Proposed No.:	Prepared By: Paul Renendach
	Date: March 1, 2005
Yes No N/A [X][][]	NEED: Does the proposed regulation respond to a specific, identifiable need? The proposed regulations implement the policies of the King County Comprehensive Plan. The 2005 proposed amendments are technical in nature, clarifying 2004 amendments and repealing 2 special district overlays that are redundant in light of the Critical Areas Ordinance and the Clearing and Grading Ordinance.
[x][][]	If so, is county government the most appropriate organization to address this need? King County Government has regulatory authority for land use in unincorporated areas
[x][][]	ECONOMY & JOB GROWTH: Has the economic impact of the proposed regulation been reviewed to ensure it will not have a long-term adverse impact on the economy and job growth in King County?
No adverse imp	acts to the economy or job growth have been identified.
[x][][]	PURPOSE: Is the purpose of the proposed ordinance clear? The ordinances would adopt the Executive Recommended Comprehensive Plan 2005 and related amendments to the King County Code.
[x][][]	Are the steps for implementation clear? The comprehensive plan guides land use in unincorporated King County. The comprehensive plan will be primarily implemented by DDES, through the development review process.nts to K.C.C. Titles 13, 20

	[x][][]	EVALUATION: Does the proposed ordinance identify specific measurable outcomes that the proposed regulation should achieve? The comprehensive plan includes growth targets for the unincorporated Urban Area.
	[x][][]	Is an evaluation process identified? A monitoring system is in place to determine whether or not King County is achieving its growth targets.
	[x][][]	INTERESTED PARTIES: Has adequate collaboration occurred with all those affected by the proposed regulation (including the public, the regulated and the regulators)? A detailed account of the extensive public outreach associated with the development of the Executive Recommended Comprehensive Plan 2005 is included in the transmittal package.
	[x][] []	COSTS & BENEFITS: Will the proposed regulation achieve the goal with the minimum cost and burden? No fiscal impacts have been identified to King County government. The proposal does not place undue financial burdens on affected property owners.
	[x][][]	Has the cost of not adopting the proposed regulation been considered? No. No action would result in no policy clarifications, no code amendments and no area roning studies in 2005
	[x][][]	amendments and no area zoning studies in 2005. Do the benefits of the proposed regulations outweigh the costs? Yes. There are public policy benefits and no additional costs to King County government.
	[] [] [x]	VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE: Does the proposed ordinance inspire voluntary compliance? Land use regulations are not voluntary.
	[x][][]	CLARITY: Is the proposed ordinance written clearly and concisely, without ambiguities? Yes.
	[x][][]	CONSISTENCY: Is the proposed regulation consistent with existing federal, state and local statutes? Yes, the proposed legislation is consistent with the Growth Management Act, the Countywide Planning Policies, and the King County Comprehensive Plan 2004.
,		