

# Transportation, Economy and Environment Committee

#### **STAFF REPORT**

Agenda Item:	8	Name:	Rick Bautista
Proposed No.:	2013-0183	Date:	April 30, 2013

#### **SUBJECT**

A motion acknowledging receipt of a report relating to the agricultural drainage assistance program ("ADAP").

#### **SUMMARY**

Proposed Motion 2013-0183 would acknowledge receipt of a report required by a proviso (P1, Section 88 of Ordinance 17476 – the 2013 Budget) relating to the ADAP.

The purpose of the report is to evaluate how the ADAP is addressing drainage maintenance and repair and replacement of drainage tiles<sup>1</sup> on agricultural lands. The report responds directly to the six specific topics identified in the proviso. These topics are:

- 1. The compiled annual number of requests for stream and drainage ditch cleaning for 2008-2012:
- 2. The average duration of time between request for stream and drainage ditch cleaning and completion of the work for 2008-2012;
- 3. The actions that the County is taking to assist those requesting assistance with cleaning and drainage ditch cleaning;
- 4. The estimated acreage of agricultural lands that is not farmable because of poor drainage;
- 5. A plan for how the County can facilitate or assist in locating, repairing or replacing drainage tiles; and
- 6. A detailing of any regulatory impediments to more quickly providing stream cleaning and repair or replacement of drainage tiles.

In addition to providing the information required by the proviso, the report also includes the following determinations:

 Revisions in 2011 to streamline and standardize ADAP requirements served to make the process more predictable and expedient for farmers and regulators and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Drainage tiles are pipes, historically made of clay or ceramic, which collect or convey water underground.

- more efficient for King County, while maintaining environmental protection standards.
- Refocusing of services, such as technical assistance and cost-sharing, have been most effective in addressing the needs of farmer.
- Recent (March 2013) code amendments will ensure that property owners who
  want to replace drainage tiles on their agricultural lands will be able to do so
  under the same streamlined standards that apply to the maintenance of other
  agricultural drainage facilities.

#### SUPPORT OF THE STRATEGIC CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

While this legislation, of accepting a report of ADAP, itself does not further the goals and objectives of the King County the Strategic Climate Action Plan ("SCAP"), the ADAP program does. It encourages sustainable agriculture by providing technical assistance and streamlined permitting to support healthy, productive farms, located within close proximity to markets, fulfilling SCAP Objective S.3.1 and its Strategy B.<sup>2</sup>

#### **BACKGROUND**

The ADAP is a key element of King County's continuing efforts to encourage and strengthen agriculture by providing assistance for drainage maintenance on farmlands in the unincorporated area. Improved drainage can (1) extend the growing season by allowing fields to be planted earlier in the year and harvested later in the season, (2) extend the season during which livestock can use fields for forage and pasture, and (3) put fields back into production that had become too wet to work.

Historically, complex and sometimes conflicting federal, state, and local regulatory requirements have in some cases been a barrier to regular maintenance of drainage ditches. To address this, updates to ADAP were introduced in 2011 to streamline the process. Those program updates reduced staff time required for each project by (1) standardizing best management practices for consistency and predictability, and (2) simplifying permitting so that for most projects, landowners need only a state Hydraulic Project Approval permit and a farm management plan. No additional King County permits are required for projects that meet the requirements of the streamlined ADAP.

In March 2013, the Council adopted legislation that further improved the ADAP by applying the updated 2011 requirements to (1) the replacement of drainage tiles and (2) agricultural lands outside of an agricultural production district.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Strategy B: Provide incentives, technical assistance, and streamlined permitting to keep land in agriculture and forestry use and to support sustainable farm and forestry practices including the growth of low-impact foods such as fruits and vegetables." SCAP, p. 29.

## **ANALYSIS**

- The proviso only requires a motion to acknowledge the receipt of a report that touches upon a specified list of topics. To that end, the submittal of the report satisfies the requirements of the proviso.
- As to the substance, the report provides the data and information requested by the proviso.

## **AMENDMENTS**

None

## **REASONABLENESS**

The proposed legislation is reasonable.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Proposed Motion 2013-0183

# King County

**Proposed No.** 2013-0183.1

# **KING COUNTY**

## ATTACHMENT 1

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

# Signature Report

# April 29, 2013

## Motion

**Sponsors** Phillips

1	A MOTION transmitting a report on the agricultural
2	drainage assistance program required by Ordinance 17476.
3	WHEREAS, the King County agricultural drainage assistance program ("the
4	ADAP") has provided assistance to farmers in unincorporated King County since the late
5	1990s, and
6	WHEREAS, the ADAP helps owners of agricultural lands maintain and improve
7	the drainage on their property, and
8	WHEREAS, improved drainage can extend the growing season by allowing fields
9	to be planted earlier in the year and harvested later in the season, can extend the season
10	during which livestock can use fields for forage and pasture and can put fields back into
11	production that have become too wet to work due to formerly cumbersome drainage
12	maintenance permitting requirements, and
13	WHEREAS, in Ordinance 17476, passed on November 13, 2012, the council
14	requested that the executive transmit by April 1, 2013, a report that identifies how the
15	ADAP addresses the following:
16	1. The compiled annual number of requests for stream and drainage ditch
17	cleaning for 2008-2012;
18	2. The average duration of time between request for stream and drainage ditch
19	cleaning and completion of the work for 2008-2012;

20	3. The actions that the county is taking to assist those requesting assistance with				
21	cleaning and drainage ditch cleaning;				
22	4. The estimated acreage of agricultural lands that is not farmable because of				
23	poor drainage;				
24	5. A plan for how the county can facilitate or assist in locating, repairing or				
25	replacing drainage tiles; and				
26	6. A detailing of any regulatory impediments to more quickly providing stream				
27	cleaning and repair or replacement of drainage tiles, and				
28	WHEREAS, the executive has transmitted a report that addresses each of these				
29	elements;				
30	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:				

1	The report on the agricultural drainage assistance program in response to			
2	Ordinance 17476, Attachment A to this motion, has been received.			
3				
		KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON		
	ATTEST:	Larry Gossett, Chair		
	Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council			
	APPROVED this day of	··		
		Dow Constantine, County Executive		
	Attachments: A. Report on the Agricultural Drain	nage Assistance Program		

# Report on the Agricultural Drainage Assistance Program Required by Ordinance 17476



#### Water and Land Resources Division

Department of Natural Resources and Parks KingStreetCenter 201 South Jackson Street, Suite 600 Seattle, WA98104-3855

**206-296-6519** Fax 206-296-0192

TTY Relay: 711

# **Table of Contents**

Ex	ecutive Summary	3
A.	ompiled annual number of requests for stream and drainage ditch cleaning for 2008-2012	C 3
B.		A
	verage duration of time between request for stream and drainage ditch cleaning and completion the work for 2008-2012	of 4
	Table 1. Average duration between request for drainage maintenance and completion of the work	5
C.		A
	ctions the County is taking to assist those requesting assistance with drainage ditch cleaning	6
	Table 2. County assistance for agricultural drainage maintenance	6
D.		Е
	stimated acreage of agricultural lands that is not farmable because of poor drainage	7
E.		P
	lan for how the County can facilitate or assist in locating, repairing, or replacing drainage tiles	8
F.		D
	etailing of any regulatory impediments to more quickly providing stream cleaning and repair or replacement of drainage tiles	8
Co	nclusion	9

#### **Executive Summary**

This report responds to a proviso included in Section 88, P1of Ordinance 17476, which calls for a report on the agricultural drainage assistance program (ADAP) including the following elements:

- A. The compiled annual number of requests for stream and drainage ditch cleaning for 2008-2012:
- B. The average duration of time between request for stream and drainage ditch cleaning and completion of the work for 2008-2012;
- C. The actions that the County is taking to assist those requesting assistance with cleaning and drainage ditch cleaning;
- D. The estimated acreage of agricultural lands that is not farmable because of poor drainage;
- E. A plan for how the County can facilitate or assist in locating, repairing or replacing drainage tiles; and
- F. A detailing of any regulatory impediments to more quickly providing stream cleaning and repair or replacement of drainage tiles.

Through the ADAP, created in the late 1990s, the Water and Land Resources Division (WLRD) of the Department of Natural Resources and Parks helps owners of agricultural lands maintain and improve the drainage on their property. Improved drainage can extend the growing season by allowing fields to be planted earlier in the year and harvested later in the season, extend the season during which livestock can use fields for forage and pasture, or can put fields back into production that have become too wet to work. Complex, costly, and sometimes competing federal, state, and local regulatory requirements have in many cases been a barrier to regular maintenance of drainage systems on agricultural lands.

After determining that the permitting requirements under the old system were overly burdensome to farmers, the ADAP was revised in collaboration with farmers and local and state regulatory agencies. The streamlined ADAP, introduced to farmers in 2012, reduces staff time required for each project by standardizing best management practices (BMPs) for consistency and predictability, and simplifies permitting so that for most projects, landowners need only a state Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) permit and a farm management plan. No additional King County permits are required for projects that meet the requirements of the streamlined ADAP.

Legislation proposing King County Code changes that would clarify requirements for farmers to replace drainage tiles and allow the streamlined ADAP to be applied to agricultural lands outside the Agricultural Production Districts (APDs) is now before the County Council. Drainage tiles are pipes, historically made of clay or ceramic, that collect or convey subsurface water.

#### A. Compiled annual number of requests for stream and drainage ditch cleaning for 2008-2012

The number of requests for drainage maintenance assistance by year was two in 2008, one in 2009, three in 2010, one in 2011, and eight in 2012. In 2008-2010, requests were not consistently logged into a database. At that time, the focus was on permitting and completing projects, meaning that projects for which the property owner requested assistance but ultimately did not construct the project

were not tracked by staff. Thus the data summarized above may be incomplete for 2008-2010. Starting in 2011, all requests for assistance were tracked. This includes projects that may not end up being constructed due to future external issues such as financial constraints, time constraints, or other factors that could delay or even cancel projects.

For the 2013 season, two landowners have already committed to doing ADAP projects and five additional landowners are in discussions with ADAP staff about potential projects.

It should be noted that the ADAP has been modified since its inception as the County gathered more information about the barriers farmers faced to securing permit of maintenance of agricultural drainage systems. From 2006 to 2008, the ADAP assisted 22 property owners with drainage projects on their land. During this period, King County staff noted high project costs for County assistance and long timelines to obtain the required multiple permits. As a result, and in consultation with the Agriculture Commission, WLRD determined that the program needed to be restructured to ensure its long-term viability and to provide more predictability for farmers. A process to streamline the permitting and other program elements began in 2009.

In 2010, three projects were undertaken as pilots to test the new approach. Findings from these pilot projects were incorporated into the final program that was negotiated with regulatory agencies. WLRD solicited for new projects once the streamlined ADAP was finalized in 2011. The increase in requests for assistance in 2012 and 2013 reflects this outreach, which provided clear information about what was required to undertake an ADAP project, including what the landowner is responsible for and what services the County provides and pays for.

# B. Average duration of time between request for stream and drainage ditch cleaning and completion of the work for 2008-2012

The amount of time from an initial request to project completion varies widely, depending on timing of the initial request relative to the "fish window" (relatively dry season when projects can be constructed while minimizing harm to salmon), type of system to be maintained, whether fish are present in the area proposed for maintenance, landowner decisions to delay, and the decision to put some projects on hold while regulatory requirements were being streamlined and tested. During 2011, while streamlined permitting requirements were being developed and negotiated with regulatory agencies, there were some short-term uncertainties regarding program implementation that may have contributed to project delays. Now that permit requirements have been simplified and standardized, the time required per project is expected to continue to decrease.

For projects in 2008-2010, the initial contact date was not always well documented. For these projects, the earliest reliable date in the file was used to determine the duration of the project. In some cases, the initial contact date was specified. When it was not available, either the date of the project survey or the date of the earliest permit was used, depending on what was recorded. Average duration for projects in 2008 to 2010 was 24 months, and range of duration was three to 53 months. On two short-duration projects (three months and six months), WLRD was able to use previous fish surveys to support the permitting process and set up fish relocations, which shortened the calculated time. Two long-duration projects (53 months and 23 months) were each actually three separate projects for single landowners, which lengthened the calculated time. On another three long-duration projects (29

months, 25 months, and 26 months), the landowners each decided to delay construction, a year in two cases and two years in the third case.

Average duration for projects in 2011 and 2012 was nine months, and range of duration was from four to 21 months. Three of the four projects took from four to seven months; one project took 21 months to complete because the landowner decided to delay construction a year after the permit was approved.

The landowner's initial contact is not necessarily his or her commitment to undertake the project. A farmer might seek information, which would be registered as the initial contact, but then decide to postpone or not commit to project construction.

Table 1 below shows the data used to determine the average duration of projects.

Table 1. Average duration between request for drainage maintenance and completion of the work.

		Initial Contact	Survey	Permit	Construction	Months	Comments
200	8-2010 PROJECTS						
2008	Bellamy	May-08			Oct-08	6	Time does not include fish surveying done in September 2008.
2008	Gwerder (3 projects)		June-04		Oct-08	53*	*This covers three separate projects.
2008	21 Acres (C - Ph 2)			Sept-06	Sept-08	23**	**Three different projects on this site.
2008	Sifuentes (Ph 2)	April-07			Sept-08	17	
2008	Pickering		Nov-07	July-08	Aug-08	9	
2008	Murray - Channel 2	Jan-07			Aug-08	19	Construction date estimated.
2009	Pearce		Nov-07		Oct-09	23	
2009	Dolder	July-09			Oct-09	3	Second contact, able to use 2006 survey.
2009	Stout	April-07			Sept-09	29	Owner delayed construction from 2008 to 2009.
2010	Jensen	June-08			Aug-10	26	Owner delayed construction from 2008 to 2010; served as pilot project during ADAP permit streamlining.
2010	Drainage District 5			July-08	Aug-10	25	Construction postponed during ADAP permit streamlining; served as pilot project.
2010	NE 80th Street	Aug-07			Sept-10	38	Construction postponed during ADAP permit streamlining; served as pilot project.
					AVERAGE	22	
201.	  -2012 PROJECTS						
2011	Carter	Feb-11			Sept-11	7	Reed canarygrass hand removal.
2012	Smith	Mar-12			Aug-12	5	
2012	Stevens	May-12			Sept-12	4	
2012	Bonomi	Dec-10			Sept-12	21	Owner delayed construction from 2011 to 2012.
					AVERAGE	9	

#### NOTES:

For simplicity, all dates were assumed to be the first of the month for the month in which the activity or milestone occurred. Beaver dam removal projects were not included.

# C. Actions the County is taking to assist those requesting assistance with drainage ditch cleaning

As part of the 2011 streamlining, WLRD simplified permitting requirements for farmers to conduct drainage maintenance through negotiations and agreement with the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and the King County Department of Permitting and Environmental Review (DPER). This meant requirements and BMPs were standardized and County staff assistance was directed where farmers said they could most use help. As a result, the ADAP is now more predictable for farmers.

In addition, County services are now more efficient and focus on project elements that are most difficult for farmers, including engineering, surveys to determine the drainage problem, permitting, and fish relocation. To keep ADAP projects in compliance with the federal Endangered Species Act and WDFW regulations, WLRD hires qualified professionals to relocate salmon and other fish out of the waterway while projects are in the construction stage. The recent SWM fee increase will provide support for more ADAP projects. The County also coordinates with the King Conservation District and landowners when their farm management plan calls for drainage maintenance.

Table 2 below outlines the County assistance available during different stages of conducting drainage maintenance.

Table 2. County assistance for agricultural drainage maintenance.

Plan and Profile Preparation	To apply for a Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) from WDFW, the property owner needs a plan and profile view of the waterway to be worked on. The survey of the waterway is performed through the County's engineering review process, which is funded through the drainage complaint program rather than ADAP. Using the survey, ADAP staff prepare the plan and profile, then deliver it to the property owner.
Consultation on Project Scope, Requirements, and Implementation	When the plan and profile are delivered to the property owner, ADAP staff reviews the entire project to make sure the property owner understands the scope of the project and how to proceed. The consultation includes explanations and discussion of:  • plan and profile,  • technical assistance on filling out an HPA application,  • county assistance available for the project,  • required best management practices (BMPs) for the project,  • planting assistance available,  • types of plants available and the advantages and disadvantages of each, and  • answers to any questions the property owner has.

Pre-construction Meeting	ADAP staff meet with the person who will perform the work about two weeks before the start of the project. ADAP staff walk through the project to make sure that the construction crew has the proper equipment and is familiar with the permit requirements. This pre-construction meeting qualifies as the training session required by King County Code for the landowner to be eligible for certain permit exemptions.
Fish Relocation	If there is water flowing in the waterway at the time of the project, ADAP pays for a trained team to remove and relocate fish from the channel to comply with permit requirements. ADAP staff coordinate with the relocation team and the landowner regarding schedule, access, and equipment.
Construction Technical	ADAP staff will be on site for portions of the construction to
Assistance and Inspection	provide support, answer questions, coordinate fish relocation (if needed), and inspect the project, which is required by King County Code in order for the landowner to qualify for certain permit exemptions.
Planting Reimbursement	ADAP reimburses the property owner up to \$15/linear foot of channel maintained to a maximum of \$50,000/project for the purchase, transport, installation, and maintenance of native plants planted along the waterway. Prior to final reimbursement to the landowner, ADAP staff confirms that the planting was successful in meeting the plant survival requirements in the permit.

#### D. Estimated acreage of agricultural lands that is not farmable because of poor drainage

In 2006 and 2009, the County's Agricultural Program conducted a windshield survey of land use in the APDs (in a windshield survey, data comes from staff observations rather than landowner responses to questionnaires). Included was an estimate of farmland that was too wet to farm (note that this did not include wetlands and marshes that had never been farmed). The survey results, reported in the FARMS Report, showed 422 acres too wet to farm in 2006 and 307 acres too wet to farm in 2009. However, there are several reasons these numbers may not be a good estimate of the acreage of agricultural lands that is not farmable due to poor drainage. Aerial photos and on-the-ground observations can provide a snapshot of whether agricultural land is currently being farmed or not, but these do not indicate the underlying cause of why a parcel is not being farmed. Historically farmed lands may not currently be farmed because of financial hardship, ownership changes, health problems, market conditions, family problems, or other non-drainage related issues. Conditions can also vary from year to year as a result of weather, natural events, or land use changes.

In addition to land that is too wet to be farmed at all, there are acres that are not farmed as productively as they could be if they were better drained. This includes acreage that has a shortened growing season because it stays wet until late in the spring or gets wet early in the fall. Also included is acreage that could produce a higher value crop if it were well drained. It would take information from each individual property owner along with a hydrologic study of private properties to determine how much land is thus affected.

# E. Plan for how the County can facilitate or assist in locating, repairing, or replacing drainage tiles

Amendments to the King County Code to clarify requirements to repair and replace drainage tiles were approved by the County Council on March 18, 2013. With funding support from the SWM fee, which was increased by the County Council in 2013, WLRD will conduct outreach through the Agriculture Commission, the ADAP, the King Conservation District, and other appropriate venues. WLRD will provide technical assistance to landowners to locate their drainage tiles and submit a state permit application for an HPA. To comply with the County Code, WLRD is also prepared to inspect projects when the receiving body is used by salmonids.

To locate the drainage tiles, a WLRD stormwater engineer will lead the property owner through the three ways currently identified for locating drainage tiles: exposing the outlet in the receiving waterway, historic record research, and subsurface exploration using heavy equipment or other detection methods. When drainage tiles are confirmed in a field, the ADAP will provide technical assistance and inspection of the project. The existing ADAP templates for state permit applications can guide a farmer in filling out the HPA application.

Standardized BMPs are under development that will be reviewed with WDFW to simplify the HPA application process and with the Washington State Department of Ecology to address water quality impacts and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers wetlands regulations. The BMPs will cover techniques and equipment that control sedimentation and minimize turbidity, similar to the streamlined ADAP BMPs. Once approved by the regulatory agencies, the BMPs will be made available online and as a handout.

# F. Detailing of any regulatory impediments to more quickly providing stream cleaning and repair or replacement of drainage tiles

As noted previously, the regulatory requirements for drainage ditch maintenance were simplified and standardized in 2011 to eliminate duplicative permitting, standardize BMPs, provide predictability to farmers and regulators, and focus County services on those elements farmers need most. The streamlined process was implemented in 2012 and is now fully operational.

On March 18, 2013, the County Council amended the code to clarify the standards for drainage tile replacement. Prior to this change, the code allowed for the maintenance of existing agricultural drainage, including drainage tiles. A farm plan is required if the drainage is used by salmon. Because drainage tiles can either be difficult to find or have been damaged, maintenance is usually done by installing new tiles to replace the function of the existing tiles. Before the recent amendment was adopted, the code defined maintenance and repair as separate activities, so there was some uncertainty about whether replacing an existing drainage tile system was allowed as a maintenance activity. The adopted amendments will allow the replacement of existing agricultural drainage, including drainage tiles, under the same conditions as maintenance of agricultural drainage. With this change, it will be clear that a County permit is not required for either maintenance or replacement of drainage tiles and other agricultural drainage as long as the activity is covered by a farm plan. If the replacement involves an expansion of area drained, the landowner may be required to obtain permits from the state and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

#### Conclusion

The requirements to maintain agricultural drainage ditches were streamlined and standardized in 2011 to make the process more predictable and expedient for farmers and regulators and more efficient for King County while maintaining environmental protection standards. In addition, County services such as technical assistance and cost-sharing have been directed to activities most requested by farmers. Recent code amendments will ensure that property owners who want to replace drainage tiles on their agricultural lands will be able to do so under the same standards as apply to the maintenance of other agricultural drainage.