

**From:** [Peter Rimbos](#)  
**To:** [KCC - Committee Assistants \(Email Group\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Micklow, Andy](#)  
**Subject:** 8/25 M&E COMMITTEE MTG  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:02:26 AM

---

Some people who received this message don't often get email from primbos@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

KC Council M&E Committee Staff,

We also should have sent the following to you, as it includes our [Testimony](#) for the M&E Committee for tomorrow's meeting:

Andy,

Thank you very much for the schedule for both M&E Committee and Full Council review through the end of the year when the final CPP Update is due to be submitted to the PSRC. We assume that King County cities would be doing similar reviews leading up to the final approval and submission.

One clarification question: The schedule provided only identifies two specific CPP Update chapters: Development Patterns and Housing. We would expect the M&E Committee to also discuss the Environment and Transportation chapters.

As mentioned in our original e-mail, we have been involved in the CPP Update process from early last year and are pleased that many of our concerns and recommendations were addressed along the way. However, a few weeks prior to the June 26 GMPC Meeting, individual GMPC members were allowed to submit any proposed amendments they wished. Surprisingly, at least to us, many of these proposed amendments "came out of left field." Consequently, we provided the GMPC our support or concerns--amendment by amendment. Several proposed amendments were shot down by the GMPC at its June 26 meeting, but not all. As a result, we offer the following Testimony to the M&E Committee to consider as it discusses such Amendments:

[We represent eight King County Rural Area UACs/UAs/Organizations from the Snohomish County line to the Pierce County line. We have worked on the CPP Update for the past 16 months, at times, very closely with CPP Manager Karen Wolf, KCCP Manager Ivan Miller, and KC Demographer Rebeccah Maskin. Along the way we have reviewed and submitted commented on all drafts and versions of the CPP Update.](#)

[We urge you to reject proposed new policy FW-4. As VISION 2040, the CPPs and the KCCP all state, urban services should not be sited in the Rural Area. Further, we do not seek ways to increase rural development](#)

under the guise of "fiscal sustainability." Finally, Rural Area citizens have no desire for "strategies to fund infrastructure and services in Rural Areas" to "support a defined rural level-of-service."

We also urge you to reject proposed changes to policies H-4 n. and H-20, which seek to impose no requirements—including infrastructure—on private investment, only on public investment, thus, resulting in members of the Public paying for everything.

Please stand up for and represent Rural Area citizen concerns and reject these proposals. Thank you.

A point of clarification, as we mention Karen Wolf above, we understand Karen has since retired. Although well deserved, as she has worked tirelessly for decades, she will be sorely missed and represents a big loss to King County. Thank you, Karen.

Peter Rimbo  
Regional Coordinator, CPPs and KCCP  
Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC)  
[primbos@comcast.net](mailto:primbos@comcast.net)

"To know and not to do is not to know."-- Chinese proverb

Please consider our shared environment before printing.