

## Item 7 Proposed Ordinance 2012-0435

### Issue Crosswalk

ISSUE	Addressed in Agreement	Addressed in Exhibit A to Agreement	Addressed in Amendment
1) Consultation with cities			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allowing cities 14 days to review</li> </ul>	Page 3, § 3.4a	Pg. 6, ¶ 4	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removes “veto” authority of city</li> </ul>	Page 3, § 3.4a	Page 6, ¶ 4	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Providing a similar process for projects in unincorporated King County</li> </ul>	Page 3, § 3.4 b	Page 6, ¶ 5	
2) Providing sufficient time for interested parties to learn about the initiative		Page 7, ¶ 2	
3) Administrative costs and demand not known at this time			Lines 5-8
4) Legal issues			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• County’s green approval is not a permit</li> </ul>	Page 3, § 3.3	Page 7, ¶ 1	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project applicant is responsible for IRS compliance</li> </ul>	Page 3, § 3.2		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No third-party beneficiary clause</li> </ul>	Page 5, § 5.4		
6) Increasing the minimum project size to \$1 million		Throughout Exhibit A	