MIDD II PROCESS OVERVIEW
Revised 3.28.16

PHASE I
A. Call for New Concepts
B. Review of Existing Strategies

Open Call Timeframe: 9/15-10/31

PHASE II
Analysis and Collective Review

Existing MIDD Programs* Analysis**
- Did the program do what it was planned to do?
- How well did it do it?
- Can the program as is achieve outcomes that further the adopted policy goal(s) of MIDD & deliver on individual and program outcomes?
- What changes could be made (or were made) to the program to further the adopted goal(s) of MIDD & deliver on outcomes?
- What is the impact of changing the program?
- What happens if this program is eliminated?
- Could it be merged with different or new programs?
- Identify unanticipated outcomes, challenges, or benefits.

*"Programs" refers to all currently funded and operating MIDD strategies

**These are not the only analytical questions that may be addressed; additional information may be included

MHCADSD coordinates analysis of existing programs

Briefing Papers: New Concepts and Existing Programs
Drafted by County staff in consultation with partners/ providers/subject matter experts

Sept-Dec Briefing Paper Drafting

140 New Concepts Submitted!

New Concepts Address/Identify
- What is the specific need that concept addresses?
- How does the concept address need?
- What results/outcomes would the program have?
- What partnering entities are necessary for this concept to be successful?
- Of the four strategy areas in the MIDD II Framework, what strategy area does this concept fall under?

New Concepts template will be available electronically on the MIDD website, with instructions and additional information

Submission of New Concepts will be electronic

Additional information may be requested by MHCADSD staff in template or during review

Not all submitted concepts will move to Phase II

MHCADSD screens new concepts for forwarding to Phase II

Key Questions for Briefing Papers**
- What is the estimated resource need ($, # and type of positions, technology)?
- How long will it take to fully implement?
- What are the barriers or challenges to success for this program/concept? How would barriers be overcome?
- Does this program/ concept positively address disproportionality or enhance cultural competency and if so, how?
- Is it client centered?
- What populations does it serve?
- What MIDD II Framework Strategy Area does this program/concept fall under?
- What measureable outcomes are there for this program?
- Plus requirements from Ordinance 17998.

**These are not the only analytical questions that may be addressed in Briefing Papers; additional information may be included

Jan & Feb Teams Review

Phase II Workgroup/Team Review of Briefing Papers
Review, discussion, and sorting into high, medium, low categories for consideration

March - May

You are HERE!

Four Briefing Panels Held March 7-10 With Over 50 Reviewers

Phase III
MIDD II Service Improvement Plan Recommendations Development
Align MIDD II programs and budget
County staff drafts recommendations and identifies initial funding levels.
MIDD Oversight Committee reviews recommendations.
Funding recommendations PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT April 22-May 6

May-August

Final Phase: Drafting and Review of MIDD II Service Improvement Plan (SIS)
- Recommended programs will be included in the MIDD II SIP that is sent to the Executive for review and forwarding to Council
- Transmitted to the King County Council: August 25, 2016
- Changes may be made to the recommendations by the Executive AND/OR the Council
County staff drafts SIP report. MIDD Oversight Committee reviews.
SIP PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT June 16-30

Revised 3.28.16