
From: Tim Harris <tim.harris@carnationwa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2023 12:01 AM
To: Giambattista, Jennifer
Cc: Kazia Mermel; Balducci, Claudia; Macnab, Jeannie
Subject: Re: Review requested by 6-29 on draft motion for RWQC

Categories: Red Category

Hi Jennifer,

One specific piece of feedback I have is related to the part that reads "The forecast should include, but not be limited to, the following capital improvement categories: asset management; capacity improvements; and known and potential regulatory requirements."

I believe a significant portion of the regulatory and capacity cost drivers are not wastewater, but Stormwater that WTD is being forced to deal with. To that end, I believe the forecast should also require "capacity improvements required to meet expected county and contract jurisdiction growth targets AND capacity improvements needed to address county and per contract jurisdiction projected Stormwater infiltration/combined conveyance and treatment."

I asked WTD 2 months ago, and followed up again after last month's meeting about whether they can measure Stormwater on a per jurisdiction basis. I still have not received an answer. I am assuming they CAN measure it and determine, on a per jurisdiction basis, the cost of capacity improvements required due to Stormwater on a per jurisdiction basis.

Thanks!

Tim
