 | 63 |
| NM-9965 | 49 |
| NM-9966 | 49 |
| NM-9967 | 62 |
| NM-9968 | 39 |
| NM-9970 | 19 |
| NM-9971 | 19 |
| NM-9974 | 57 |
| NM-9975 | 63 |
| NM-9976 | 18 |
| NM-9980 | 59 |
| NM-9983 | 15 |
| OP-INT-100 | 19 |
| OP-INT-102 | 48 |
| OP-INT-103 | 37 |
| OP-INT-105 | 18 |
| OP-INT-106 | 50 |
| OP-INT-108 | 55 |
| OP-INT-113 | 7 |
| OP-INT-115 | 19 |
| OP-INT-116 | 18 |
| OP-INT-119 | 26 |
| OP-INT-120 | 21 |
| OP-INT-121 | 56 |
| OP-INT-122 | 46 |
| OP-INT-123 | 54 |
| OP-INT-124 | 54 |
| OP-INT-50 | 8 |
| OP-INT-71 | 3 |
| OP-INT-72 | 61 |
| OP-INT-73 | 13 |
| OP-INT-74 | 13 |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| OP-INT-75 | 12 |
| OP-INT-76 | 32 |
| OP-INT-78 | 27 |
| OP-INT-79 | 29 |
| OP-INT-80 | 34 |
| OP-INT-81 | 34 |
| OP-INT-82 | 4 |
| OP-INT-83 | 24 |
| OP-INT-84 | 25 |
| OP-INT-85 | 50 |
| OP-INT-88 | 44 |
| OP-INT-90 | 49 |
| OP-INT-91 | 61 |
| OP-INT-92 | 56 |
| OP-INT-93 | 61 |
| OP-INT-95 | 62 |
| OP-INT-97 | 55 |
| OP-INT-98 | 59 |
| OP-INT-99 | 3 |
| OP-RD-11 | 11 |
| OP-RD-12 | 29 |
| OP-RD-13 | 28 |
| OP-RD-14 | 29 |
| OP-RD-16 | 37 |
| OP-RD-18 | 36 |
| OP-RD-2 | 31 |
| OP-RD-20 | 25 |
| OP-RD-21 | 26 |
| OP-RD-22 | 54 |
| OP-RD-24 | 23 |
| OP-RD-25 | 23 |
| OP-RD-26 | 24 |
| OP-RD-27 | 50 |
| OP-RD-3 | 18 |
| OP-RD-37 | 40 |
| OP-RD-38 | 38 |
| OP-RD-39 | 42 |
| OP-RD-4 | 39 |
| OP-RD-40 | 42 |
| OP-RD-41 | 57 |
| OP-RD-44 | 49 |
| OP-RD-45 | 3 |

## Page Number by Project Number

| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| OP-RD-46 | 41 |
| OP-RD-47 | 32 |
| OP-RD-48 | 22 |
| OP-RD-5 | 6 |
| OP-RD-50 | 29 |
| OP-RD-52 | 4 |
| OP-RD-53 | 54 |
| OP-RD-54 | 40 |
| OP-RD-7 | 5 |
| OP-RD-8 | 2 |
| OP-RD-9 | 8 |
| RC-113 | 46 |
| RC-116 | 6 |
| RC-118 | 54 |
| RC-119 | 54 |
| RC-120 | 54 |
| RC-121 | 54 |
| RC-125 | 53 |
| RC-126 | 53 |
| RC-127 | 53 |
| RC-128 | 59 |
| RC-129 | 62 |
| RC-130 | 62 |
| RC-132 | 56 |
| RC-133 | 56 |
| RC-135 | 60 |
| RC-136 | 61 |
| RC-137 | 53 |
| RC-138 | 53 |
| RC-139 | 53 |
| RC-140 | 57 |
| RC-142 | 60 |
| RC-15 | 63 |
| RC-150 | 46 |
| RC-16 | 38 |
| RC-17 | 45 |
| RC-18 | 45 |
| RC-19 | 42 |
| RC-24 | 19 |
| RC-27 | 64 |
| RC-3 | 51 |
| RC-32 | 45 |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| RC-34 | 40 |
| RC-35 | 12 |
| RC-36 | 42 |
| RC-37 | 38 |
| RC-38 | 41 |
| RC-39 | 46 |
| RC-40 | 43 |
| RC-41 | 31 |
| RC-42 | 20 |
| RC-43 | 9 |
| RC-44 | 5 |
| RC-45 | 39 |
| RC-46 | 35 |
| RC-48 | 34 |
| RC-49 | 19 |
| RC-50 | 49 |
| RC-51 | 5 |
| RC-52 | 34 |
| RC-53 | 14 |
| RC-54 | 63 |
| RC-55 | 41 |
| RC-56 | 65 |
| RC-57 | 41 |
| RC-58 | 63 |
| RC-59 | 65 |
| RC-6 | 56 |
| RC-7 | 43 |
| RC-8 | 10 |
| SW-13 | 50 |
| SW-17 | 48 |
| SW-2 | 65 |
| SW-27 | 57 |
| SW-29 | 24 |
| SW-38 | 33 |
| SW-45 | 53 |
| SW-51 | 6 |
| SW-54 | 23 |
| SW-55 | 32 |
| SW-56 | 52 |
| SW-57 | 18 |
| 56 |  |
| SW | 57 |
|  |  |


| Project <br> Number | Page <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| SW-61 | 22 |
| SW-66 | 17 |
| SW-72 | 31 |
| SW-73 | 20 |
| SW-74 | 17 |
| SW-75 | 30 |
| SW-76 | 33 |
| SW-78 | 33 |
| SW-81 | 48 |
| SW-82 | 4 |
| SW-83 | 26 |
| SW-84 | 57 |
| SW-85 | 26 |
| SW-86 | 6 |
| SW-87 | 7 |
| SW-89 | 62 |
| SW-90 | 3 |
| SW-91 | 48 |
| SW-92 | 11 |
| SW-93 | 60 |
| SW-94 | 22 |
| SW-95 | 65 |
| SW-96 | 65 |
| SW-97 | 8 |

Page Number by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1st Ave S./Myers Way ITS From SW <br> 100th St. to SW 112th St. | 27 |
| 1st Ave S From S 102 St to S 108 St | 27 |
| 1st Ave S From SW 108 St to SW <br> 112 St | 29 |
| 1st Ave S. \& Seattle C/L to Burien <br> C/L | 29 |
| 4 Ave SW \& SW 102 St | 31 |
| 6th Ave S From Glendale Way/S112 <br> St To Myers Way (1 Ave S) | 29 |
| 8th Ave SW From SW 108 St To SW <br> Roxbury St | 28 |
| 8th Ave S From S Seatlle City Limit <br> To Glendale Way S/S 112 St | 29 |
| 11th Ave SW From SW 102 St to SW <br> 106 St | 30 |
| 14th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW <br> 116 St | 31 |
| 15 Ave SW - east side From SW 106 <br> St To SW 107 St | 31 |
| 16th Ave SW From SW Roxbury to <br> SW 116th St. | 28 |
| 16th Ave SW \& SW Roxbury St | 27 |
| 16th Ave SW \& SW 106 St | 27 |
| 17th Ave SW From SW 100th St to <br> SW 104th St | 27 |
| 22nd Place S From Des Moines <br> Mem. Dr. S to Burien City Limits | 31 |
| SE 24th St From 309th Ave SE To <br> W. Snoqualmie River Rd | 45 |
| 28th Ave S From S 349 St to S360 <br> ST | 19 |
| 28th Ave S From S 348th St To SR <br> 161 | 19 |
| 28th Ave SE \& S 360th St | 22 |
| 28th Ave SW From SW Roxbury St to <br> SW 102 St | 30 |
| 28th Ave SW From SW 110 St to SW <br> 112 St | 30 |
| 32nd Ave S From S 360 St to S 368 <br> St | 20 |
| S 32nd Ave S Study From S 312th St <br> to Military Road (Federal Way Lead) | 20 |
| Sth Ave S From S 288 St to S 298 | 19 |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 34 Ave S \& S. 288 St | 22 |
| 36th PI S/ S 294 St/ 45 PI S From S 298 St to S 288 St | 19 |
| 38th Ave S From S 304 St to S 307 St | 18 |
| 38th Ave S From S 344 St to Fishing Access Rd | 18 |
| 40th Ave S \& S 272nd St | 21 |
| 43 PI S \& S 288 St (T J High School) | 22 |
| 46 Pl S \& S 321 St | 20 |
| 48th Ave S \& S 288th St | 21 |
| NE 50th St From 192 PI NE to Sahalee Way NE | 12 |
| NE 50th St From 214 Ave NE to SR202 | 12 |
| 51st Ave S \& S 316th St. | 17 |
| 51st Ave S \& S 288th St. | 17 |
| 51 Ave S \& S 298 St | 17 |
| 58th Place S./56th Place S. From West Valley Rd to West Valley Rd | 19 |
| 64 Ave S \& S 129 St | 30 |
| 68th Ave S From Martin Luther King Way to Renton City Limits | 31 |
| 69th Ave S / S 125 St From S 128 St to 70 PI S | 30 |
| 72nd Ave NE From Juanita Drive NE To end of route | 35 |
| 75th Ave S / S 122 St From Renton Ave S to 80 Ave S | 30 |
| 76 th Ave S From S 120 St to S 124 St | 28 |
| 76th Ave S From S 115 St to S 116 St | 28 |
| 76th Ave S From S 124 St To S 128 St | 28 |
| 78th Ave S From S 112 St To Renton Ave S | 28 |
| 78th Ave S From S 120 St to S 124 St | 28 |
| 80th Ave S From S 114 St to S 118 St | 29 |
| NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie Valley Rd to Ames Lake-Carnation Rd | 42 |

## Page Number by Project Name

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Project } \\ \text { Name }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Page } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l\|c\|}\text { NE 80th St From West Snoqualmie } \\ \text { Valley Rd To Ames Lake Rd }\end{array}$ | 42 |
| 84th Ave NE \& NE 138 St | 34 |
| 87th Ave S \& S 124 St | 29 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 88th Ave NE From NE 198 St to NE } \\ \text { 205 St }\end{array}$ | 36 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 90th Ave NE From NE 136 St To NE } \\ \text { 138 PL }\end{array}$ | 37 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 91st Ave SW From SW 156th St To } \\ \text { Gorsuch Rd }\end{array}$ | 64 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SW 98th Street From 11 Ave SW to } \\ \text { 16 Ave SW }\end{array}$ | 32 |
| 100 Ave NE \& NE 140 St | 33 |
| 100th Ave NE \& NE 140th PL | 33 |
| 100th Ave NE \& Simonds Rd | 33 |
| $\begin{array}{l\|c\|}\hline 100 t h ~ A v e ~ N E ~ F r o m ~ N E 139 ~ S t ~ t o ~ N E ~ \\ \text { 145th St }\end{array}$ | 33 |
| $\begin{array}{l\|c\|}\text { 100th Ave NE From 132th Ave NE to } \\ \text { 138th Ave NE }\end{array}$ | 33 |
| NE 100 St From West Snoqualmie |  |
| Valley Rd to 284 Ave NE |  |$] 41$


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| NE 124th St \& 162 PI NE | 4 |
| S 124th St From 76 Ave SW To Skyway Park | 29 |
| 124th Ave NE ITS From NE 132nd St to NE 160th St. | 33 |
| 124 Ave NE \& NE 140 St | 33 |
| NE 124th St From SR 203 To End of route | 41 |
| NE 124th St \& West Snoqualmie Valley Rd | 46 |
| SE 128th St From 168 Ave SE To E OF 169 Ave SE | 26 |
| SE 128th St. ITS From 148th Ave SE to May Valley Road | 26 |
| NE 132nd St / NE 128th St From 184 Ave NE to 196 Ave NE | 4 |
| NE 132nd St From 100th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE | 36 |
| S 133 St From MLK Way to S 134th St | 30 |
| NE 140th St AND / OR NE 145 St Crossing l-405 | 36 |
| 140 Ave SE \& SE 200 St | 48 |
| 140th Ave SE/132nd Ave SE ITS From SE 240th St. to SE 192nd St. | 48 |
| 140 Ave SE \& SE 184 St (Carriage Crest Elementary School) | 48 |
| 140th Ave SE \& Petrovitsky Rd | 50 |
| 140th Ave SE \& SE Petrovitsky Rd | 51 |
| NE 141st St From east of 84 Ave NE | 34 |
| NE 144th St. ITS From 124th Ave NE to 148th Ave NE | 35 |
| NE 145th St From 160 PI NE to 168 Ave NE | 34 |
| NE 145th St From 100 Ave NE TO Juanita-Woodinville Rd | 37 |
| NE 146th PI \& 155 Ave NE | 34 |
| 146th PI NE From SR-202 to 155 Ave NE | 34 |
| 148th Ave SE \& May Valley Rd | 23 |
| 148th Ave NE From NE 154 St to NE 167 St | 36 |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 208th St | 48 |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 308th St | 48 |
| 148 Ave SE From SE 296 St To S.I.R. | 49 |

Page Number by Project Name

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 148th Ave SE \& SE 224th St | 51 |
| SE 149th St / 442 Ave SE From 437 PI SE to 443 Ave SE | 38 |
| 154th PI SE / SE 142 PI From SE Jones Rd To 156 Ave SE | 23 |
| 154th Ave SE From SE 39 St to SE 42 St | 25 |
| 152nd PI NE / 158 Ave NE From NE 160 St to NE 165 St | 37 |
| 156th Ave SE ITS From SE 128th St to SR 169 | 23 |
| 156th Ave SE From SE 128 St To SE 133 St | 23 |
| 156th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | 25 |
| 156th Ave SE From SE 240th St To CITY LIMIT | 49 |
| SW 156th St From 91st Ave SW To Vashon Highway SW | 63 |
| 160th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | 26 |
| 162 PI NE \& NE 124 Way | 4 |
| 164th Ave SE ITS From SE 128th St. to SE May Valley Rd. | 24 |
| 164th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | 26 |
| 164th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 248 St | 50 |
| 164th PI SE \& SE 240th St | 52 |
| 164 th Ave SE From SE 224 St to SE 240 St | 52 |
| 164th PI SE \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | 56 |
| NE 165th St From 179 PI NE To 183 Ave NE | 5 |
| 168th Ave SE \& SE 128th St | 26 |
| 168th Ave NE From NE 143 St to NE 145 St | 34 |
| 168th Ave NE From NE 143 PI To NE 140 St | 34 |
| 168th Way (Ave) SE From KentBlack Diamond Rd To Auburn-Black Diamond Rd | 59 |
| 168th Way SE \& Covington Creek | 59 |
| 172nd Ave NE From Redmond City Limits To NE 138 St | 4 |
| 175 Ave SE \& SE 128 St | 26 |
| NE 175 / NE 172 PI From 155 PI NE To Du Rocher Rd (174 NE) | 36 |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 176th Ave NE From WoodinvilleDuvall Rd To NE 195 St | 36 |
| SE 176th St \& SE Petrovitsky Rd | 51 |
| 178 th Ave NE From NE 131 St to NE 136 St | 35 |
| 180th/181st Ave SE (Thomas Rd) \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | 57 |
| 181 Ave SE \& SE Covington-Sawyer Rd | 57 |
| SE 183rd St From 142 Ave SE to 147 Ave SE | 49 |
| 194th Ave NE \& Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 8 |
| 195th Ave SE From Lake Morton DR SE to SE 320 St | 58 |
| 196th Ave SE From SE 400th St To SE 456th St | 15 |
| 196 Ave SE \& SE 128 St/Way | 26 |
| 196 th Ave SE From SE 161 St to SE 170 St | 49 |
| 196th Ave SE \& SE 192 St | 49 |
| 196 th Ave SE From SE 240 St To SE 232 St | 52 |
| 200 th Ave SE From SE 400 St to 0.17 miles north | 15 |
| SE 200th St From 276th Ave SE To 244th Ave SE | 58 |
| 204th Ave SE / SE 159th St From SE 156 St to 205 Ave SE | 25 |
| 208th Ave NE \& NE Union Hill Rd | 7 |
| 208th Ave SE Bridge \#3060 208th Ave SE Crossing drainage ditch | 15 |
| SE 208th St From 132th Ave SE To 148th Ave SE | 50 |
| SE 208th St From 276th Ave SE To ENDTRE | 60 |
| 212 th Ave SE From SE 384 St To SE 358 St | 13 |
| 214 Ave NE \& NE Novelty Hill Rd | 6 |
| SE 216th St From Approx. 232 Ave SE To 276 Ave SE | 62 |
| SE 216 St From 244 Ave SE To 276 Ave SE | 62 |
| SE 216th Way \& Dorre Don Way | 62 |
| SE 216th Way From SR-169 to Dorre Don Way SE | 62 |

Page Number by Project Name

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| SE 216 Way From SR 169 To 244 Ave SE | 62 |
| 218 Ave NE \& NE Novelty Hill Rd | 7 |
| 218th Ave SE \& Green Valley Rd | 13 |
| SE 224th St From 132 Ave SE To 148 Ave SE | 51 |
| SE 224th St From 172 Ave SE to 180 Ave SE | 51 |
| 228th Ave SE From SE 400th St To SE 452ND St | 15 |
| 232nd Ave NE From NE 142 St To Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 3 |
| SE 232 St From 196 Ave SE St To SR-18 | 51 |
| SE 235th PI \& 244 Ave SE | 59 |
| 238th Ave NE \& Union Hill Rd | 6 |
| 238th Ave NE \& NE 63rd PL | 6 |
| SE 240th St From 148 Ave SE (south side) To 164 Ave SE | 52 |
| SE 240th St From 164 Ave SE To 180 Ave SE | 52 |
| SE 240th St From 196 Ave SE To SR-18 | 52 |
| SE 240th St From 156 Ave SE to 172 Ave SE | 52 |
| SW 240th St / Bay View DR From Vashon Highway SW To Burton Acres Park Entrance | 63 |
| 244th Ave SE From SR-164 To SE 456 St | 13 |
| 244th Ave SE From SR-164 To SE 400 St | 13 |
| 244th Ave SE From SE 224th St To SE 235th PL | 58 |
| 244th Ave SE From SR-18 To SE 196 St | 60 |
| 244 Ave SE \& SE 216 St | 62 |
| SE 248th Street | 60 |
| S 272nd Way \& 55th Ave S. | 21 |
| 276th Ave SE From SE 231 ST to 300' north | 53 |
| 276th Ave SE \& SE 216th St | 53 |
| 276 Ave SE From SR 18 To SE 200 St | 53 |
| 276 Ave SE From SE 216 St To SE Summit Landsburg Rd | 53 |


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 276 \text { Ave SE From SE } 200 \text { St To SE } \\ & 216 \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | 53 |
| S 277th St - ITS From West Valley Hwy to Military Rd S | 21 |
| SE 277th St Bridge \#3126 On SE 277th St Crossing Slough | 21 |
| 284th Ave SE From Mud Mountain Dam Rd To SR-164 | 13 |
| 284th Ave SE Bridge \#3049 284th Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek | 13 |
| 284th Ave SE From SE 416 St To SR-410 | 13 |
| 284th Ave NE From NE 100 St to NE Carnation Farm Rd | 40 |
| S 304th St From 32nd Ave S To 37th Ave S | 19 |
| 308th Ave SE From SE 87th PI To SE 64th St | 38 |
| 308th Ave SE From SE 64 St to SE 87 PI | 38 |
| 308th Ave SE From SR 202 To SE 40th St | 45 |
| SE 309th St From CumberlandKanaskat To End of route | 61 |
| S 312th St Study From 28th Ave S to 51st Ave S (Federal Way Lead) | 20 |
| 312th Ave SE Bridge \#228F On West Snoqualmie River Rd Crossing drainage ditch | 45 |
| 316th PI SE From SE 86th St To End of route | 44 |
| S 321st St \& Peasley Canyon Rd | 19 |
| 322nd Ave NE From NE Big Rock Rd to NE 130 St | 43 |
| S 360th St From SR-161 to 28th Ave S | 22 |
| S 360th St From Enchanted Pkwy S to 21 PIS | 22 |
| SE 380 St Bridge \#3030 SE 308th St Crossing slough | 15 |
| SE 384th St From 160th PI SE To 212th Ave SE | 14 |
| 384th Ave SE From SE 92ND St To North Bend Way | 41 |
| SE 400th Way From SE 400 St To SE 392 St | 15 |

## Page Number by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Page } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SE 408th St Bridge \#3056A On SE } \\ \text { 408th St Crossing drainage ditch }\end{array}$ | 14 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SE 424th St Bridge \#3201 On SE } \\ \text { 424th St Crossing Watercress Creek }\end{array}$ | 14 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 428th Ave SE From SE Reinig Rd to } \\ \text { SE 108 St }\end{array}$ | 38 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 428th Ave SE/NE 12 St From Reinig } \\ \text { Rd To North Bend Way }\end{array}$ | 38 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SE 432nd St From 284 Ave SE To } \\ \text { 268 Ave SE }\end{array}$ | 16 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SE 432nd St From 268th Ave SE To } \\ \text { 284th Ave SE }\end{array}$ | 16 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { 436 Ave SE/Cedar Falls Rd From I- } \\ \text { 90 To Wilderness Rim }\end{array}$ | 38 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SE 448th St From 244 Ave SE to } \\ \text { Enumclaw City Limits }\end{array}$ | 13 |
| SE 448th St \& 244 Ave SE | 53 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SE 456th Way From 196th Ave SE } \\ \text { To 228th Ave SE }\end{array}$ | 15 |
| Description | 13 |
| Avondale Road ITS Phase 1 From |  |
| Novelty Hill Rd to NE 132nd St |  |$]$


| Project <br> Name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Page } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Avondale Road ITS Phase 2 From } \\ \text { NE 132nd St to Woodinville-Duvall } \\ \text { Road }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| Avondale Road NE \& NE 165th St | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Avondale Road NE \& Woodinville- } \\ \text { Duvall Rd }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Avondale Road NE Ph II From NE } \\ \text { 155th St to NE 168th St }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Avondale Road Phase III From NE } \\ \text { 133rd St To NE 155th St }\end{array}$ | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Bank Rd From 97 PI SW to Beall Rd } \\ \text { SW }\end{array}$ | 64 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Bank Rd From 107 Ave SW To } \\ \text { Vashon Highway }\end{array}$ | 65 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Beacon Coal Mine Rd From S 129th } \\ \text { St To S 138th St }\end{array}$ | 31 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Beall Rd SW From SW Cemetery Rd } \\ \text { To SW Bank Rd }\end{array}$ | 64 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Bear Creek Bridge \#333A On NE } \\ \text { 133rd St Crossing Bear Creek }\end{array}$ | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Bear Creek Bridge \#480A On NE } \\ \text { 116th St Crossing Bear Creek }\end{array}$ | 3 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Bear Creek Rd From Avondale Rd To } \\ \text { Mink Rd }\end{array}$ | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Bear Creek Rd From Mink Rd To NE } \\ \text { 133 St }\end{array}$ | 3 |
| Bear Creek Rd \& Mink Rd | 40 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Berrydale Overcrossing \#3086OX \& } \\ \text { 290th }\end{array}$ | 55 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Big Rock Rd From Batten Rd NE to } \\ \text { 296 Ave NE }\end{array}$ | 40 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Black Diamond Ravensdale From SE } \\ \text { Kent Kangley Rd To 268 Ave SE }\end{array}$ | 60 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Black Diamond-Ravensdale Rd From } \\ \text { SR-169 To Kent-Kangley Rd }\end{array}$ | 56 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Boise Creek Bridge \#3051 On 276th } \\ \text { Ave SE Crossing Boise Creek }\end{array}$ | 15 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Boise Creek Bridge \#3052 268th Ave } \\ \text { SE Crossing Boise Creek }\end{array}$ | 15 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249B On } \\ \text { C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage } \\ \text { ditch }\end{array}$ | 43 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { C.W. Neal Road Bridge \#249C On } \\ \text { C.W. Neal Rd Crossing drainage } \\ \text { ditch }\end{array}$ | 43 |
| To SR-203 |  |$]$

## Page Number by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Page } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cascade Scenic Highway Bridge } \\ \text { \#999X On Cascade Scenic Highway } \\ \text { Crossing Miller River Slough }\end{array}$ | 10 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cedar Falls Rd SE From near } \\ \text { Rattlesnake Lake }\end{array}$ | 39 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cemetery Rd From Beall Rd SW to \# } \\ \text { 9303 }\end{array}$ | 64 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cemetery Rd From Westside } \\ \text { Highway SW To Vashon Highway } \\ \text { SW }\end{array}$ | 64 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Clough Creek (Kimball Creek) Bridge } \\ \text { \#909B SE 141st St Crossing Clough } \\ \text { Creek }\end{array}$ | 41 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Coal Creek Bridge \#1086B On 378th } \\ \text { Ave SE Crossing Coal Creek }\end{array}$ | 40 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Coal Creek Parkway \& May Valley } \\ \text { Rd }\end{array}$ | 24 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#240A } \\ \text { On Bear Creek Rd Crossing Cottage } \\ \text { Lake Creek }\end{array}$ | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cottage Lake Creek Bridge \#52B On } \\ \text { NE 165th St Crossing Cottage Lake } \\ \text { Creek }\end{array}$ | 5 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Courtney Rd From Kanaskat-Kangley } \\ \text { Rd To End of route }\end{array}$ | 58 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cove Road From Westside Highway } \\ \text { SW To Vashon Highway SW }\end{array}$ | 64 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Covington Creek Bridge \#3082 } \\ \text { Auburn-Black Diamond Road } \\ \text { Crossing Covington Creek }\end{array}$ | 55 |
| Covington Creek Bridge \#3084 | 53 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Covington-Lake Sawyer Rd From } \\ \text { Crestreat-Kanaskat Rd To SE 352nd St }\end{array}$ | 59 |
| Covington C/L to 216 Ave SE |  |
| City Rd SE To End of route |  |$]$


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dockton Road Preservation - Seawall From SW Ellisport Road to Portage Way SW | 64 |
| Dorre Don Way Bridge \#3097 Dorre Don Way Crossing drainage ditch | 59 |
| Du Rocher Rd From 172 PI NE To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 36 |
| Duthie Hill Rd \& Issaquah-Fall City Rd | 11 |
| Duvall Slough \#1136B On Woodinville-Duvall Rd Crossing Duvall Slough | 47 |
| East Ames Lake Dr NE From W Ames Lake Dr NE to W Ames Lake Dr NE | 3 |
| Enumclaw-Franklin Rd From Franklin-Cumberland To SR-169 | 15 |
| Evans Creek Bridge \#952A On NE Union Hill Rd Crossing Evans Creek | 6 |
| Fay Road | 39 |
| Fay Road From SR-203 to 302nd Way NE | 39 |
| Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge \#1384A On Issaquah-Hobart Rd Over Fifteen Mile Creek | 55 |
| Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge \#1384B | 58 |
| Fire Station Bridge \#186J On Preston-Fall City Rd Crossing Unimproved undercrossing | 44 |
| Fish Hatchery Bridge \#61B SE Fish Hatchery Rd Crossing drainage ditch | 39 |
| Fish Hatchery Rd From SR-202 To SR-202 | 40 |
| Govenor's Lane From 99 Ave SW to 96 Ave SW | 63 |
| Green River Rd SE From Kent C/L to Auburn C/L | 58 |
| Green River Rd SE From S 258 St To SE 277 St | 49 |
| Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3020 SE Greeen Valley Rd Crossing drainage ditch | 59 |
| Green Valley Rd Bridge \#3022 | 58 |
| Greenwater River Bridge \#3050A SE 496th PI Crossing Packard Creek | 10 |
| Holmes Point Dr From Denny Pk (N entrance) to NE 135 PL | 35 |

Page Number by Project Name

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Holmes Point Drive NE at 144 Ave NE | 35 |
| Holmes Point Drive NE From NE 118 St to NE 116 St | 34 |
| Issaquah Fall City Rd \& Klahanie Dr SE | 11 |
| Issaquah Fall City Rd ITS From Issaquah-Pine Lake Rd to SR-202 | 11 |
| Issaquah-Beaver Lake Rd \& Duthie Hill Rd | 12 |
| Issaquah-Fall City Rd From 247th Ave SE to Klahanie Dr SE | 11 |
| Issaquah-Fall City Rd Ph III | 11 |
| Issaquah-Fall City Rd/Duthie Hill Rd From Klahanie Blvd To 272 PI SE | 11 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd From Issaquah City Limits to May Valley Rd | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd \& Cedar Grove Rd | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd \& May Valley Rd | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd ITS From Cedar Grove Rd to SR 18 | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From Cedar Grove Rd To SE 156 St | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From City Limit To SE May Valley Rd | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From SE 156 St To SR 18 | 54 |
| Issaquah-Hobart Rd SE From SE May Valley Rd To Cedar Grove Rd | 54 |
| Juanita Drive \& NE 80th St/112th Ave NE | 37 |
| Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112 Ave NE to I-405 | 35 |
| Juanita-Woodinville Way NE From 112th Ave NE to NE 145th St | 35 |
| Judd Creek Bridge \#3184 - Redeck On Vashon Highway SW From SW 225 St to SW 227 St | 65 |
| Kanaskat-Kangley Rd From Cumberland-Kanaskat Rd To KentKangley Rd | 58 |
| Kanaskat-Kangley Rd \& CumberlandKanaskat Rd | 61 |
| Kelly Rd From Cherry Valley Rd To Big Rock Rd | 43 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kelly Rd Bridge \#5007 On Kelly Rd <br> NE Crossing drainage ditch | 42 |
| Kent Kangley Rd \& Landsburg Rd SE | 56 |
| Kent Kangley Rd From City Limit To <br> Landsburg Rd | 56 |
| Kent Kangley Rd From Landsburg Rd <br> SE To Retreat Kanaskat Rd SE | 56 |
| Kent-Black Diamond Rd From SR-18 <br> To SE Lake Holm Rd | 55 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd \& Kanaskat-Retreat <br> Rd | 56 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd \& Landsburg Rd | 56 |
| Kent-Kangley Rd \& Ravensdale Rd | 55 |
| Kingsbury Beach Rd From SW 234 <br> St to 80 Ave SW | 65 |
| Lake Dorothy Overflow Bridge \#359C <br> SE Lake Dorothy Rd Crossing <br> Overflow | 41 |
| Lake Dorothy Rd At SE Middle Fork <br> Rd | 39 |
| Lake Francis Rd From Cedar Grove <br> Rd To SE 192nd St | 59 |
| Lake Holm Rd From Auburn Black <br> Diamond Rd To 147 Ave SE | 57 |
| Lake Holm Rd From Near Lake Holm <br> (east) | 49 |
| Lake Holm Rd ITS From 148th Ave <br> SE to Auburn Black Diamond Rd. | 49 |
| Lake Joy Bridge \#5034A | 44 |
| Lake Youngs Pipeline Pathway From <br> vicinity of 155 PI SE | 49 |
| Lake Youngs Way Bridge \#3109B SE <br> Lake Youngs Way Crossing Soos <br> Creek | 48 |
| Lakepointe Dr - 175th St \& 64th- <br> 68th/SR-522 | 36 |
| Landsburg Rd SE From SE Summit <br> Landsburg Rd To SE Kent Kangley <br> Rd | 59 |
| Maxwell Rd Bridge \#3202 225th Ave <br> SE Crossing cattle UX | 57 |
| Maxwell Rd Bridge \#3099 225th Ave <br> SE Crossing Gem Creek | 57 |
| May Creek Bridge \#5005 \& May <br> Valley Rd over May Creek | 24 |
| May Creek Bridge \#593C | 24 |

Page Number by Project Name

| Project <br> Name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Page } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { May Creek Bridge \#72A On 148th } \\ \text { Ave SE Crossing May Creek }\end{array}$ | 24 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { May Valley Rd From Coal Creek } \\ \text { Parkway To SR-900 }\end{array}$ | 23 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { May Valley Rd From SE 128 WY To } \\ \text { Issaquah-Hobart Rd }\end{array}$ | 54 |
| May Valley Rd \& SE 128th Way | 24 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { May Valley Road From SR-900 To } \\ \text { SE 128 WY }\end{array}$ | 24 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { May Valley Road ITS From SR 900 } \\ \text { to Issaquah Hobart Rd }\end{array}$ | 24 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Middle Fork Rd From North Bend city } \\ \text { limits To 496th Ave SE }\end{array}$ | 39 |
| Middle Fork Snoqualmie River Rd |  |
| From 476 Ave SE to 496 Ave SE |  |$] 40$


| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3040A | 14 |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3042 On SE 416th St Crossing Newaukum Creek | 14 |
| Newaukum Creek Bridge \#3066 On 236 Ave SE crossing Newwaukum Creek | 14 |
| Newport Way From 138 Ave SE To Eastgate Park Entrance | 25 |
| Newport Way From 13800 block(Bell. C/L) to 153 Ave SE | 25 |
| Newport Way From 152 Ave SE to 161 Ave SE | 25 |
| Newport Way \& 164 Ave SE | 25 |
| Newport Way at 16630 | 25 |
| North Fork Rd SE From Wagners Bridge To Wagners Bridge | 42 |
| North Fork Road Shoulder Repair | 10 |
| Novelty Hill Rd From Redmond C/L to 244 Ave NE | 7 |
| Novelty Hill Rd \& Redmond Rd | 7 |
| Novelty Hill Road \& Redmond Road | 8 |
| Novelty Hill Road From Avondale Road to Remond C/L | 7 |
| Novelty Hill Road ITS, Ph I From 208th Ave NE to West Snoqualmie Road | 7 |
| Old Cascade Highway at Miller River | 41 |
| Old Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 8 |
| Orillia Road S \& S 204th St | 19 |
| Paradise Lake Rd From WoodinvilleDuvall Rd To County Line | 3 |
| Patterson Creek Bridge \#180L On SE 28 St Crossing Patterson Creek | 11 |
| Peasley Canyon Rd \& S 321st St | 20 |
| Peasley Canyon Rd S \& Peasley Canyon Way S | 20 |
| Peasley Canyon Road From Military Rd to West Valley Highway | 21 |
| Peasley Canyon Way S From S. Peasely Canyon Rd to Military Rd. S | 20 |
| Peter Grubb Rd / SE 232 St From SE 224 St To 196 Ave SE | 51 |
| Petrovitsky \& 162nd PI SE | 51 |

Page Number by Project Name

| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Petrovitsky Rd From 134 Ave SE to 143 Ave SE | 51 |
| Petrovitsky Rd \& SE 192nd St | 50 |
| Petrovitsky Rd \& SE 232 St | 60 |
| Petrovitsky Rd \& Sweeney Rd | 50 |
| Petrovitsky Rd SE \& SE 184 St Crossing | 50 |
| Petrovitsky/Sweeney Rd SE ITS From 151st Ave SE and SR 18 | 61 |
| Point Robinson Rd From Dockton Rd SW To End of route | 64 |
| Preston Fall City Rd ITS From I-90 to SR 202 | 44 |
| Preston-Fall City / High Pt Way \& SE 82nd St | 44 |
| Preston-Fall City Rd \& SE 43 St | 44 |
| Preston-Fall City Rd From I-90 to Regional Trail Crossing | 43 |
| Preston-Fall City Rd From Regional Trail Crossing to SR-202 | 43 |
| Preston-Fall City RD SE Slide Repair | 44 |
| Quartermaster Drive Seawall From 1/4 mi. east of Monument Rd SW To Dockton Rd SW | 64 |
| Rainier Ave S \& Lakeridge Dr S | 32 |
| Rainier Ave S ITS From Seattle City Limits to Renton City Limits | 32 |
| Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To 396th Dr SE | 38 |
| Reinig Rd From Mill Pond Rd To 428th Ave SE | 38 |
| Renton Ave S From 68th Ave S to S 132nd St | 32 |
| Renton Ave S \& 76 Ave S | 32 |
| Renton Ave S ITS From Rainier Ave S to Rainier Ave N | 32 |
| Retreat Kanaskat Rd SE From SE Kent Kangley Rd To Cumberland Kanaskat Rd | 61 |
| Retreat-Kanasket Rd From KentKangley Rd To Kanasket-Kangley Rd | 61 |
| Roxbury St From 4th Ave SW to 30th Ave SW | 31 |
| SE Green Valley Rd From 243 Ave SE To SR-169 | 60 |
| SE Lake Walker Rd From 316 Ave SE to W Lake Walker Dr SE | 58 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Snoqualmie Valley Rd Bridge <br> \#5009B | 46 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3109 On SE <br> 224th St Crossing Soos Creek | 50 |
| Soos Creek Bridge \#3109A SE 216th <br> St Crossing Soos Creek | 50 |
| South Park Bridge - Demolition | 31 |
|  <br> 14th/16th Ave S. | 30 |
| Stampede Pass Rail \& Greenriver <br> Headworks Rd | 61 |
| Stampede Pass Rail \& Hudson Rd <br> RR Crossing | 61 |
| Star Lake Rd From Military Rd S to <br> 42 Ave S | 19 |
| Stossell Creek Way From Swan Mill <br> Road to the Snohomish County Line | 41 |
| Summit-Landsburg Rd From City <br> Limit To Landsburg Rd SE | 60 |
| Summit-Landsburg Rd From <br> Landsburg Rd SE To Kent-Kangley <br> Rd | 59 |
| Sweeney Rd SE From 196 Ave SE <br> To SE 232 St | 59 |
| Tahlequah Rd From near Tahlequah <br> Ferry Dock | 63 |
| Tate Creek Bridge \#122N On SE <br> $73 R D ~ S t ~ C r o s s i n g ~ T A T E ~ C r e e k ~$ | 40 |
| Thomas Rd \& Kent-Black Diamond <br> Rd | 55 |
| Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge to <br> SR-203 | 45 |
| Tolt Hill Rd From Tolt Hill Bridge To <br> $500 '$ WEST OF SR-203 | 40 |
| Union Hill Rd From 196 Ave NE to <br> 206 PI NE | 5 |
| Union Hill Rd From 229 Ave NE to <br> 238 Ave NE | 5 |
| Union Hill Rd From 238 Ave NE To <br> 258 Ave NE | 6 |
| Union Hill Rd From 208 Ave NE To <br> 238 Ave NE | 6 |
| Union Hill Rd From 238 Ave NE To <br> Ames Lake-Carnation Rd | 6 |
| Union Hill Rd ITS From 196 Ave NE <br> to 238 Ave NE | 6 |
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| Project Name | Page Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Union Hill Road ITS Ph II From 238th Ave NE to Ames Lake Rd. | 5 |
| Upper Preston Rd From SE 97th St to SE 97th St | 44 |
| Upper Preston Road | 44 |
| Vashon Highway \& SW 178 St | 65 |
| Vashon Highway \& SW Bank Rd | 65 |
| Vashon Highway \& SW Cemetery Rd | 65 |
| Vashon Highway Seawall From 115th Ave SW To SW 240th PI | 63 |
| Vashon Hwy SW / SW Bank Rd From SW 177 St to 98 PI SW | 65 |
| Vashon Island Hwy From \#20120 to Metro bus stop | 65 |
| Veazie-Cumberland Rd/Palmer Rd From SE 386 St To SE 416 St | 14 |
| Wax Orchard Rd SW From SW 220th St To Vashon Highway SW | 64 |
| West Snoqualmie River Rd From NE Tolt Hill Rd To SE 24th St | 45 |
| West Snoqualmie River Rd From SE 24th St To Tolt Hill Rd | 45 |
| West Snoqualmie River Rd Bridge \#916A West Snoqualmie River Rd Crossing slough | 45 |
| West Snoqualmie River Road/Tolt Hill Road ITS From WSRR from SE 24th St to Tolt Hill and Tolt from SR203 to SWRR | 45 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From NE 124th St to NE Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 46 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From NE 124 St To NE Novelty Hill Rd | 46 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From NE 124th St to Ames Lake-Carnation Rd | 46 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From NE 80 St To Ames Lake Carnation Rd | 46 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Snohomish County Line to Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 46 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd \& Woodinville-Duvall Rd | 47 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Novelty Hill Road To Carnation Rd | 47 |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd From Woodinville-Duvall Rd To Novelty Hill Road | 47 |


| Project <br> Name | Page <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| West Snoqualmie Valley Rd NE ITS <br> From NE Woodinville Duvall Road to <br> Ames Lake Rd | 47 |
| Westside Highway SW From Cresent <br> Dr SW to Cresent Dr SW | 65 |
| Westside Highway SW From SW <br> 144th St To SW 196th St | 66 |
| Westside Highway SW From SW <br> 220th St To SW 196th St | 66 |
| Willows Road Extension From NE <br> 124 St to NE 145 St | 35 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd From 171st <br> Ave NE to Avondale Rd | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd From <br> Avondale Rd To SR-203 | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd From Old <br> Woodinville-Duvall Rd to W. <br> Snoqualmie Valley Rd | 9 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 176 Ave NE | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 194th Ave <br> NE | 9 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& 212th Ave <br> NE | 8 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& Avondale <br> Rd NE | 2 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd \& W. <br> Snoqualmie Valley Rd | 46 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase I <br> From 168th Ave NE to 212th Ave NE | 9 |
| Woodinville-Duvall Rd ITS, Phase II <br> From 212th Ave NE to SR-203 | 8 |

## Appendix A

 Growth TargetsKing County 2001-2022 Household and Employment Iargets

| Subareas | Household Target | Housing Capacity in PAA* | PAA HH Target | Job Target | Job Capacity in PAA* | PAA Job Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South King County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algona | 298 |  |  | 108 |  |  |
| Auburn | 5,928 | 2,635 | 926 | 6,079 | 252 | 252 |
| Black Diamond | 1,099 |  |  | 2,525 |  |  |
| Burien | 1,552 |  |  | 1,712 |  |  |
| Covington | 1,173 |  |  | 900 |  |  |
| Des Moines | 1,576 | 5 | 2 | 1,695 |  |  |
| Federal Way | 6,188 | 3,754 | 1,320 | 7,481 | 134 | 134 |
| Kent | 4,284 | 1,763 | 619 | 11,500 | 44 | 44 |
| Milton | 50 | 106 | 37 | 1,054 |  |  |
| Maple Valley | 300 |  |  | 804 |  |  |
| Normandy Park | 100 |  |  | 67 |  |  |
| Pacific | 996 | 127 | 45 | 108 |  |  |
| Renton | 6,198 | 5,622 | 1,976 | 27,597 | 458 | 458 |
| SeaTac | 4,478 | 14 | 5 | 9,288 | 496 | 496 |
| Tukwila | 3,200 | 13 | 5 | 16,000 | 497 | 497 |
| Unincorp King County | 4,935 |  |  | 2,582 | 701 | 701 |
| Total | 42,355 | 14,039 | 4,935 | 89,500 | 2,582 | 2,582 |
| East King County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beaux Arts Village | 3 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Bellevue | 10,117 | 184 | 178 | 40,000 | 27 | 27 |
| Bothell | 1,751 | 603 | 584 | 2,000 | 174 | 174 |
| Clyde Hill | 21 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Hunts Point | 1 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Issaquah | 3,993 | 827 | 802 | 14,000 | 1 | 1 |
| Kenmore | 2,325 |  |  | 2,800 |  |  |
| Kirkland | 5,480 | 770 | 747 | 8,800 | 221 | 221 |
| Medina | 31 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Mercer Island | 1,437 |  |  | 800 |  |  |
| Newcastle | 863 | 1 | 1 | 500 |  |  |
| Redmond | 9,083 | 402 | 390 | 21,760 | 21 | 21 |
| Sammamish | 3,842 |  |  | 1,230 |  |  |
| Woodinville | 1,869 |  |  | 2,000 |  |  |
| Yarrow Point | 28 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Unincorp King County | 6,801 | ${ }^{* *} 4222$ | **4099 | 4,637 | ${ }^{* * 4193}$ | **4193 |
| Total | 47,645 | 7,009 | 6,801 | 98,527 | 4,637 | 4,637 |
| Sea-Shore |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Forest Park | 538 |  |  | 455 |  |  |
| Seattle | 51,510 |  |  | 92,083 |  |  |
| Shoreline | 2,651 |  |  | 2,618 |  |  |
| Unincorp King County*** | 1,670 | 1,670 | 1,670 | 694 | 1,544 | 694 |
| Total | 56,369 | 1,670 | 1,670 | 95,850 | 1,544 | 694 |
| Rural Cities **** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carnation | 246 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| Duvall | 1,037 |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |
| Enumclaw | 1,927 |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |
| North Bend | 636 |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |
| Skykomish | 20 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Snoqualmie | 1,697 |  |  | 1,800 |  |  |
| Total | 5,563 |  |  | 5,250 |  |  |
| King County Total | 151,932 |  |  | 289,127 |  |  |

*PAA: Potential Annexation Area in Unincorporated King County Urban Area; **Bear Creek UPD; ***North Highline
****The Rural Cities' targets are for the current city limits and rural expansion area for each city. Thus the methodology for adjusting targets as annexations occur is not applicable to the rural cities.
Editor's Note: Source for 2001 housing and job capacity figures for PAAs is the 2002 King County Buildable Lands evaluation. Subarea unincorporated targets were allocated to PAAs based on proportional capacity.

## Appendix B

> City and State Projects

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auburn Way NE | 2nd St NE | 4th St NE | Widen to 5 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| M St NE | E Main | 8th St NE | Widen to 5 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| M St SE | E Main | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Auburn Way } \\ & \text { S } \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 4 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| S 277th St | Auburn Way N | Green River | Widen to 5 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| S 277th Street | SR-181 | SR-167 | Widen to 4 lanes | Auburn | King County |
| 148th Ave SE | SE 24th St | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-90 WB on } \\ & \text { ramp } \end{aligned}$ | Add SB lane from SE 24 ST to the WB I-90 on-ramp | Bellevue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ |
| Bellevue Way | South Bellevue P \& R | 1-90 | Add HOV lanes | Bellevue | King County |
| Coal Creek Pkwy | 1-405 | Newport Way | Widen to 5 lanes | Bellevue | King <br> County |
| Factoria Blvd | SE 36th St | SE 38th St | Construct SB Lane on 128TH from 36TH to 38TH | Bellevue | King County |
| Richards Road | SE 28th St | Lake Hill Connector | Widen to 4-5 lanes | Bellevue | King County |
| Ambaum Blvd | SW 128th St | SW 148th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Burien | King County |
| SR 99 | S 216th St | Kent-Des <br> Moines <br> Road | Add HOV lanes | Des Moines | King County |
| SR-410 | 244th Ave SE | Enumclaw ECL | Widen to 3 lanes | Enumclaw | King County |
| 16th Ave S | SR-99 | SR-18 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| 1st Ave S | S 348th St | S 356th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| 1st Ave/Wy S | S 320th St | S 348th St | Widen to 6 lanes | Federal Way | King <br> County |
| 21st Ave SW | SW 344th St | SW 356th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| 23rd Ave S | S 317th St | S 324th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| Military Rd S | S 288th St | S 304th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| S 288th St | 18th Ave S | Military Rd | Add 1 GP lane in each direction | Federal Way | King County |
| S 320th St | 1st Ave S | SR 99 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S 336th / S 340th } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | 26th PI SW | Hoyt Rd SW | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S 336th/S 348th } \\ & \text { St } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9th Ave S | 13th PI S | Add 1 GP lane in each direction | Federal Way | King County |
| St 336th/S 348th <br> St <br> St | 1st Ave S | 21st Ave SW | Add 1 GP lane in each direction | Federal Way | King County |
| S 348th St | 9th Ave S | SR 99 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 348th St | 1st Ave S | 9th Ave S | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| S 356th St | SR 99 | SR 161 | Widen to 3 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| S 356th St | 21st Ave S | SR-99 | Widen to 5 lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| SR 161 | SR-18 | S 352nd St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| SR 99 | S 312th St | S 324th St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| SR 99 | S 284TH ST | SR 509 | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| SR 99 | SR 509 | S 312th St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| SR 99 | S 324th St | S 340th St | Add HOV lanes | Federal Way | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ |
| SR 99 | S 340th St | S 356th St | Add HOV lanes, 2-way leftturn lane | Federal Way | King County |
| SR 99 | S 312th St | S 324th St | Construct HOV lanes | Federal Way | King County |
| E Lake Sammamish Pkwy | SE 56th St | 1-90 | Widen to 5 lanes | Issaquah | King County |
| Issaquah bypass | Front St | 1-90 | Construct new 5 lane arterial | Issaquah | King County |
| Newport Way | W. Sunset Wy | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NW Maple } \\ & \text { St } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 3 lanes | Issaquah | King County |
| NW Maple St | SR 900 | SE Newport Way | Extend NW Maple 650 ft from SR-900 to Newport Way, 5 lanes | Issaquah | King County |
| SE Newport Wy | Maple St extension | SE 54th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Issaquah | King County |
| SE Newport Wy | SR-900 | SE 54th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Issaquah | King County |
| 68th Ave NE | NE 175 St | NE 185 St | Widen to 6 lanes | Kenmore | King County |
| 68th Ave NE | N 175th St | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Samm River } \\ \text { Bridge } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Add 1 NB GP lane | Kenmore | King County |
| 132nd Ave SE | SE 272ND ST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE 256TH } \\ & \text { ST } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 5 lanes | Kent | King County |
| 132nd Ave SE | SE 240th St | SE 256th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Kent | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S 196th/S 200th } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | SR-181 | E Valley Hwy | Provide 5-lane roadway | Kent | King County |
| S 208th St | SR-167 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108th Ave } \\ & \text { SE } \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 5 lanes | Kent | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE 192nd St } \\ & \text { Corridor } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SR 167 Bridge | Talbot Rd | Build new 5-lane arterial | Kent | King County |
| SR 99 | Kent-Des Moines Road | South 252nd Street | Add HOV lanes | Kent | King County |
| SR 99 | South 252nd Street | South 272nd Street | Add HOV lanes | Kent | King County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W Valley Hwy | Hawley Rd | S 272 St | Widen to 5 lanes | Kent | King County |
| W Valley Hwy | James Street | Green River Bridge | Widen to seven lanes (two general purpose lanes, and one HOV lane in each direction, plus turn lanes) from Harrison St to SR-516, and four lanes S to the Green River Bridge | Kent | King County |
| 124th Ave NE | NE 85th St | NE 124th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Kirkland | King County |
| NE 124th St | 116th Ave NE | 132nd PI NE | New HOV lanes | Kirkland | King County |
| SR 169 | SE 231 St | Wax Rd | Widen to 7 lanes | Maple Valley | King County |
| SR 169 | SE 240 St | SE 253 St | Widen to 5 lanes | Maple Valley | King County |
| Newcastle Road/Lakemont Blvd | Coal Creek Parkway | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 164th Way } \\ & \text { SE } \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 3 lanes | Newcastle | King County |
| Avondale Rd | Novelty Hill Rd | Avondale Way | Add SB HOV lane | Redmond | King County |
| Bel-Red Rd | NE 30th ST | NE 40th ST | Widen to 5 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| East Lake Sammamish Pkwy | Redmond Way | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 187th AVE } \\ & \mathrm{NE} \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 4 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| Redmond Way | 148th Ave NE | 1-405 | Construct HOV lanes | Redmond | King County |
| RedmondWoodinville Rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160TH AVE } \\ & \text { NE } \end{aligned}$ | NE 124th ST | Widen to 5 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| Union Hill Road | Avondale Rd | 178th PI NE | Widen to 6 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| W Lk <br> Sammamish <br> Pkwy | Leary Way | SR-520 | Widen to 5 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| W. Lk. Sammamish Pkwy. NE | Marymoor Park Entrance | NE 51st St | Widen roadway from 2 to 4 lanes | Redmond | King County |
| Duvall Ave NE | NE 4th St | NE 25th Ct | Widen to 5 lanes | Renton | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| Oakesdale Ave SW | Monster Rd | SR 900 | Widen to 5 lanes | Renton | King County |
| Park Dr-Sunset Blvd | Garden Ave | 1-405 | Add EB HOV lane | Renton | King County |
| SW 27th St | SR-167 | SR 181 | Construct HOV lanes on SW 27 St , and extend arterial to Strander Blvd | Renton | King County |
| 228th Ave SE | SE 8th St | NE 4th St | Widen to 5 lanes | Sammamish | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| 244th Ave NE | SE 8th Street | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Just s/o SR- } \\ & 202 \end{aligned}$ | Provide continuous 2-lane arterial | Sammamish | King County |
| Sahalee Way NE | NE 8th | NE 37th | Widen to 5 lanes | Sammamish | King County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sahalee Way NE | NE 37th | SR 202 | Widen to 5 lanes | Sammamish | King County |
| 28th/24th Ave S | S 188th St | S 216th St | Build new 5-lane road | Seatac | King County |
| International Blvd | S 152nd St | S 170th St | Widen to 6 lanes with turn channelization | Seatac | King County |
| International Blvd | S 200th Street | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S 216th } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 7 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| S 154th St | SR 518 | 24th Ave S | Widen to 4 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| S 188th St | 16th Ave S | Des Moines Memorial Drive | Widen to 6 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| S 200th St | SR 509 | Des Moines Memorial Drive | Widen to 3 lanes | Seatac | King County |
| South Airport Link | 28th Ave S | S 188th St | New construction | Seatac | King County |
| Mercer Street Corridor | Queen Anne Ave | 1-5 | Convert to 2-way 4-6 lane road | Seattle | King County |
| Valley Street | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queen Anne } \\ & \text { Ave } \end{aligned}$ | 1-5 | Convert to 2-way 2-lane road | Seattle | King County |
| I-5/NE 185th St |  |  | Add HOV direct access ramp | Shoreline | King County |
| SR 99 | N 205th St | N 145th St | Widen to 7 lanes for HOV | Shoreline | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-405 @ NE } \\ & 128 \text { th St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | I-405 HOV direct access at NE 128th | Sound Transit | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { King } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-405 @ NE 8th } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | New HOV-access IC | Sound Transit | King County |
| E Marginal Way | Boeing Access Road | S 112th St | Widen to 3 lanes | Tukwila | King County |
| 1-405 | SR-522 | I-5 Tukwila | Add 2 GP lanes in each direction | WSDOT | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-405 @ NE } \\ & \text { 132nd St } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Add half-diamond IC | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-5 | N 175th St | N 205th St | Add 1 NB lane | WSDOT | King County |
| I-5 | Pierce CL | Kent | Complete 2-way HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-5 | Airport / <br> Industrial Way <br> Interchange <br> Vicinity |  | HOV direct access to Industrial Way and the E-3 Busway | WSDOT | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|-5/SR-18/SR-161 } \\ & \text { Triangle } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Connect SR-161 directly to I-5/SR-18 | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-90 | Eastgate | Issaquah | Extend HOV lanes to Front Street and add auxiliary lanes from Eastgate to Front Street. | WSDOT | King County |
| 1-90 | I-5 | 1-405 | Add one lane HOV each direction | WSDOT | King County |
| NE 85th St | 148th Ave NE | Kirkland Way | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 161 | Jovita Blvd | S 360th St | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 167 | $15^{\text {th }}$ St NW | County Line | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 167 | 1-405 | S 180th St | Add 2 lanes in each direction | WSDOT | King County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR 167@ SW } \\ & \text { 27th St } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | HOV Direct Access Ramps at SW 27th St. | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 169 | 140th Way SE | 1-405 | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 169 | Black Diamond NCL | SR 516 | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 169 | SR 516 | SE Jones Road | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 18 | I-5 I/C | SR 164 I/C | Add a WB truck climbing lane from SR 167 to l-5 | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 18 | Maple Valley | 1-90 | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 202 | SR 522 | NE 145th St./148th Ave NE | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 202 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E Lk Samm } \\ & \text { Pky } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sahalee Way | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 509/I-5 | S 188th Way | S $320{ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | Extend SR 509 (4 GP + 2 HOV) to l-5 @ SW 210th, add 1 GP each way on I-5 from S 204th St to S 320th St | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 516 | SR 18 | SR 169 | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 518 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR 518/SR } \\ & 509 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | I-5 | Add GP Lanes each way. I/C improvements | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 519 <br> Extenstion | 1-90 | 1st Ave S | Extend freeway around ballpark | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 520 | W Lake Sammamish Parkway | Avondale Road | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 520 | 1-405 | I-5 | Add 1 HOV lane in each direction. Replace SR 520 bridge | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 520 | W Lk Sammamish Pkwy | SR-202 | Add 2-way HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 522 | 96th Ave NE | Woodinville | Realign SR-522 through Bothell. Complete full diamond I/C @ NE 195th St | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 900 | 1-90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE 78th St } \\ & \text { St } \end{aligned}$ | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 99 | S 284th St | S 272nd St | Add 2-way Business, Access and Transit (BAT) lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| SR 99 (Pacific Highway South) | S 348th St | S 188th St | Provide continuous HOV lanes | WSDOT | King County |
| 8th St E | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { E Valley Hwy } \\ \text { E } \end{array}$ | W Valley Hwy | Widen to 5 lanes | Pierce County | Pierce County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Tapps Pkwy E | 182nd Ave E | East Valley Hwy | Extend arterial from EVH to 182nd \& widen to $4 / 5$ lanes | Pierce County | Pierce County |
| Valley Ave E/70th Ave E | Freeman Rd E | 20th St E | Widen to 5 lanes | Pierce County | Pierce County |
| SR-410 | SR-167 | Bonney Lake | Add 1 lane in each direction + EB hillclimb lane | Sumner | Pierce County |
| Norpoint Way | 49th Ave NE | 29th St NE | Provide 3-lane roadway | Tacoma | Pierce County |
| I-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DuPont Rd U- } \\ & \text { xing } \end{aligned}$ | Fort Lewis Rd | Add HOV lanes in both directions, and NB GP lane | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| I-5 | Fort Lewis Rd | Gravelly <br> Lake Dr U- <br> xing | Add HOV lane in both directions | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| I-5 | Gravelly Lake Dr U-xing | Carlyle Rd U-xing | Add SB HOV lane \& convert NB GP lane to HOV | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| I-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carlyle Rd U- } \\ & \text { xing } \end{aligned}$ | Pierce CL | Add HOV lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-16 | I-5 | SR-302 | Add HOV lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-161 | Jovita Blvd | 36th St | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-161 | 176th St | 234th St | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 | 1-5 | Puyallup | Build new six-lane freeway (2 GP +1 HOV each direction) | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 | SR-18 | SR-161 | Add HOV lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-167 | 1-5 | Port of Tacoma | Build new four-lane freeway | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { SR-167 @ 24th } \\ \text { Ave E } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Build new interchange | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-410 | 214th | 234th | Add 1 lane in each direction | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| SR-410 | 214th Ave E | Park Ave Wy | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Pierce County |
| 1-405 | SR-522 | I-5 Swamp Creek | Add 2 GP lanes in each direction | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| I-5 | SR-526 | SR-2 | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| I-5 | 44th Ave W | 220th St SW | Add NB auxiliary lane | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| 1-5 | SR-2 | SR-528 | Add 1 HOV lane in each direction | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | SR-522 | City of Monroe ECL | Add new 2-lane bypass road | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | 1-5 | SR-204 | Add 1 Hov lane in each direction | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | City of Monroe ECL | City of Sultan WCL | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish County |
| SR-2 | City of Sultan WCL | Fir Rd (near Proctor Creek) | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish County |

CITY AND STATE PROJECTS

| Project Name | From | To | Description | Jurisdiction | County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SR-522 | Snohomish <br> River | SR-2 | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-522 | Paradise Lake <br> Rd | Snohomish <br> River | Widen to 4 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-524 | I-5 | SR-527 | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-527 | SR-524 | SE 228th St | Add HOV lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-9 | SR-522 | 176th St E | Widen to 5 lanes | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |
| SR-99 | SR-104 | 204th | Add 1 HOV lane in each <br> direction | WSDOT | Snohomish <br> County |

## Appendix C

## Priority Processes

> | Capacity |
| :---: |
| HAL / HARS |
| Bridges |
| Short-Span Bridges |
| Guardrail |
| Traffic Signals |
| Nonmotorized |
| ITS |
| Vulnerable Road Segments |
| Small-Scale Operational Road and |
| Intersection |

## King County Road Services Division PROJECT PRIORITY PROCESSES

## CAPACITY NEEDS

Forecast travel information was used to identify future capacity needs and potential improvements. The travel forecasting model was developed by King County DOT staff using EMME/2 travel demand forecasting modeling software.

The model was calibrated to base year 2000 conditions using 2000 census data, existing roadway information, and empirical traffic count data. Detailed documentation of this model resides in the offices of the King County Department of Transportation, Roads Services Division.

A forecast year of 2022 was chosen consistent with the land use element of the comprehensive plan as required by state growth management legislation (RCW36.70A.070(6)). The model was run with regionally-adopted, 2022 target land use data for population and employment distributed to the model's zonal system. Growth targets and land use assumptions are included in Appendix A of this document. The model road network was developed to represent existing conditions plus a limited number of capacity projects that were considered committed for development and therefore certain to be in place by 2022. The Washington State Department of Transportation's 20-year list of transportation improvements to the state highway system was included in the network as were city projects that were listed in the 20-year time horizon of the regional plan, Destination 2030. City and state projects are listed in Appendix B.

By forecasting future year travel demand on a roadway network comprised of only existing and committed projects, it is possible to highlight areas that lack the capacity needed to accommodate the travel demand associated with the target year. This capacity needs information was identified by analyzing model results using forecast traffic volumes and forecast ratios of traffic volumes to roadway capacity.

Once the areas of forecast needs were identified, additional capacity was coded into the network to represent projects that might accommodate those needs. The model was run again using 2022 land use data. The results were analyzed using forecast traffic volumes, forecast ratios of traffic volumes to roadway capacity, and existing traffic count data. Additional adjustments were made to model network capacity to optimize performance. This process was repeated several times to identify the best set of capacity projects for meeting forecast needs based on the assumptions and conditions represented in the model.

The resulting needs represents the network capacity increases added to the final or optimum model run. This list represents the roadway capacity needs for 2022 assuming the regionallyadopted land use forecasts for population, households, and employment used to develop the land use component of the King County Comprehensive Plan 2004. All needs identified through this process are included in the needs list section of this document. Needs are also shown on maps included in Section III.

Since the capacity needs clearly exceeded available revenues, a priority scoring methodology was developed to help balance needs with available revenue. This methodology incorporated existing, empirical data; forecast data for 2022 without an improved roadway network; and forecast data for 2022 with an improved roadway network. The following data elements were collected, calculated, and scored:

- Average weekday traffic
- Existing traffic volume to roadway capacity ratios
- 2022 forecast volume to capacity ratios (without capacity improvement)
- 2022 forecast traffic volumes with capacity improvements
- Ratio between 2022 traffic volumes to roadway capacity for the unimproved network compared with the volume to capacity ratio for the improved network
- Arterial Classification of the project need

A description of this scoring system is included in the following table.

## Priority Scoring for Capacity Projects

EXISTING Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for project
5 groupings based on magnitude of ADT - from Count Station locations

| ADT Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>20,000$ | 5 |
| $15,000-20000$ | 4 |
| $10,000-15,000$ | 3 |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 2 |
| $<5,000$ | 1 |

EXISTING Volume to Capacity Ratio (V/C) problem in 2000 - from the model
5 groupings based on severity of V/C

| V/C Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>1.2$ | 5 |
| $1.0-1.2$ | 4 |
| $8 .-1.0$ | 3 |
| $.6-.8$ | 2 |
| $<.6$ | 1 |

Yr 2022 V/C problem without improvements
5 groups rated on severity of V/C problem

| V/C Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>1.4$ | 5 |
| $1.2-1.4$ | 4 |
| $1.0-1.2$ | 3 |
| $.6-1.0$ | 2 |
| $<.6$ | 1 |

Year 2022 ADT with final recommended improvements

| ADT Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>40,000$ | 5 |
| 30,000 to 40,000 | 4 |
| 20,000 to 30,000 | 3 |
| 10,000 to 20,000 | 2 |
| $<10,000$ | 1 |

Year 2022 Improvement in V/C, Recommended Improvement verses no action

| Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>.6 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ change | 5 |
| .5 to $.6 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ change | 4 |
| .4 to .5 change | 3 |
| .3 to $.4 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio | 2 |
| .2 to $.3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio | 1 |

SYSTEM-Level ratings
Arterial Classification

| Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Principal | 3 |
| Minor | 2 |
| Collector | 1 |
| Local | 0 |

## FINAL SCORES AND GROUPING

Score 27 to $24=$ High Priority Group
Score 23 to $20=$ Medium Priority Group
Score 19 and below = Low Priority Group

## NON-CAPACITY NEEDS

Non-capacity needs are prioritized by groups of like needs. Existing prioritization processes have been developed either in-house or by consultants for various categories including bridge, guardrail, high accident location, traffic signals, and others.

Existing prioritization processes used to develop the TNR are summarized below.

## HIGH ACCIDENT LOCATION (HAL) AND HIGH ACCIDENT ROAD SEGMENT (HARS) NEEDS

In 2007 the King County Department of Transportation list of prioritized High Accident Locations (HALs) and High Accident Road Segments (HARSs) was updated. The first step in this process was to develop a list of candidate HAL and HARS for review and analysis. An initial list was compiled based on collision data from the three-year period from 2003-2005. The list was made up of locations that had nine or more recorded collisions during the three-year period.

Once the locations were identified, data such as collision types, traffic volumes, and roadway characteristics were collected for each location. This information was used to develop improvements intended to reduce the occurrence of collisions ("countermeasures"). There are a broad range of countermeasures, with approaches ranging from changing roadway geometrics to altering traffic signal timing. Countermeasures were selected based on predominant collision patterns, field observations, County practices, and the experience of the review team.

Countermeasures were developed for most but not all of the locations. Locations without countermeasures remain on the HAL and HARS list but are not included in this report. There were several reasons for not developing countermeasures for a given location. These include:

- Locations where recent improvements were judged likely to have a significant effect on the predominant accident patterns were omitted, as were locations slated for near-term improvements judged likely to have a significant effect on the predominant accident patterns.
- Any locations that had been recently annexed by other jurisdictions were excluded.
- Sites with no clear collision pattern and no noted deficiencies were excluded.

Once the countermeasures were developed, a benefit-cost analysis was prepared for each location. The benefit/cost ratio accounts for economics and therefore is frequently used to prioritize safety improvements. The benefit/cost ratio is equal to the benefit of the expected reduction in collision costs divided by the project cost. A benefit/cost ratio greater than 1 indicates the benefits of a proposed countermeasure are greater than the costs.

The expected reduction in collisions due to a given countermeasure was estimated using nationally published "reduction factors" with modifications based on King County's past experience. The reduction factor was used in combination with typical collision costs to
determine the expected societal benefit (in dollars) of completing the improvement. The benefit was then "normalized" by converting to a present value based on the expected service life of the improvement. Finally, the normalized benefit was divided by a planning-level cost estimate to obtain the benefit-cost ratio for the project.

The results of the benefit/cost analysis and detailed documentation of the process used are contained in the report, High Accident Locations and Road Segments Analysis, King County, Washington; King County DOT, Traffic Engineering Section; December 2007.

## BRIDGE NEEDS

Assessment of bridge needs begins with inspection. The inspection system, which is based on the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), calculates a sufficiency rating based on such factors structural adequacy and safety, serviceability and functional obsolescence, and how essential the bridge is for public use. The rating ranges from zero (worst) to 100 (best). Under this system, all bridges having a sufficiency rating less than or equal to 50 are either functionally obsolete or structurally deficient and are equally eligible for federal replacement funds. Any bridge with a sufficiency rating less than or equal to 80 that is functionally obsolete or structurally deficient is also eligible for rehabilitation funds.

Sufficiency rating alone establishes eligibility for federal funding, but it is inadequate to prioritize bridges for replacement or rehabilitation. It does not give enough weight to important criteria such as load limitations, hydraulics, geometric deficiency, and expected useful life. The priority process establishes the need for individual bridge replacement by score and rank using criteria approved by the King County Council (Ord. 11693).

The bridge seismic study completed in 1994 ranks the relative need of seismic retrofits for each bridge included in the study. Bridges scheduled for replacement or rehabilitation within 10 years were excluded. The study assigned equal weights to four criteria: structural vulnerability, importance, seismicity, and life hazard. The final assessment of which bridges to retrofit considers the potential for the bridge to become a viable replacement candidate and to be replaced within ten years. Consideration is given to such factors as whether the bridge provides a sole access and if the cost of the retrofit is a reasonable amount to invest for a limited period of protection prior to replacement.

Priority process rankings are used in the development of the annual six-year CIP. Highest priority projects are in the current CIP. Consideration for additions are guided by the following goals: add the highest priority bridges to the replacement program, continue with existing seismic retrofit program, establish a routine painting program, and provide for major maintenance and repairs that cannot be accomplished by Maintenance Operations.

The methodology for prioritizing bridge needs is documented in, "Proposed Prioritization Process for King County Bridge Needs," King County Department of Public Works, Roads and Engineering Division, July 1994 and "2002 Annual Bridge Report of the King County Department of Transportation, Road Services Division, Structural Design and Bridge Inspection Unit," April 2003.

## SHORT-SPAN BRIDGE NEEDS

The Short-Span Bridge Program was started in 2006 to address the needs of short bridges nearing the end of their useful life. These bridges are less than twenty feet in length, and ineligible for federal or state bridge funds. The Road Services Division has identified over 50 bridges for this new program. The bridges have been inventoried and assigned a priority. It is expected that the bridge replacement program will last for a number of years, as several of the top ranked bridges will be will be implemented each year in a two year, design -- build schedule.

The priority array used for the Short-Span Bridge Program is the same priority array used for the other bridge needs.

## ROADSIDE BARRIER (GUARDRAIL) NEEDS

The methodology for identifying and ranking potential sites for safety mitigation using roadside barriers, specifically guardrails and bridge rails, was revised in 2002-2003. The new methodology is quantitative and was used to develop priority arrays for each of three categories of barriers: new barriers, retrofits to existing barriers, and bridge rail upgrades.

The methodology has two principal considerations-risk potential and severity. The risk potential factor is a function of parameters that quantify the exposure and probability associated with vehicles running off the road. Severity is a function of parameters that quantify and rate personal injury potential. These factors were derived from current statistics and existing roadside features. Factors are based on accidents, average daily traffic (ADT), road functional classification, corridor geometry, bridge geometry, speed limit, need as defined by embankment slopes, and roadside obstacles. The algorithms for retrofit barriers and bridge rail upgrades also incorporate parameters for existing barrier and rail deficiencies.

The primary source for establishing potential new barrier locations was the existing barrier priority array initially established in 1988. All locations remaining on the list were included in the array. In addition, a comprehensive roadside hazard inventory was completed for the King County arterial roadway system and analyzed to identify locations that might require barriers. Twenty-one sites were identified for further investigation. Additional non-arterial sites suggested by citizens and county employees were also included.

All sites with existing roadside barriers that are not compliant with standards were included as candidates for barrier retrofit. About have the existing barriers are non compliant and were therefore included as candidates. Risk exposure and degree of deficiency were the primary considerations in the prioritization process. Severity was less of a concern than for new barriers because it was assumed that all barrier locations were warranted.

All bridges and culvert crossings maintained by King County were included as candidates for bridge rail upgrades. Many of the candidate bridges were built prior to 1964 and do not have bridge railings designed to current safety standards. The bridge rail array identifies locations with safety deficiencies and prioritizes their upgrade. Three specific bridge deficiency and difficulty factors were established: structural deficiency, difficulty of upgrade, and end transition deficiency. In addition, a risk potential factor (average daily traffic) and a severity factor (posted speed limit) were included.

Priority arrays were developed for each of the three categories of barrier using the appropriate factors and algorithms. Each priority array was fully tested following development. Statistically valid sample sizes were developed for each array, and engineers field reviewed and ranked the sites. In each case, rankings correlated $90 \%$ or better with the results of the priority arrays.

Detailed documentation of priority array development and methodology is available in the document, King County Roadside Barrier Program Priority Array Development; September 2003; Jacobs Civil Inc., TransCore ITS, Inc., Garry Struthers Associates, Inc.; for King County Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering Section.

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL PRIORITY PROCESS

The process to prioritize signals conforms to the laws set forth by the federal government, adopted with amendments by state government, and presented in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) published by the Federal Highway Administration and the U.S. Department of Transportation. The prioritization process evaluates signal warrants (tests) set forth in the MUTCD and assigns rating values to each warrant. The rating values assign weights to the individual warrants. The sum of the individual warrant rating values provides a basis for comparison to other potential signal locations.

Prioritization and selection of intersections for signalization starts with data collection. Traffic Engineering staff members collect data on vehicle and pedestrian volumes, prevailing speeds, and accident history at each intersection over the most recent three-year period. Each intersection is then evaluated using MUTCD warrants based on the number of approach lanes and the collected data.

The MUTCD states that the signal warrants define the minimum conditions under which installing a traffic control signal might be justified. However, selection and use of traffic control signals should be based on careful analysis of traffic operations, pedestrian and bicyclist needs and other factors, coupled with engineering judgment. Traffic signals should not be installed unless one or more of the eight signal warrants is met. Three of these warrants are based on traffic volumes at several periods during the day: the peak hour, the fourth highest hour, and the eighth highest hour. Another warrant examines the traffic accident history, focusing attention of accidents correctable by signalization (left-turn and right-angle types). Two warrants examine pedestrian activity to determine if pedestrian volumes warrant signalization. The final two
warrants examine whether signalization would improve traffic flow in a coordinated signal system or roadway network.

Four primary warrants are used in the evaluation of all intersections. The remaining warrants are most applicable to urban sites with frequent pedestrian activity. Such sites are less common in unincorporated King County.

The four primary warrants are:

1. Warrant \#1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume
Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic
2. Warrant \#2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
3. Warrant \#3 - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume
4. Warrant \#7-Crash Experience

To the MUTCD warrants, King County adds a factor for proximity to school site. This additional factor does not replace the pedestrian-related warrants. For locations near schools, shopping, and other pedestrian attractors, the volume of pedestrian activity is examined as well as pedestrian warrants. The proximity to school factor addresses the potential for pedestrian activity outside the average-day activities.

Rating values representing the degree to which signal warrants are met are calculated for each warrant. Values are summed by intersection, and the list of intersections is sorted to separate those that meet signal warrants from those that do not. Intersections that meet warrants are sorted by rating value from the largest to the smallest and are then numbered according to their order in the list. The resulting list of rank-ordered intersections is commonly called the priority array. It provides a starting point for determining the locations to signalize.

Intersections on the top of the priority array undergo extensive evaluation of alternatives including existing and forecast traffic operational analyses to determine the effectiveness of each alternative, turn pocket lengths, and cost comparisons. Alternative measures to signalization include, but are not limited to, the construction of additional lanes, revising the intersection geometrics to channelize movements, installing street lighting, improving sight distance, roundabouts, measures to reduce approach speeds, changing lane use assignments, restricting movements, adding stop controls or intersection flashers. Particular attention is given to the predominant type of accident recurring at the intersection. A committee of signal design and maintenance staff reviews the information developed from these analyses and selects the improvement providing the safest, most cost-effective, long-term solution.

Detailed documentation of the signal prioritization process is contained in the report, King County Countywide Signal Program, Signal Priority Process, King County Road Services Division, Traffic Engineering Section, July 2004.

## NONMOTORIZED NEEDS

King County has been active in promoting the "Healthscape" initiative. Healthscape is a program which attempts to tie together the factors of land use, transportation, air quality and health to maximize the closely-correlated benefits of each. The County worked with a consultant in 2007 to develop a "Transportation Programming Tool" (TPT) which evaluates the effectiveness of pedestrian projects and their potential for increasing pedestrian accessibility. The purpose of the TPT is to prioritize nonmotorized transportation improvements based on air quality, health, and transportation outcomes.

Using the new Transportation Planning Tool, all nonmotorized projects, with the exception of the School Pathway projects, were evaluated and scored and assigned high, medium and low priorities. The priority list was further stratified into urban and rural projects.

A more detailed description of the Transportation Programming Tool can be found at the following location.

> http://www.kingcounty.gov/sites/transportation/healthscape/tools.aspx

## Healthscape TPT Factors:

## Transportation

Non-motorized projects have the potential to increase transit and non-motorized mode share and decrease vehicle mode share; and decrease per capita rates of vehicle use (hours $/ \mathrm{miles} / \mathrm{trips} /$ mode share), and increase per capita rates of walking, bicycling, and transit (hours/miles/trips/mode share) (Ewing \& Cervero, 2001).

## Safety.

Non-motorized projects can slow vehicle traffic (traffic calming), provide vehicle-free pathways, reduce vehicle conflicts with pedestrians (intersection redesign) and increase the number of users, all of which have been shown to reduce risk and/or the perception thereof.

## Environmental

Non-motorized projects shift travel from polluting modes (vehicular) to those that have less or no health-damaging air pollutant emissions (NOx, CO2, VOCs, and hydrocarbons) and dramatically lower carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas releases. Moreover, the vehicle trips replaced are largely short trips, which are more frequently higher-polluting 'cold starts' (WSDOT, 2005 and LUTAQH, 2005).

## Economic

Our economy benefits from more efficient, productive use of energy. Non-motorized travel is highly energy efficient, and increases as walkability increases (Frank et al. 2006). The increased physical activity is efficiently accomplished as part of daily routine trips to both work and nonwork destinations. Moreover, the reduction in health care costs, as a result of facility improvements inducing physical activity, can be quantified (TRB, 2006).

## Equity

Depending on where a project is located (close to a school, for example) it can improve access for sensitive populations or those who are less reliant on vehicle travel (for example, low income, youth and elderly).

## Health

As noted above, non-motorized transportation projects generate more walking and bicycling travel. Such physical activity, whether for the purpose recreation or transportation, is associated with higher rates of physical activity, and lower rates of obesity and other chronic diseases (LUTAQH, 2005; McGinnis, 2002).

## Healthscape TPT Measures:

## Increased Route Directness (Connectivity).

Nonmotorized projects can create more direct routes between destinations for cyclists and pedestrians.

## Connections to Transit

Although it is related to connectivity, access to transit is important to measure outside of the other connectivity measures. Transportation benefits are not exclusive to bicycling and walking transit ridership is dependent on good access by nonmotorized modes. In the LUTAQH study, a measure of transit inaccessibility (distance from home to nearest bus stop) was found to be positively related to VMT, and each $1 / 4$ mile increase in distance to transit reduced the odds of someone reporting a transit trip to work by $16 \%$. Another Puget Sound region study for WSDOT (2005) found each mile to a bus stop was associated with a $5 \%$ increase in VMT, and just over $4 \%$ increase in VHT.

## Reduced Conflicts With Vehicular Modes

The various non-motorized level of service tools use measures like vehicle speeds, traffic volumes, number of lanes or roadway width, and separation from traffic, and crossing distance to score the safety/comfort conditions, many of which are statistically associated either with lower rates of collision or perception of reduced risk.

## Size and Characteristics of Impacted Population

The size of the surrounding population - the 'travelshed' of the improvement - acts as a multiplier to the other benefits. Certain locations, such as those that have a high density or many destinations, may be more 'ripe' for nonmotorized transportation improvements. This is, essentially, the concept of latent demand for nonmotorized improvements.
Demographics of the impacted population may also change the equity benefits.

## Healthscape TPT project evaluation criteria

The following are the individual data items which comprise the TPT scores. In most cases, the data item receives a value between 1 and 4 based on the raw score.

- Does the project address an accident location?
- Does the project address a known or perceived hazard?
- What is the traffic volume on the closest adjacent street?
- What is the traffic speed on the closest adjacent street?
- How many bus stops within a $1 / 4$ mile?
- What is the transit LOS (level of service, as measured by bus stop boardings) within $1 / 4$ mile of the project?
- Does the project create a new connection to retail areas?
- Does the project create a new connection to transit?
- Does the project fill a gap in the street, pedestrian or bicycle network?
- Proximity to:
- Elementary School
- Middle or High School
- Park
- Hospital
- Civic facility
- Does project meet ADA requirements?
- Percentage disabled households surrounding the project
- Percentage low-income households surrounding the project
- Percentage elderly households surrounding the project
- Percentage of residents under 18 surrounding the project
- Average residential density surrounding the project
- Retail Floor Area Ratio surrounding the project
- Land use mix surrounding the project
- Density of road intersections surrounding the project


## INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (ITS) NEEDS

The corridor projects provide an overall ITS improvement program for key regional corridors. The key corridors were identified from the 2004 Transportation Needs Report (TNR) and from stakeholder feedback regarding transportation needs in unincorporated King County. ITS improvements proposed for the identified corridors include cameras, vehicle detection, traffic signal equipment and timing upgrades, pavement conditions sensors, and other devices where needs warrant, as well as communications infrastructure to support these devices. For the most part, these corridors are linked to each other or to other King County ITS projects, allowing for communications continuity and the establishment of a regional ITS corridor network. The corridors include both urban arterials and smaller-capacity rural roads.

A total of 34 corridor projects were identified. As with any planned improvement program, all of the projects cannot begin at once, and a prioritization process is needed to determine which projects best meet the needs of the County based upon their ability to meet key criteria. Criteria for analyzing the project priorities were established based upon examples from the 2004 Transportation Needs Report (TNR), as well as other criteria specific to ITS projects and the needs of the County. Each criterion was analyzed on a scale of $1-5$ points; no single criterion was weighted more heavily than another. Priorities were established by totaling the points
received by each project. A general priority level (Low, Medium, High) was then assigned by comparing the scores each project received.

It is recognized that actual project deployments are likely to be affected by such factors as funding availability and dependence on other projects, as well as require additional investigation into overall project feasibility. Therefore, the intent of the exercise was to provide a relative analysis of King County's ITS priorities, and not to establish a set order for deployment.

## ITS Corridor Projects

The corridor projects include a broad cross-section of both urban and rural corridors, dispersed across the county. This section describes the process and criteria that was used to assign a relative (high, medium, low) priority to each project. These criteria were established with the purpose of providing a quantitative assessment of each project's alignment with King County needs and priorities. To the extent possible, the prioritization method was based upon criteria used in the 2004 TNR. The criteria include:

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): This criterion used the same traffic volume scale as capacity projects to assign priority to corridor projects along roads with the highest average daily traffic counts.

| ADT Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>20,000$ | 5 |
| $15,000-20,000$ | 4 |
| $10,000-15,000$ | 3 |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 2 |
| $<5,000$ | 1 |

Volume to Capacity Ratios: This criterion gave priority to roads whose volumes were approaching or exceeding capacity, based upon the following scale used in the TNR:

| V/C Value | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>1.2$ | 5 |
| $1.0-1.2$ | 4 |
| $.8-1.0$ | 3 |
| $.6--.8$ | 2 |
| $<.6$ | 1 |

Accident Rates: Corridors with high accident rates were considered higher priority, using the following scale:

| Accident Rate | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>4.1$ | 5 |
| Below 4.0 | 4 |
| Below 3.0 | 3 |
| Below 2.0 | 2 |
| Below 1.0 | 1 |

Transit Ridership: Corridors with greater volume of transit ridership were considered higher priority, using the following scale:

| Average Weekday Ridership | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>400$ | 5 |
| $300-400$ | 4 |
| $200-300$ | 3 |
| $100-200$ | 2 |
| $1--100$ | 1 |

Potential for Annexation: Proposed and approved land annexations for 2004 and 2005 were reviewed as well as proposed future annexations. Corridors with little probability of annexation were considered higher priority using the following scale:

| Proposed Annexation Year | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rural | 5 |
| $>2010$ | 4 |
| $2009-2010$ | 3 |
| $2007-2008$ | 2 |
| $2005-2006$ | 1 |

Availability of Communications: Corridors with access to communications infrastructure were considered higher priority, using the following scale:

| Communications | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| King County fiber existing on corridor | 5 |
| King County or WSDOT fiber nearby | 4 |
| INET Hub Nearby | 3 |
| Other | 2 |
| None / Unknown | 1 |

Links to Other Existing/Planned Projects: Higher priority was given to corridor projects that could coordinate or build off of other county ITS corridor projects, as follows:

| Projects | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Links to Funded / Existing King County <br> Corridor Project | 5 |
| Links to Other Strategic Plan Project | 3 |

Hazard Areas: King County has identified a number of hazards along county roadways, including High Accident Road Segments (HARS), High Accident Locations (HAL), and areas prone to flooding, ice, and landslides. Corridors with two or more of these hazard locations were given a score of 5; corridors with one identified hazard were given a score of 3 .

| Hazard Areas | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Two or more hazards in corridor | 5 |
| One identified hazard in corridor | 3 |
|  |  |

## Final Priority Ranking

| Total Corridor Priority | Total Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| High | Score $>23$ |
| Medium | Score $22-17$ |
| Low | Score $<16$ |

## VULNERABLE ROAD SEGMENTS (VRS) STUDY

The Vulnerable Roadway Segments (VRS) study was instituted in 2005 to identify and address specific roadway funding needs throughout the County. A vulnerable road segment was defined as a road segment that requires abnormally expensive and/or frequent repairs. This includes roads with failing retaining walls, seawalls, roads with chronic settlement problems, or roadways close to rivers with repetitive erosion problems.

The first step of the study was to identify the vulnerable road segments throughout the County. The identification process consisted of a two-pronged effort; researching existing lists of problem roads as well as finding new segments. The data collected from researching existing lists and working with the Road Services Division Maintenance Section provided enough information to start compiling a comprehensive list of the roadway segments found.

## Priority Array Description

The factors shown in the pie chart below were used in developing the priority rank formula for vulnerable roadway segments. The value assigned to each of the factors was either calculated or collected from various data sources. The percentage of influence each category has in producing the priority rank is shown in the pie chart below.

The factors were chosen by the project team and refined through an iterative process. After each iteration, the values and percentages of the factors, as well as the segment rankings were studied for reasonableness. The overall goal was achieved when the full numerical range of each factor was well distributed among the segments and the weighting percentage of each factor seemed to result in a logical ranking of segments.

## Priority Ranking Factors



The Maintenance Cost / Year is the average estimated amount of money spent each year repairing the road segment to correct the identified problem in the short term. Projects with higher annual maintenance costs are given more priority.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Factor }=\frac{M \times f}{20,000} \times 25 \\
\text { where } M=\text { estimated maintenance cost/year (in thousands of dollars) } \\
f=\text { the frequency of the maintenance each year } \\
20,000=\text { the maximum maintenance cost/year } \\
25=\text { the maximum number of points possible for this factor }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Construction Cost / Vehicle factor divides the cost of the permanent construction fix (i.e., not a maintenance repair) by the average daily number of vehicles that travel the road. Projects with a lower cost benefiting a higher number of vehicles are given a higher priority.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Factor }=20-\frac{C / A D T}{1500} \times 20 \text { (Factor }=0 \text { if formula results in negative value) } \\
& \text { where } C=\text { cost of permanent construction fix } \\
& A D T=\text { average daily traffic count on segment } \\
& 1500=\text { highest C/ADT ratio, except for a few outliers (1500 chosen to keep this } \\
& \text { factor well distributed among segments) } \\
& 20=\text { maximum number of points possible for this factor }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Impact of Failure factor accounts for the importance in correcting a vulnerable roadway segment. The project team made many field visits evaluating the majority of the vulnerable roadway segments, classifying the roadway problem, and performing a preliminary engineering assessment to score the roadway vulnerabilities. Each of the road segments was scored 1 to 5 addressing the predicted consequences if no action were taken to correct the problem. The scoring is as follows:

Score $=1$ If problem is left uncorrected, total failure would likely occur, resulting in closure of the entire road.
Score $=2$ If problem is left uncorrected, partial (or possibly total) failure of the road could occur, closing half (or all) of the road.
Score $=3$ If problem is left uncorrected, partial failure of road could occur, closing a shoulder and/or possibly a lane of the road.
Score $=4$ If problem is left uncorrected, minor loss of road function could occur in near future.
Score $=5$ If problem is left uncorrected, maintenance would be necessary with no foreseeable loss of road function.

| If Score $=1$, Factor $=20$ | Values of factors determined by an |
| :--- | :--- |
| If Score $=2$, Factor $=11$ | exponential function (as opposed to a |
| If Score $=3$, Factor $=6$ | linear function), to weigh full or partial |
| If Score $=4$, Factor $=3$ | road closures much more heavily than a |
| If Score $=5$, Factor $=0$ | minor loss of road function. |

The Driver Inconvenience factor of each road segment measures the overall level of driver inconvenience if a vulnerable road segment is closed. The detour length and the traffic volume on the segment is considered in this factor. Segments involving longer detours with higher traffic volumes are given more priority.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\text { Factor } & =\frac{l \times A D T}{95,000} \times 15 \\
\text { where } l & l=\text { length of detour caused by closed road segment } \\
& \text { ADT = average daily traffic on segment } \\
& 95,000=\text { maximum l/ADT ratio (except for one outlier) } \\
& 15=\text { maximum number of points possible for this factor }
\end{array}
$$

If a segment is part of a planned project in the CIP or TNR, the Inclusion in Future Project factor gives priority to such segments to account for the opportunity to complete two needs with one project.

Factor $=10$ if segment included in other project
Factor $=0$ if segment not included in other project
The Guardrail Need factor is a yes or no toggle identifying the need for guardrail on the vulnerable segment. Road segments slated for future guardrail projects are given more priority to account for the opportunity to fulfill two needs with one project.
Factor $=10$ if guardrail is needed on segment
Factor $=0$ if guardrail is not needed on segment
All of the priority ranking factors are then weighted to the percentages shown in the pie chart above and summed to produce a score between 0 and 100 , ranking the different road segments and identifying the best project candidates. The road segments with the lower scores are the best candidates for road projects.

## Sample calculation

The following sample calculation for vulnerable segment of NE Woodinville Duvall Road (steep slopes above and below roadway) will help illustrate how the final rating scores were calculated:

Maintenance Cost / Year (25 points max.)

$$
\text { Factor }=\frac{M \times f}{20,000} \times 25=(\$ 10,000 \times 0.5 \text { times } / \text { year }) / 20,000 \times 25=\mathbf{6}
$$

Score is only 6 out of 25 due to relatively inexpensive repairs at infrequent frequency - once every two years.

Construction Cost / Vehicle (20 points max.)

$$
\text { Factor }=20-\frac{C / A D T}{1500} \times 20=20-(\$ 420,000 / 11,100 \text { vehicles } / \text { day }) / 1500 \times 20=19
$$

Score is a high 19 out of 20 due to relatively inexpensive permanent fix for large volume of vehicles.

## Impact of Failure ( 20 points max.)

$$
\text { If Score }=3, \text { Factor }=6
$$

Score is only 6 out of 20 due to lower impact of problem, which would close a shoulder of the segment, or one lane at worst. Traffic would not need to be detoured.

## Driver Inconvenience (15 points max.)

$$
\text { Factor }=\frac{l \times A D T}{95,000} \times 15=(8.5 \text { mile detour } \times 11,100 \text { vehicles } / \text { day }) / 95,000 \times 15=15
$$

Score is a full 15 out of 15 due to lengthy detour affecting a large volume of vehicles.
Inclusion in Future Project (10 points max.)
Factor $=\mathbf{1 0}$ (segment included in operational project identified in TNR)
Score is a full 10 points because it has also been identified as a need in another study.
Guardrail Need (10 points max.)
Factor $=\mathbf{0}$ (guardrail is not needed on segment)
Factor is zero since there is no need for guardrail on this segment, meaning two projects cannot be completed due to action on this segment.

## Total Score

$6+19+6+15+10+0=56$
Total Rating (lower score is better candidate for action)
$100-56=44$ (actually 43 due to rounding in spreadsheet)

## SMALL SCOPE OPERATIONAL PROJECTS

## Program Description

Historically, small scope operational projects have been a lower consideration in the Road Services Division's CIP project development process, as these project are typically developed on an as-needed basis. In September 2005, the Division recognized the need to establish a program for these types of projects -- those that do not rate high enough to be funded from other prioritized program project lists. The goal for this program is to identify and support high benefit cost ratio projects that could address small scope traffic flow and safety issues. The focus of this effort is to develop a comprehensive list of pedestrian facilities, non-signal intersection improvements and roadway location projects with recommended improvements to serve unincorporated King County's transportation and pedestrian needs.

## Program Development Process

As a new program and process, a statement of the programs goals and objectives was developed. A project recommendation and evaluation process was introduced that satisfied these goals and objectives. The project selection process used an objective methodology for ranking potential sites for safety and traffic improvements. Finally, a budget element was applied to make sure the most deserving projects are achieved first.

## Goals and Objectives

The goal of this Small Scope Operational Program is to identify locations within unincorporated King County that could be enhanced by operational improvements, yet have not been implemented due to funding constraints. There are needs that have been identified for pedestrian facilities, non-signal intersection improvements and roadway locations that either do not fit the criteria of existing improvement programs or do not score high enough to be funded.. The objective of this program is to develop a prioritized list of small scale projects showing description of proposed work scope, limits and costs. Another common element of these projects is their short design and construction schedules, which makes this program highly responsive to emerging needs.

## Project Selection Process

The staff from the Road Services Division's Traffic Engineering Section developed a logical, project-selection process for identifying, selecting and prioritizing projects. There are four tiers to this process:

- Identification of a candidate project
- Preliminary screening and scoping of candidate locations
- Determination of priority process score
- Evaluations of candidate locations

Identification of Candidate Projects

A list of potential improvements is compiled from recommendations by a number of sources including KCDOT engineering staff, businesses, community groups, and members of the general public.

## Preliminary Screening and Scoping of Candidate Locations

A field review was conducted for candidate projects for scope verification, cost estimating, and identification of unique constraints and challenges. Field trips were made to most sites to collect relevant, up-to-date field information, site-specific data, create site diagrams and sketches and take photographs. In addition, King County traffic volume and accident data was included as part of the location-specific analysis.

The evaluation for each project was based on a preliminary screening of the project information obtained during data collection. Preliminary screening/feasibility analysis was undertaken prior to project development to assure a candidate project is feasible and satisfies program goals and criteria before it is evaluated. As each project was screened, it was assigned a relative (high, medium, low) priority to develop a preliminary ranking and determination of whether to advance formal prioritization process.

## Determination of Priority Process Score

The priority process was developed with the purpose of providing a quantitative assessment of each project's merits for comparison with similar projects. Prioritization and selection of projects begins with project screening/feasibility analysis and ends with the prioritized project list. Data on vehicle and pedestrian volumes, vehicle speeds, existing and planned facility capacities and accident history at each location over the most recent three or five year period was also collected as part of the analysis process.

Each project is unique due to the specific issues addressed. Certain concerns are indicative of site deficiencies that can be addressed by specific countermeasures. Countermeasures are the improvements that address problems at a given location to improve the safety or traffic operations. Countermeasures at each location were developed for the three separate categories (pedestrian facilities, non-signal intersection improvements and roadway locations) based on the predominant problems, field observations, King County practices and standards, and the experience of the review team.

Pedestrian-oriented projects used the existing pedestrian priority array (see Pedestrian Priority Process earlier in this appendix). . The algorithm for non-signal intersection improvements and roadway location projects was developed specifically by the Traffic Engineering staff to score projects in these categories. The potential improvements for these projects were rated on the following criteria:

## NON-SIGNAL INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Volume to Capacity Ratio

| Volume to Capacity Ratio | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 1.0 | 15 |
| .5 to .99 | 10 |


| .25 to .49 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Less than .25 | 0 |

Volume to Capacity Ratio relative to number of hours it exceeds various thresholds

| Volume to Capacity Ratio | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| V/C $>.8$ for $8+$ hours | 10 |
| V/C $>.8$ for $5-7$ hours | 7 |
| V/C $>.6$ for $8+$ hours | 5 |
| V/C $>.6$ for 7 hours or less | 0 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Accidents per million Entering vehicles -average of 5 most recent years (ACC/MEV)

| Accidents $/$ MEV | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 1.0 | 30 |
| .5 to .99 | 25 |
| .25 to .49 | 15 |
| .10 to .24 | 10 |
| Less than .10 | 0 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Intersection Geometrics with respect to King County Road Standards-1993 for angle of intersection, horizontal curvature of approach, vertical curvature of approach, and stopping sight distance

| Road Design Standards Met | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 Criteria Not Met | 30 |
| 3 Criteria Not Met | 20 |
| 2 Criteria Not Met | 15 |
| 1 Criteria Not Met | 10 |
| Meets KCRS Criteria | 0 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Speeding
$85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed in excess of the posted speed limit

| Speed greater than posted speed | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 10 MPH | 15 |
| 7 MPH to 10 MPH | 10 |
| 5 MPH to 7 MPH | 5 |
| Less than 5 MPH | 0 |

## ROADWAY LOCATIONS PROJECT CRITERIA

Level-0f-Service (congestion)

| Level-of-Service | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 |


| B | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| C | 5 |
| D | 15 |
| E | 20 |
| F | 25 |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Accidents per million vehicles (average of 5 most recent years)

| Accidents per Million Vehicle miles <br> traveled $\mathbf{- 5}$ years | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 3.0 | 30 |
| 3.0 to 2.5 | 20 |
| 2.5 to 1.5 | 10 |
| Less than 1.5 | 0 |
|  |  |

## SAFETY CRITERIA

Roadway geometrics with respect to King County Road Standards 1993

| Road Design Standards Met | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Meets none | 30 |
| Meets 1 | 25 |
| Meets 2 | 15 |
| Meets all | 0 |

Speeding

| Speed greater than posted speed | Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greater than 10 MPH | 15 |
| 7 MPH to 10 MPH | 10 |
| 5 MPH to 7 MPH | 5 |
| Less than 5 MPH | 0 |

## Evaluations of Candidate Locations

Scores for each location ranged from 0 to 100 , with the following levels:

| 0 to 30 | Low |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31 to 50 | Medium |
| 51 to 100 | High |

Potential projects were reviewed with planning-level cost estimates and then subjected to a basic financial analysis. Low scoring projects or those with prohibitive costs are given less consideration. The highest scoring projects are prioritized and considered as best candidates for the Road Services Division's Small Scope Operational Projects program.

## Project Selection

The small scope operational projects include a broad cross-section of both urban and rural locations, and priority arrays were developed for each of the three categories. The final project selection will be based on the priority scores weighted based on an assessment of each project's
potential effectiveness. Consideration and higher priority was also given to such factors as whether the project could coordinate with or enhance other King County transportation needs and priorities.

## Appendix D

Financial Analysis

## Transportation Needs Report 2010

## March 2010

Financial Forecast in Constant 2010 Dollars
All columns other than Road Fund in thousands of dollars

| Year | Road Fund | Fed $/$ <br> Other | Fed BRAC | Fed <br> TP/ITS/ <br> CMAQ | State TIB | State <br> RAP | MPS | Other |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011 | $7,773,936$ | 99,000 | $\$ 3,090$ | $\$ 800$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,100$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2012 | $31,898,659$ |  | $\$ 1,744$ | $\$ 1,521$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2013 | $34,483,473$ |  | $\$ 1,084$ | $\$ 3,392$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 900$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2014 | $36,296,103$ |  | $\$ 2,3170$ | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 1,850$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 800$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2015 | $38,074,625$ |  | $\$ 17,486$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2016 | $40,124,302$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 600$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2017 | $42,284,747$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2018 | $44,558,763$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2019 | $46,952,003$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2020 | $48,742,895$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2021 | $49,869,552$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 2022 | $50,920,519$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 100$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 1 , 9 7 9 , 5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 6 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 9 5 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 3 8 , 5 7 7}$ |  |



