

King County Office of Management & Budget Address Reserve Quarterly Activity Report

1st Quarter Report due May 16, 2005

2nd Quarter Report due August 15, 2005 ^{7-A32}

3rd Quarter Report due November 1, 2005

Activity	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Source
DEFENDANT STATUS					
Estimate of Total Defendants (1)	124	124			Office of the Public Defender Counts individual Defendants while PAO Counts cases. There may be multiple defendants in a case.
Defendants Contacted OPD (2)	75	75			Office of the Public Defender
Defendants Not Contacted OPD (2)	49	51			Difference between total defendants identified by OPD and defendants contacted by OPD
STATUS OF CASES -- pending and resolved case data should add up to total cases					
Estimated Number of Total Cases (4)	99	99			Prosecuting Attorney's Office counts cases while OPD counts defendants. There may be multiple defendants in a single case
Cases not yet Active	25	19			
Total Active Cases	74	80			
Total Cases Pending Resolution	58	50			Prosecuting Attorney's Office
Total Cases Resolved:					
Plea Agreements This Quarter	7	25			Prosecuting Attorney's Office
Trials Completed	0	2			Prosecuting Attorney's Office
Other	0	3			Prosecuting Attorney's Office
Cases in Transition Referred to PAO	9	0			Case still has non Address related issues to be decided by the Court of Appeals
QUARTERLY ACTIVITY					
Cases Resolved This Quarter	7	37			Prosecuting Attorney's Office
New Trials This Quarter	4	5			Office of the Public Defender / Prosecuting Attorney
DAJD DATA					
Defendants Transferred to King County DAJD (5)	36	75			PAO / Adult and Juvenile Detention
Defendant Days in Custody	1217	4181			Adult and Juvenile Detention
OTHER DATA					
Investigations	0				Sheriff
Documents Filed (6)	847	1786			Judicial Administration

King County Office of Management & Budget Address Reserve Quarterly Activity Report

Notes to the Activity report

- (1) The total defendants estimated by OPD included some defendants that were not in custody but OPD felt had some incentive to revisit their conviction. Usually either still on parole or some desire to reduce the level of the crime for which they were originally convicted. Each of the defendants must take action to ask the court to have there conviction overturned based on the Address ruling.
- (2) The Department of Corrections has made the defendants in custody aware that they might have grounds to have their conviction overturned. Contacted number does not include defendants that had private attorneys.
- (3) After a court hears defendants' appeal and makes a decision in favor of the defendant the court has 60 days to mandate the case. After mandate the PAO has a reasonable amount of time to contact the defendant and arrange for transfer back to King County to stand trial or resolve their case in some other manner. These 74 defendants contacted have had court decisions in their favor mandated.
- (4) The PAO counts cases. OPD counts defendants. There can be more than one defendant per case.
- (5) In order to stand trial they have to be in custody at King County. The transfer is ordered by the King County Prosecuting Attorneys Office.
- (6) Normal court documents handled in the ordinary course of business for DJA related to the Address cases.
- (7) Quarterly results are cumulative.

King County Office of Management & Budget Address Reserve Quarterly Expenditure Report

1st Quarter Report due May 16, 2005

2nd Quarter Report due August 15, 2005

3rd Quarter Report due November 1, 2005

Purpose of this Report: In February 2005, the Council established quarterly reporting requirements for expenditures of the \$6.3 million reserve in the Current Expense (CX) financial plan earmarked for "Address" cases. Address cases refers to defendants charged with second degree felony murder whose sentences were vacated after the Washington State Supreme Court overturned their convictions in 2004. The Address workload for King County criminal justice agencies is a one-time effort that is expected to conclude in 2006.

1 Agency	2 Address Cases Reserve	3 Adopted Budget for Address	4 Actual Expenditures Year to Date	5 Current Quarter Actuals			8 Contract Payments	9 Other	10 Total	11 Budget Authority Remaining
				6 Expert Witnesses	7 Supplies/ Admin Costs	Salaries and Benefits				
OPD (2)		1,581,214.00	782,057.00	-	-	782,057.00	-	782,057.00	799,157.00	
PAO (1)		1,254,483.00	371,560.87	347,791.70	18,607.80	5,161.37		371,560.87	882,922.13	
DAJD (3)		-		10,523.14			104,775.86	115,299.00	(115,299.00)	
Sheriff		-						-	-	
Superior Court		525,073.00		171.49		600.39		771.88	524,301.12	
Judicial Admin (4)		154,183.00	1,482.39	1,482.39	-	-	-	1,482.39	152,700.61	
Total	6,334,135.00	3,514,953.00	1,155,100.26	359,968.72	-	787,818.76	104,775.86	1,271,171.14	2,243,781.86	

Narrative/Notes

All agencies that are expected to have costs associated with Address except DJAD have been directed to account for the costs in a separate low org in the ARMS accounting system. DAJD costs are cannot be broken out because the defendants become part of the general population when they are returned to King County. DAJD does maintain detailed statistics of Address defendant activity and is expected to submit a supplemental request in the third quarter after the impact of has been more accurately determined.

(1) The PAO had \$97,120 in the 2005 Adopted budget and \$1,157,363 in the 1st Address Supplemental

(2)**For the 1st quarter of 2005, there were no payments processed by OPD for any Address cases that were opened and assigned. Payment to public defense provider agencies is pending execution of the 2005 contract. First quarter actual assignments will be paid out during the second quarter.

(3) Salary and benefit costs are all for court escort details. Other costs are the costs per day for the inmates.

(4) Address-related expenses in the 1st Q of 2005 were absorbed in DJA's operating costs. DJA felt that document filings could be handled in the normal course of business initially.